

Document:	<u>IFAD11/3/R.2/Corr.1</u>
Agenda:	<u>4</u>
Date:	<u>5 October 2017</u>
Distribution:	<u>Public</u>
Original:	<u>English</u>

E



Investing in rural people

Report on the IFAD11 Results Management Framework

Corrigendum

Consultation on the Eleventh Replenishment of IFAD's Resources –
Third Session

Rome, 19-20 October 2017

For: Review

Report on the IFAD11 Results Management Framework

Corrigendum

The attention of the Consultation members is drawn to the following corrigendum to the Report on the IFAD11 Results Management Framework (IFAD11/3/R.2). For ease of reference, the changes to the document are shown in boldface and strikethrough indicates deleted text.

Page 16, section 2.1 Impact indicators.

To ensure consistency with the impact targets presented in the main text of the document, the numbers in the fourth column (IFAD11 target end-2021) should read:

Impacts	Source	Baseline	IFAD11 target (end-2021)	IFAD10 target (end-2018)
2.1	Impact indicators ^a			
2.1.1	IAI	N/A	44 ^b	40
2.1.2	IAI	N/A	47 ^b	43
2.1.3	IAI	N/A	46 ^b	42
2.1.4	IAI	N/A	24 ^b	22

^a Results will be presented in a synthesis of lessons learned from the IFAD11 IAI in early 2022.

^b Targets are based on a proposed PoLG of US\$3.5 billion in IFAD11.

Page 18, section 3.1 Mobilizing resources and leveraging cofinancing.

To reflect that the RMF reports on IFAD11 and not IFAD10, indicator 3.1.1 should read:

3.1	Mobilizing resources and leveraging cofinancing	Source	Baseline 2016	IFAD11 target	IFAD10 target
3.1.1	Percentage of IFAD11 pledges over replenishment target	Corporate databases	83%	100%	100%

Page 19, section 3.7 Institutional efficiency.

Following an updated indicator definition to increase its utility, indicator 3.7.4 and corresponding baseline value should read:

3.7	Institutional efficiency	Source	Baseline 2016	IFAD11 target	IFAD10 target
3.7.4	Ratio of the administrative budget to the ongoing portfolio of loans and grants (outstanding loans and grants)	Corporate databases	1.8%	TBD ^f	N/A

^f Targets for indicators 3.6.1-2 and 3.7.1-4 on decentralization and institutional efficiency will be informed by the Operational Excellence for Results (OpEx) Exercise.

Page 19, section 3.8 Workforce management.

The indicator definitions were updated to exclude positions for which IFAD has little influence on gender or nationality of the staff appointed, such as Associate Professional Officers and staff on loan to IFAD. As a result, the baseline value of indicators 3.8.1 and 3.8.2 should read:

3.8	Workforce management	Source	Baseline 2016	IFAD11 target	IFAD10 target
3.8.1	Percentage of women in P-5 posts and above	Corporate databases	29%	35%	35%
3.8.2	Percentage of professional staff from lists B and C	Corporate databases	38%	Tracked	Tracked