



# IFAD10 Results Measurement Framework

**Technical Seminar**

Tuesday, 18 November 2014

# IFAD10 RMF: evolution from IFAD9



- Builds on experience and lessons from the IFAD9 RMF, and external and independent assessments
- Increases attention to environment and climate change, markets, economic and financial analysis, and grants
- Indicators reduced from 80 in IFAD9 to 58 in IFAD10
- Level of ambition raised for several indicators
- Continued focus on rigorous impact evaluation agenda and evidence-based learning launched in IFAD9
  - IFAD is the only IFI to hold itself accountable in its RMF for measuring and meeting a target on the number of people moved out of poverty

# Member's response to IFAD10 RMF at the third session



- Appreciation for management's continued effort to strengthen IFAD's results focus
- Broad support for efforts to strategically focus and streamline the IFAD10 RMF
- Call to explore opportunities to raise the level of ambition where feasible
- Call for additional information on methods and data sources, and rationale for dropping or adding indicators

# IFAD10 RMF Intersessional Paper



- Comprehensively addresses all points raised by Members
- Puts forward more ambitious RMF targets
- Posted for review and feedback by Members by 23 November
  - To be incorporated in the final draft of the IFAD10 Consultation Report
- Serves as reference document for the IFAD10 RMF technical session

# Highlights of the revised IFAD10 RMF



## Greater ambition

Project outcomes (RMF level 2)

	<u>IFAD9 target</u>		<u>IFAD10 target</u>
Project efficiency	75%		80%
Project sustainability	75%		85%

(rated moderately satisfactory or better)

# Highlights of the revised IFAD10 RMF



## Greater ambition

### Operational effectiveness (RMF level 4)

	<u>IFAD9 target</u>		<u>IFAD10 target</u>
Country-level policy dialogue	70%		85%
	(rated moderately satisfactory or better in client survey)		
<u>Quality Assurance of project designs</u>			
Overall quality	85%		90%
Overall quality in fragile states	80%		85%
Monitoring and evaluation	80%		90%
Scaling up	80%		85%
	(rated moderately satisfactory or better at QA)		
Disbursement ratio overall	17%		22%
Disbursement ratio in fragile states	18%		20%

# Highlights of the revised IFAD10 RMF



## Greater ambition

### Institutional effectiveness and efficiency (RMF level 5)

	<u>IFAD9 target</u>		<u>IFAD10 target</u>
Loan and grant commitments per US\$1 of administrative expenditure	US\$ 8.00	➔	US\$ 8.20
Loan and grant commitments and project cofinancing per US\$1 of administrative expenditure	US\$14.90 (baseline)	➔	US\$ 15.20
Disbursements per US\$1 of administrative expenditure	US\$ 5.30	➔	US\$ 5.50

*(3-year rolling averages on actuals basis)*

# Highlights of the revised IFAD10 RMF



## Greater accountability

- Targets set for all programme indicators
  - Climate change adaptation and environment (at-completion and at-entry)
- For the first time, IFAD establishes projection ranges for project output indicators



## Greater clarity on IFAD10 RMF indicators and targets

- Detailed explanation of measurement methodologies and relation between the two key RMF indicators (and targets) on number of people to be reached and to be moved out of poverty (Section III of IFAD10 RMF Intersessional Paper)
- Definitions and data sources provided for all IFAD10 RMF indicators (Annex 2 of IFAD10 RMF Intersessional Paper)

## Refinements to IFAD10 RMF indicators and targets in December 2015

- agree with EB on any updates based on emerging results from IFAD9, the gender midterm review, other evaluation findings, the approved SDG Framework, and the review of the cluster-based approach to budgeting