Distribution: Limited GC 23/L.7 21 December 1999

English Agenda Item 11 Original: **English**



INTERNATIONAL FUND FOR AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT **Governing Council – Twenty-Third Session**

Rome, 16-17 February 2000

IFAD'S INVOLVMENT IN THE HEAVILY-INDEBTED POOR COUNTRIES DEBT INITIATIVE (HIPC DI) AND ITS ENHANCEMENT

- At its Sixty-Eighth Session, the Executive Board reviewed documents EB 99/68/R.11 and 1. EB 99/68/C.R.P.1 and decided to submit a revised version thereof (document EB 99/68/R.11/Rev.1, attached) to the Governing Council in order to obtain a decision on IFAD's participation in the enhanced HIPC DI.
- The main concern for IFAD's participation in the enhanced HIPC DI consists of designing a financing strategy that will permit the Fund to participate in the HIPC DI while simultaneously safeguarding its financial integrity and its regular lending programme levels, as called for by the Development and Interim Committees in September 1999. The minutes of the Sixty-Eighth Session of the Executive Board recorded the Board's discussions and recommendation that IFAD participate in the enhanced HIPC DI through the World Bank-administered HIPC DI Trust Fund. In so doing, the Executive Board invited the Fund's Member States to make contributions to the World Bank HIPC DI Trust Fund, explicitly earmarking these contributions for debt owed to IFAD. That recommendation is consistent with the recommendations of the Fourth Session of the Consultation to Review the Adequacy of the Resources Available to IFAD.
- In order to facilitate the Governing Council's review of the proposal and of the required 3. financing strategy, a synopsis of the key figures for the enhanced HIPC DI is given in the table overleaf.
- The Governing Council is herewith invited to review the attached proposal, to decide on IFAD's participation in the enhanced HIPC DI, and to decide on the financing strategy for that purpose. A draft resolution is attached for the consideration of and adoption by the Governing Council.



Synopsis of HIPC DI Figures

	In 1998 NPV* Terms	In Nominal Terms
Original Framework		
Total HIPC DI cost	USD 12.5 billion	
Multilateral creditor costs	USD 6.2 billion	
IFAD costs	USD 50-60 million	
IFAD already committed		USD 24.5 million
Netherlands' contribution		USD 15.4 million
Enhanced Framework		1
Total HIPC DI cost	USD 27.4 billion	
Multilateral creditor costs	USD 13.3 billion	
Multilateral creditor costs Without World Bank and	USD 5.9 billion	
International Monetary Fund		1100 0 0 0 51 111
Resources pledged to the World Band-administered Trust Fund as of 1 October 1999 (and to be confirmed)		USD 2.0 – 2.5 billion
IFAD costs 1/	USD 260 million	USD 336 million
IFAD already committed		USD 24.5 million (see above)
Expected annual commitments for IFAD <u>1</u> /		In 2000: USD 90.5 million In 2001: USD 126 million In 2002: USD 16 million In 2003: USD 79 million
Expected annual "disbursements" for IFAD (averages) 1/		Annual Averages by Time Period In 2000-2007: USD 30 million In 2008-2011: USD 14 million In 2012-2017: USD 7 million

Source: World Bank-staff estimates as of 1 October 1999.

Note: The figures constitute the best available estimates. However, they remain estimates and are subject to changes related to: (a) the factors identified in paragraph 9 of the paper, and (b) the assumptions made by the World Bank regarding the timing of the entry of the eligible countries into the programme.

- $\underline{1}/$ Including estimates for Liberia, Somalia and The Sudan.
- * NPV = net present value

ATTACHMENT

DRAFT RESOLUTION ON IFAD'S INVOLVEMENT IN THE HEAVILY-INDEBTED POOR COUNTRIES DEBT INITIATIVE (HIPC DI) AND ITS ENHANCEMENT

Resolution __/XXIII

IFAD's Involvement in the Heavily-Indebted Poor Countries Debt Initiative (HIPC DI) and its Enhancement

The Governing Council of IFAD,

Recalling its Resolution 101/XX on IFAD's Participation in the Debt Initiative for Heavily-Indebted Poor Countries (HIPC DI), adopted on 21 February 1997, and its Resolution 105/XXI on the Establishment of an IFAD Trust Fund for the Heavily-Indebted Poor Countries Debt Initiative, adopted on 11 February 1998;

Concerned by the effect that a high level of indebtedness may have upon the rural poor of the countries in such a position and on the sustainability of a country's poverty eradication efforts;

Noting the successful establishment and implementation so far of the HIPC DI and the HIPC DI Trust Fund by the World Bank, and noting the full support of all other international financial institutions therefor;

Further noting the policy guidelines for the blueprint of an Enhanced HIPC DI framework agreed at the G-8 Summit in Cologne, Germany, during June 1999 and subsequent work by the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund (IMF) to finalize the design of the enhanced HIPC DI;

Having considered document GC 23/L.7 on IFAD's Involvement in the Heavily-Indebted Poor Countries Debt Initiative (HIPC DI) and its Enhancement, and the draft Resolution contained therein;

Decides that:

- 1. IFAD shall participate in the enhanced HIPC DI, through the HIPC DI Trust Fund administered by the World Bank.
- 2. Those Member Countries able to do so should contribute to the enhanced HIPC DI through the HIPC DI Trust Fund of the World Bank, explicitly earmarking those contributions for debt owed to IFAD.

Distribution: Restricted EB 99/68/R.11/Rev.1 9 December 1999

Original: **English Agenda Item 5** English



INTERNATIONAL FUND FOR AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT

Executive Board – Sixty-Eighth Session

Rome, 8-9 December 1999

IFAD'S INVOLVEMENT IN THE HEAVILY-INDEBTED POOR COUNTRIES DEBT INITIATIVE (HIPC DI) AND ITS ENHANCEMENT

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. The purpose of the present document is to provide the Executive Board with a report on:
 - (a) the implementation status of the Heavily-Indebted Poor Countries Debt Initiative (HIPC DI), including the status of IFAD's participation;
 - the developments in the policy framework governing the HIPC DI, in response to the call (b) from the recent G-8 Summit held in Cologne, Germany, to enhance the framework; and
 - the expected resource commitments for IFAD from the year 2000 onwards, and the (c) financing options available.

II. HISTORY OF THE HIPC DI

The initiative for providing heavily-indebted poor countries with a reliable "exit" strategy from unsustainable debt was taken by the President of the World Bank and the Managing Director of the International Monetary Fund (IMF), respectively. In endorsing the conceptual framework of the HIPC DI, the April 1996 meetings of the Interim Committee of the IMF and the World Bank/IMF Development Committee requested that a programme of action be prepared for the World Bank/IMF annual meeting in September/October of the same year. The G-7 Summit in Lyon, France, in June 1996, endorsed the proposed HIPC DI policy framework, following which the World Bank/IMF organized a series of meetings to inform and mobilize other international financial institutions (IFIs) behind the HIPC DI. The annual meeting of the World Bank/IMF in September/October 1996 launched the implementation of the HIPC DI.



III. IFAD'S INVOLVEMENT IN THE ORIGINAL HIPC DI

- 3. IFAD's Executive Board endorsed the principle of IFAD's engagement in the HIPC DI in its December 1996 session¹; in February 1997, the Governing Council approved the framework for IFAD's participation in the HIPC DI with the adoption of Resolution 101/XX². At its December 1997 Session, the Executive Board approved the Operational Policy Framework for IFAD's contribution to the HIPC DI³, and at its February 1998 Session, the Governing Council established IFAD's own trust fund to permit the Fund to do so, with Resolution 105/XXI⁴. As such, IFAD has thus far committed itself to participating in the HIPC DI as per the **original** policy framework, and up to an amount of USD 50 60 million (in 1996 net present value (NPV) terms).
- 4. In support of IFAD's resource requirements for the HIPC DI, the Government of The Netherlands pledged an amount of NLG 26.62 million (approximately USD 15.4 million) of "complementary" resources within the framework of the Fourth Replenishment of IFAD's resources. In order to meet its commitments under the HIPC DI, IFAD will need to pursue its efforts to mobilize additional resources to be channeled through its own HIPC DI Trust Fund (or through the World Bank-administered HIPC DI Trust Fund). Beyond The Netherlands' contribution, IFAD's participation in the HIPC DI is currently being financed from internal resources that would otherwise be available for commitment under the lending programme.
- 5. To date, the Executive Board has approved debt relief packages for seven countries: Burkina Faso, Bolivia, Côte d'Ivoire, Guyana, Mali, Mozambique and Uganda. The Fund is committed to providing a total of USD 24.5 million in relief ⁵. Table 1 provides an overview of approved country cases. Bolivia, Guyana and Uganda have reached their completion point, and IFAD has begun providing those countries with front-loaded debt service relief from its trust fund. However, this debt relief will be implemented over a period of several years, in line with the loan amortization schedules of the countries' loans. In addition, preliminary documents prepared by the World Bank/IMF for Nicaragua and the United Republic of Tanzania are under review within IFAD.

TABLE 1: IFAD AND THE HIPC DI – FACTS AND FIGURES

	Decision Point	Completion Point	NPV Debt/Exports Ratio (%)	NPV Debt/Exports Target Ratio (%)	Reduction in NPV of Debt (%)	IFAD Debt Reduction (USD million)
Uganda	Apr-97	Apr-98	294	202	20	5.7
Burkina Faso a	Sep-97	Apr-2000	247	205	14	2.0
Bolivia	Sep-97	Sep-98	239	225	13	3.1
Guyana	Dec-97	May-99	158	107 Fiscal criterion	24	0.9
Cote d'Ivoire	Mar-98	Mar-2001	184	141 Fiscal criterion	6	0.2
Mozambique b	Apr-98	Jun-99	555	200	63	10.5
Mali	Sep-98	Dec-99	220	200	10	2.1
Totals						24.5

a IFAD received the updated debt sustainability analysis for Burkina Faso, which indicates the likelihood of a need for top-up debt relief at the completion point, due to declining export performance.

On the basis of document GC 21/L6

b Because the export performance of Mozambique has continued to deteriorate between the decision and completion points, the debt relief requirement was re-assessed, and IFAD requested to increase the original debt relief to USD 12 million (to reach the common debt reduction factor of 70%); the Executive Board will be requested to consider this proposal, in line with the principles of the current approved HIPC DI framework.

¹ On the basis of document EB 96/59/R.73.

² On the basis of document GC 20/L.6 and document GC 20/L.6/Add.1.

³ On the basis of document EB 97/62/R.7.

⁴ On the basis of document GC 21/L6.

⁵ See documents EB 97/61/R.14/Rev.1, EB 97/62/R.10/Rev.1, EB 98/64/R.11, EB 98/64/R.12/Rev.1, EB 98/64/R.13, EB 98/64/R.14, and EB 99/66/R.12 for the seven country cases. Document EB 98/65/R.8/Rev.1 provides a summary overview.



IV. THE ENHANCED HIPC DI FRAMEWORK

- 6. Concern was expressed regarding the slow rate of HIPC DI implementation; limited country coverage; inadequate levels of relief, especially in terms of front-loaded relief; and the weak link between debt relief and poverty eradication. Civil society continues to play an important advocacy role in this process. In order to address these concerns, the World Bank and the IMF organized the broad-based process of the 1999 HIPC DC review. Several countries of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) group drew up detailed proposals for improving the design of the HIPC DI; and the recent G-8 Summit held in Cologne, Germany, provided policy guidelines for the blueprint of an enhanced HIPC DI framework. In response to the G-8 Summit's call, the World Bank and the IMF engaged in consultations with a view to designing the expanded HIPC DI policy framework.
- 7. The main elements of this enhanced framework consist of: lowering the relief eligibility thresholds and target-debt ratios; promoting relief from the decision point onwards; and requiring a comprehensive country-owned, poverty-reduction strategy paper, linked to agreed international development goals, with measurable indicators to monitor progress. Attachment I describes the main modifications in the HIPC DI policy framework. As a result, more countries will obtain faster relief that will enable them to overcome unmanageable debt in an effective and sustainable manner (see Attachment II for the expanded list of countries). Linking of debt relief to the pursuit of specific poverty-reduction targets by the concerned governments is a matter that IFAD has pursued since the inception of the HIPC DI; it is now moving to centre stage in the dialogue (Attachment III provides more details on this important aspect)⁶. On 26 September 1999, the co-chairmen of the joint meeting of the Interim and Development Committees issued a statement endorsing the implementation start-up of the enhanced HIPC DI.
- 8. As a result of the enhancement of the HIPC DI policy framework, the total cost of the HIPC DI increased to USD 27.4 billion, ⁷ compared to the original estimates (see Attachment IV). The table in Attachment IV shows the considerable contribution that will be made by the bilateral creditors, and especially by the Paris Club under the longstanding, pro-active leadership of France, strongly supported by a number of other OECD countries.
- 9. It should be noted that the figures currently available are preliminary estimates that may continue to change, and possibly increase, for the following main reasons:
 - the debt-reconciliation process leads to more comprehensive and better information on actual debt (especially with respect to commercial debt);
 - the continued decline of commodity prices necessitates reassessments and higher relief requirements at the completion point; and the continued effect of financial crises, especially the Asia crisis, on the global economy leads to depressed exports from HIPCs that are largely export-dependent countries;
 - more countries are becoming eligible for debt relief in light of the current global economic situation;

Subject to availability of human resources, IFAD may want to play a pro-active role in supporting HIPCs to enhance the quality of their poverty-reduction strategy, at least in some strategically important countries, and in close cooperation with the Fund's strategic partners.

⁷ In 1998 NPV terms.

- يُّلِ
- if international interest rates continue falling, discount rates would continue to decline. As the service charges on concessional loans are fixed, the NPV of future concessional debt repayments would be discounted at a lower rate. This means that the cost of debt relief would increase further;
- the enhancement of the HIPC DI (as described in paragraph 7 above) will increase the number of countries and the costs involved in the HIPC DI, especially since countries that have already received HIPC DI relief will benefit retroactively from the lower thresholds and targets. This also contributes to increased costs; and
- if arrears are incorporated into the HIPC DI, in response to the President of IFAD's recent initiative to look at arrears as an integral part of the HIPCs' unmanageable debt, total costs would also increase. However, IFAD's efforts to deal with arrears on a concessional basis will be accounted for under the HIPC DI.

V. FINANCING THE HIPC DI

- 10. While the Development and Interim Committees endorsed the enhanced HIPC DI framework, the co-chairmen's joint statement highlighted equally the need to implement the HIPC DI in accordance with the following original principles: additionality of debt relief; maintaining the financial integrity of international financial institutions (IFIs); and cost-sharing on a broad and equitable basis. The co-chairmen also stressed that the financing of debt relief should not compromise funding provided through concessional windows. While the IFIs may be invited to examine the feasibility of allocating internal resources to the initiative, it was recognized that "there will need to be additional bilateral support in order to meet the financing requirements of the enhanced Initiative".
- 11. During the 1 October 1999 meeting of IFIs in Washington, D.C., the World Bank and the IMF briefed participants on the enhanced HIPC DI and urged them to participate in it. All participating IFIs pledged strong support for the HIPC DI objectives. However, with the exception of the World Bank and the IMF (which appear to have solved at least their short-term problem of funding HIPC DI commitments) and the European Union (which appears to have its resources to hand for commitment), all other participating IFIs expressed concern that providing debt relief beyond the framework originally agreed upon would compromise both their financial integrity and their capacity to sustain current levels of concessional lending a matter of serious concern also to the Development and Interim Committees. A number of IFIs stated that their participation in the enhanced HIPC DI should not be taken for granted unless additional resources were mobilized. Most IFIs are not in a position to provide enhanced debt relief, nor to secure continuous concessional resource flows and safeguard their financial integrity at the same time. The weakness of the monitoring system may lead to some "free-rider" temptations among the more exposed IFIs, especially since the World Bank is pursuing a major campaign to mobilize bilateral resources for the HIPC DI Trust Fund.

VI. COST IMPLICATIONS FOR IFAD

12. As far as IFAD is concerned, preliminary World Bank estimates for the Fund's participation in the enhanced HIPC DI indicate a total cost of USD 260 million (in 1998 NPV terms, using a 6% discount rate). In nominal terms, IFAD's liability would amount to a total of USD 336 million⁸. These

4

If all necessary financial resources were to be made available to IFAD **up-front in cash**, the NPV amount of USD 260 million would be the relevant figure for the Fund's resource requirements, under the currently available estimates. If the necessary resources were to be made available to IFAD only **at the time they are required** for "disbursement" (as in the case of The Netherlands' contribution), the nominal amount of USD 336 would be the relevant figure.



resource requirements will be **additional** to the resources needed to maintain the planned critical level of new loan commitments. The total eligible debt to IFAD (of the 36 HIPCs so far listed in Attachment II) amounts to approximately USD 1.5 billion, and will be reduced by over 22%. The Executive Board and the Governing Council are invited to decide on IFAD's participation in the enhanced HIPC DI framework, beyond the originally approved amount of USD 50-60 million (see paragraph 3 above).

13. Table 2 summarizes, by year of decision point, the nominal values that IFAD will need to "disburse" (in the form of granting debt relief) in the subsequent number of years required to reach the NPV relief target. For instance, this means that the relief committed for Mozambique in 1999 will be provided over a period of about 13 years. Attachment V disaggregates (for IFAD, by country and by year) the original NPV costs (including reassessed costs at completion point) vis-à-vis the NPV costs resulting from the enhancement of the HIPC DI policy framework in response to the G-8 Summit in July 1999 (including retroactivity), and their nominal equivalents.

TABLE 2: IFAD'S HIPC DI COMMITMENTS (including retroactive treatment)

(USD million, in nominal values, by year of decision point)

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
1999 or earlier decision point	24.5				
resources committed					
1999 or earlier decision point		50.5			
resources NOT YET committed					
2000 decision point		40	126		
2001 or later decision point ^a				16	79
Cumulative Total	24.5	115	241	257	336

Source: IFAD staff estimates based on World Bank data

VII. FINANCING-STRATEGY OPTIONS FOR IFAD

- 14. The basic principles to be considered in developing a strategy for funding IFAD's participation in the HIPC DI follow below.
 - (a) The President of IFAD cannot commit debt relief for a given country unless **resources** are firmly on hand, in a manner that does not compromise the Fund's financial integrity and its regular lending programme capacity.
 - (b) If all necessary financial resources were to be made available to IFAD in **up-front cash**, the NPV amount of USD 260 million would be the relevant figure for the Fund's resource requirements (under the currently available estimates), since IFAD would be able to invest the resources and ensure their enhancement to the required nominal level.
 - (c) If the necessary resources were only to be made available to IFAD in cash at the time they are required for "disbursement", or in the form of promissory notes that would be encashed as and when resources are needed, the nominal amount of USD 336 million would be the relevant figure. But IFAD would need to be fully ensured that these resources will be available without conditions as and when they are required by the Fund.

a $\;\;$ The figures for Liberia, Somalia and The Sudan are tentative.



- (d) If **no additional resources** were forthcoming, IFAD may need to consider protecting its resource base and its annual lending capacity by not participating in the enhanced HIPC DI. This would be problematic given the political consensus around the need for a comprehensive approach to assisting HIPCs.
- (e) Therefore, IFAD will need to shift from the currently applied modality of straight cancellation of debt-service requirements (up to the required level of NPV-of-Debt Relief) to the modality of **debt rescheduling**, also approved by the Governing Council in 1998 (see paragraph 8 of Resolution 105/XXI), and/or work with a blend of these two modalities. This will still affect IFAD's cash flow in the next ten years, but over time IFAD will recover the nominal resources owed to it by the HIPCs, albeit at a much lower NPV. The complementary resources mobilized would be administered so as to reduce IFAD's NPV loss inherent in rescheduling.
- 15. During the October 1999 session of the Consultation to Review the Adequacy of the Resources Available to IFAD, the following policy stance began to emerge:
 - (a) IFAD needs to participate in the enhanced HIPC DI, but it is unlikely that **additional resources** could be channeled directly to IFAD to fund its participation in the HIPC DI.
 - (b) IFAD should ensure that the World Bank-administered HIPC DI Trust Fund accommodates IFAD's financial needs under the HIPC DI. To this effect, IFAD's member countries were urged to **earmark** their pledges to the World Bank-administered HIPC DI Trust Fund, specifically for IFAD, when they participate in the forthcoming "International Development Association (IDA)-Replenishment Type" of pledging meetings, expected to be convened by the World Bank very shortly.
 - (c) In this context, it will also be necessary to define how the World Bank will release these funds. If resources are released in full when commitments are made, the NPV amount constitutes what is required. However, if resources are released only as and when needed, then the nominal amounts will need to be assured, as well as the firmness of their availability to IFAD.
 - (d) Thus far, IFAD has committed itself to participate in the HIPC DI for an amount up to USD 60 million, compared to the USD 336 million required (i.e. 18%). To meet this requirement, IFAD will continue to accept complementary resources (such as the complementary contribution of The Netherlands), and any residual may need to come from internal resources, thereby reducing resources available for commitment.
 - (e) In order to mitigate the impact of the HIPC DI on IFAD's financial resource base and its capacity to provide new loans, IFAD would activate the second modality for providing NPV-of-Debt Relief, i.e. debt rescheduling; especially in countries where the long-term debt management problem appears to be a predominant issue.
 - (f) IFAD can only commit itself to the enhanced HIPC DI once the strategy for financing its participation has been fully designed and subscribed to, and once the resources are firmly on hand.



VIII. RECOMMENDATION

- 16. It is recommended that the Board consider the following:
 - (a) provide a decision in principle on the participation of IFAD in the enhanced HIPC DI, through the World Bank-administered HIPC DI Trust Fund; and
 - (b) approve the forwarding of this report to the Governing Council.

ATTACHMENT I

MODIFICATIONS IN THE HIPC DI FRAMEWORK

Deeper Debt Relief

- By lowering the NPV debt-to-export target from 200-250% to 150%
- By lowering the NPV debt-to-fiscal revenue target from 280 to 250% and the qualifying thresholds from 40 to 30% for the export-to-GDP ratio; and from 20 to 15 % for the revenue-to-GDP ratio
- Calculation of debt relief based on actual data at the decision point rather than on projections for the completion point

Faster Debt Relief

- The provision of interim relief between the decision and completion points
- The introduction of floating completion points permitting strong performers to reach the completion point earlier
- Front-loading of the delivery of debt relief, subject to the debt-service profile due to creditors

Stronger Link to Poverty Reduction

• Through the requirement of a poverty-reduction strategy paper (see Attachment III)

Results

- Greater safety margin for the achievement of debt sustainability
- More freeing-up of resources earlier for enhanced focus on poverty reduction
- Stronger impact on poverty eradication
- Expansion of eligibility from 29 to 36 HIPCs and possibly other countries (see Attachment II)
- Increase in overall costs (excluding Liberia, Somalia and The Sudan) from USD 12.5 to USD 27 billion



ATTACHMENT II

LIST OF POTENTIALLY ELIGIBLE COUNTRIES

Under the Original Framework Under the Enhanced Framework

Decision points reached in 1997 Decision points reached in 1997

Benin*

BoliviaBoliviaBurkina FasoBurkina FasoGuyanaGuyanaUgandaUganda

Decision point reached in 1998 Decision point reached in 1998

Côte d'Ivoire Côte d'Ivoire

Mali Mali

Mozambique Mozambique

Senegal*

Decision point expected for 1999 Decision point expected for 1999

Chad Chad Ethiopia Ethiopia

Ghana* Guinea

Guinea Guinea

Honduras*
Laos*
Malayri

MalawiMalawiMauritaniaMauritaniaNicaraguaNicaraguaNigerNiger

Tanzania, United Republic of Tanzania, United Republic of

Togo*

Zambia Zambia

Decision point expected for 2000 Decision point expected for 2000

CameroonCameroonCongoCongoGuinea-BissauGuinea-BissauMadagascarMadagascarRwandaRwandaSierra LeoneSierra Leone

Decision point expected for 2001 or later Decision point expected for 2001 or later

Central African Republic*

Burundi Burundi
D.R. Congo D.R. Congo
Liberia Liberia
Myanmar Myanmar

Sao Tome and Principe Sao Tome and Principe

Somalia Somalia Sudan Sudan

Note: Angola, Equatorial Guinea, Kenya, Nigeria, Viet Nam and Yemen are part of the original 41 heavily-indebted poor countries, but have not (yet) been included in the HIPC DI list. Malawi has been added to the original list of 41.

new countries



ATTACHMENT III

POVERTY REDUCTION STRATEGY PAPER (PRSP) 1

Characteristics

- It must be a cogent, highly strategic and action-oriented document that describes the priorities in the government's poverty-eradication strategy, and spells out the budgetary implications of this prioritization.
- It must ensure consistency between a country's macroeconomic, structural and social policies and the goals of poverty reduction and social development.
- It should serve as the basis for designing World Bank and IMF lending operations, and as a framework with which all Enhanced Structural Adjustment Facility (ESAF) and World Banksupported programmes should be consistent.

Contents

- Medium and long-term goals for poverty reduction and social development, with a range of relevant results-oriented indicators for monitoring progress in poverty reduction.
- A macroeconomic framework consistent with the poverty reduction and social development goals, over at least a three-year time frame.
- Structural reforms, priorities, sectoral strategies (three-year agenda) and associated funding needs (domestic and external) necessary to deliver growth and poverty-reduction objectives.
- Anti-poverty and other social policies, linked to an analysis of the social impact of macro and structural policies, and associated funding needs (domestic and external).
- Overall external financing needs for each year of the programme.

Process

- It must be produced in a way that ensures transparency and broad-based participation in the choice of goals, the formulation of policies and the monitoring of implementation, with ultimate ownership by the government:
 - Governments take the lead.
 - Consultations with civil society and other stakeholders (donors, etc.).
 - With possible facilitation by and technical assistance from the World Bank and the IMF.
 - Annual reviews and reworking of the PRSP, e.g. every three years, to ensure that the framework remains sufficiently current.
 - Where possible, it should be linked to the Comprehensive Development Framework (CDF) and the Common Country Assessment (CCA).

Subject to the availability of human resources, IFAD may want to play a pro-active role in supporting HIPCs to enhance the quality of their poverty-reduction strategy, at least in some strategically important countries, and in close cooperation with its strategic partners.

ATTACHMENT IV

HIPC DEBT INITIATIVE PRELIMINARY ESTIMATES OF POTENTIAL COSTS BY CREDITOR

The current and the enhanced frameworks, which include retroactivity (USD billion in 1998 NPV terms, all 41 countries excluding Liberia, Somalia and The Sudan) ¹

	Original Framework	Enhanced Framework
Scenario criteria		
NPV debt/exports target	200	150
Fiscal window targets		
NPV debt/revenue target	280	250
Export/GDP, Revenue/GDP thresholds	40/20	30/15
Track record ²	Baseline	Baseline
Debt relief fixed at	Completion point ³	Decision point 4
Number of countries expected to qualify	26	33
Total costs (including retroactivity)	12.5	27.4
Bilateral and commercial creditors	6.3	14.2
Paris Club	5.2	11.5
Other official bilateral	1.0	1.7
Commercial	0.1	0.9
Multilateral creditors	6.2	13.3
World Bank	2.4	5.1
IMF	1.2	2.3
AfDB/AfDF	1.0	2.0
IDB	0.5	1.0
Other	1.3	2.9

Source: IMF and World Bank estimates.

- 1 Proportional burden-sharing of debt relief costs among creditors is assumed.
- 2 Baseline track record implies three years of reforms under World Bank and IMF programmes before the decision point can be reached, and a further three years of reform before the completion point can be reached.
- 3 Debt relief set at the completion point means that the amount of assurance would be based on projections of debt and exports for the year prior to the completion point.
- Debt relief set at the decision point means that the amount of assistance would be based on actual debt and exports figures available the year prior to the decision point. This provides more assistance and greater probability of a robust exit, as in most programme countries the debt/exports ratios are declining over time.

ATTACHMENT IV

Multilateral Development Banks Estimated debt relief to be delivered ¹ under the enhanced framework ² Excluding Liberia, Somalia and The Sudan (in USD million, in 1998 NPV terms ³)

Multilateral Development Banks	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	Total
World Bank Group	785	978	2 230	699	22	300	121	5 135
IMF	359	370	1 058	228	11	23	249	2 298
AfDB	140	393	659	456	52	99	198	1 998
IaDB	534	_	524	_	_	_	_	1 058
EIB/EU	43	76	318	181	3	25	79	725
BCIE	-	-	389	-	-	-	-	389
IFAD	38	21	93	36	4	9	7	209
OPEC Fund	23	14	52	26	2	10	-	128
BADEA ⁴	25	17	50	50	3	11	8	164
BCEAO	8	53	5	-	-	-	-	66
BOAD	12	15	5	-	-	-	-	33
CMCF	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	57
Nordic Development Fund	3	4	11	-	-	-	-	18
Nordic Investment Bank	-	-	4	3	-	-	-	7
FONPLATA	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	23
BIAPE	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
CAF	114	-	-	-	-	-	-	114
IsDB	26	19	63	16	-	-	-	124
Total (I)	2 216	1 976	5 514	1 745	101	488	670	12 709
Residual								562
Total (II)								13 271

Source: These are preliminary estimates, giving a rough order of magnitude, and are subject to change in the context of country-specific DSAs. They are based on HIPC documents or debt database from the international financial institutions (IFIs) or, in the absence of such information, the debt reporting system database of the World Bank.

Notes:

- For expecting country cases, projected NPVs are based on disbursed amount at the end of December 1998.
- It includes: (i) NPV of debt to export target of 150%; (ii) NPV of debt to revenue target of 250%; (iii) export to GDP threshold of 30%; and (iv) revenue to GDP threshold of 15%. The amount is based on the actual situation at the decision point.
- Costs are discounted from the assumed decision points to 1998 NPV terms using a 6% discount rate.
- Includes SAFA loans.

CERNATIONAL FUND FOR AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPME

ATTACHMENT V

TENTATIVELY ESTIMATED COSTS TO IFAD ORIGINAL AND ENHANCED FRAMEWORK

IFAD: Projected Debt Relief to be Delivered 1 Under the Original and the Enhanced New Framework 2

(in USD million, in 1998 NPV terms ³)

IFAD: Debt Service Relief 1/ Illustrative Cash Flow Relief: 100% of debt service due each year until completion of total NPV Relief (in USD million)

	Original Fra	mework	Enhanced Fra	mework																		
	Common	Debt	Common	Debt																		
	Debt Reduction	Relief	Debt Reduction	Relief	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
	Factor (%)	USD mlln	Factor (%) U	USD mlln	-																	
Decision points reached	in 1999 or earlier																					
Benin	-	-	13	3	1.3	1.2																Benin
Bolivia	8	3	35	11	3.1	3.1	2.4	1.8	1.9													Bolivia
Burkina Faso	20	3	56	9	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			Burkina Faso
Guyana	38	1	38	1	0.6	0.5																Guyana
Uganda	17	5	44	14	1.8	1.8	1.7	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2									Uganda
Côte d'Ivoire	3	0	28	1	0.5	0.0																Côte d'Ivoire
Mali	9	2	30	7	1.5	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	0.5												Mali
Mozambique	70	12	69	12	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	0.5					Mozambique
Senegal	-	-	8	2	0.9	0.5																Senegal
Decision points expected	for 2000																					
Chad	9	0	19	1	0.1	0.1	0.2															Chad
Ethiopia	20	6	35	11	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.2	0.5											Ethiopia
Ghana	-	_	13	4	1.7	2.0	0.5															Ghana
Guinea	11	2	40	9	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.5										Guinea
Honduras	-	_	21	2	0.9	0.8																Honduras
Laos	_	_	25	2	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6														Laos
Malawi	21	5	35	9	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.8	1.8	1.0											Malawi
Mauritania	19	4	38	8	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.5	0.5										Mauritania
Nicaragua	59	8	61	8	1.7	1.7	1.1	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6						Nicaragua
Niger	17	3	37	6	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	0.5										Niger
Tanzania, United	14	4	40	11	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.9	2.0	0.5									Tanzania, United
Republic of																						Republic of
Togo	-	-	15	1	0.6	0.6																Togo
Zambia	45	14	65	20	1.8	1.8	1.7	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	1.0		Zambia
Cameroon	8	1	26	3	0.0	1.2	1.2	1.2														Cameroon
Congo	20	1	66	3		1.7	1.0															Congo
Guinea-Bissau	67	3	79	4		0.8	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3		Guinea-Bissau
Madagascar	10	2	42	9		1.2	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.5									Madagascar
Rwanda	61	9	67	10		1.0	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			Rwanda
Sierra Leone	19	3	55	8		0.8	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9						Sierra Leone

1

IFAD: Projected Debt Relief to be Delivered ¹ Under the Original and the Enhanced New Framework ²

(in USD million, in 1998 NPV terms ³)

IFAD: Debt Service Relief 1/ **Illustrative Cash Flow Relief:** 100% of debt service due each year until completion of total NPV Relief (in USD million)

	Original Fr	amework	Enhanced Framework					
	Common	Debt	Common	Debt				
	Debt Reduction	Relief	Debt Reduction	Relief				
	Factor (%)	USD mlln	Factor (%)	USD mlln				
Decision points expected for	or 2001							
Central African Republic	=	=	36	4				
Decision points expected fo	or 2002							
Burundi	70	6	73	7				
Myanmar	8	-	28	-				
Sao Tome and Principe	62	2	75	2				
Decision points expected fo	or 2003							
D.R. Congo	27	4	53	7				
Liberia	62	7	81	9				
Somalia	72	10	72	10				
Sudan	49	20	80	32				
Cumulative Total		142		260				
Excl. Liberia, Somalia and	The Sudan	105		209				

Source: HIPC costing papers, IFAD debt database and staff estimates.

Note:

15

- For expecting country cases, project NPVs are based on disbursed amount at end-December 1998.
- It includes: (i) NPV of debt to export target of 150%, (ii) NPV of debt to revenue target of 250%; (iii) export to GDP threshold of 30%; and (iv) revenue to GDP threshold of 15%. The amount is based on actual situation at the decision point.
- Costs are discounted from the assumed decision points to 1998 NPV terms using a 6% discount rate.

IFAD - Decision Point Commitments USD million								
1999 or earlier	75							
2000	166							
2001	5							
2002	11							
2003	79							
Total	336							



