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IFAD in Angola since 1989

- Since 1989, 7 loans and 5 self-standing national grants
- Total loan portfolio cost: US$ 135.2 million
- Country strategic opportunities programme (COSOP): 2005
- Angola is the first regional contributor to IFAD’s replenishment.
Country context (selected issues)

• Civil war 1975-2002. Weakened infrastructures, economy and institutions

• 2002 - 2014 GNI p.c. increased from US$2,900 to US$6,800 (oil production).

• Since 2016 decline in oil prices: GDP growth down to 1.1 from 8.5 in 2012

• Latest poverty headcount data for 2008: 36.6% national (down from 62% in 2001). But 58.3% in rural areas
High level of relevance. Focus on rural poverty alleviation aligned with national policies, IFAD’s strategies and the needs of rural poor family farmers;

Ambitious project designs, not always realistic, insufficient consideration of national implementation capacity in MOSAP I and AFAP;

Targeting consistent with 2005 COSOP. Poor farming families and women in all projects.

Implementation less efficient than desirable, delays largely due to dearth of national capacity in MOSAP I and AFAP.
- **Innovation**: Farmer Field Schools and improved farming practices in MOSAP I; freshwater fisheries and aquaculture in AFAP (requires focus and know-how).

- **Scaling-up of FFS through externally-funded projects**: AfDB, FAO, World Bank.

- MOSAP I effective in improving productivity and production.
- Good perspectives for sustainability of results at farmers’ level: improved farming techniques, high social sustainability of farmers’ associations, rigorous and large-scale implementation of the FFS approach.

- Limited attention to soil fertility and water management; and to crop resilience to climate change.

- High participation of women and drudgery-reducing technology for processing (cassava, maize). Little done to foster women leadership.
Non-lending activities

- **Knowledge management**: analysis and integration of lessons learned from previous projects; high turnover of CPMs; lack of planning for KM in the context of the expanded portfolio;

- **Partnership building**: positive with the Government; highly effective with FAO and the World Bank, based on the respective comparative advantages;

- **Policy engagement**: mainly in the context of project design and implementation; portfolio focus on poor family farming and adoption of the FFS as the national methodology for agricultural extension.
Conclusions on IFAD’s strategy in Angola

IFAD’s interventions have been effective in **improving food security and livelihoods** of poor family farmers;

**Current expanded portfolio** is a result of constructive partnership with the Government. It requires **more support and presence** at country level;

**Capacity development** at all levels, **women’s empowerment** and **youth inclusion** remain a priority;

**Land-tenure and agro-ecology** identified as gaps in IFAD’s interventions.
Five strategic recommendations

1. IFAD to remain champion for sustainable and pro-poor agricultural and rural development;

2. Reinforce the capacity for implementation support and policy engagement in the country.

3. Make capacity development one of the pillars and cross-cutting principles for its portfolio in Angola.

4. Include a stronger focus on women empowerment and youth inclusion.