

# Strengthening the strategic role of Executive Board subsidiary bodies

**Evaluation Committee** 

23 March 2018



Office of the Secretary (SEC)

# **Background**

- ➤ Executive Board retreats: particular attention to the manner in which subsidiary committees report to the Board (EB121)
  - ➤ **EC98/EC99** discussed issues of relevance to the Evaluation Committee:
    - need to agree on critical messages from sessions and package succinctly for the Board.
    - for greater ownership, EC members and the Chairperson should prepare key messages for the Board



# Benchmarking study—9 organizations

3 Aspects

Structure of subsidiary body meetings

**Decision making** 

Reporting to the board

8 out of 9 Responses

WFP, FAO, UNESCO and WHO

IFI
AfDB, ADB, WB/IDA and IDB

No response from EBRD

> All IFIs in the study have resident boards



# Structure of meetings

Up to 7 subsidiary bodies per organization with a range from 5 to 10 members per body.

Meetings are held from twice a year to ten per month, from 1 to 3 weeks prior to the board

Chairperson is involved in agenda setting but the level of involvement varies

Committees discuss operational and strategic issues and preparatory meetings are held with management and the Chair – ADB is the only exception

Time limit for interventions are set in 4 organizations at between 4 to 7 minutes each



# **Decision-making role**

All subsidiary bodies of comparators DO NOT have decision-making powers

#### The functions include:

- Elect Chairperson/Vice Chairperson
- Review documents and report to the Board
- Decide on proposals to be submitted to the Board
- Approve agenda for their meetings and their work program

For one comparator: the committee also approves country portfolio performance reviews

For three comparators: the Board may modify proposals by subsidiary bodies except for the election of their Chairpersons and approval of the work program



### Reporting and official records

### Reporting and official records take various forms:

Minutes (3)

Report of the Chairperson (3)

Summaries/Notes/Green sheets (2)

# Good practices in addressing members' comments to ensure consistency:

Sharing draft with members for comments

Follow-up action table for discussion at subsequent meetings

Chairperson's oral summary at the end of each discussion item

Six comparators have a timeline for processing official records and this varies



# Reporting and official records

- ➤ In one case, approval of the official records is entirely the responsibility of the Chairperson UNESCO
- ➤ Reports/records are usually shared with the Board for information 3 -14 days before the Board 6 comparators
- ➤ In most cases, chairpersons present reports/records to the Board orally



# Contributions to the strategic governance role of the Board

- ➤ 4 comparators, some subsidiary bodies provide inputs to the performance evaluations of some Unit Heads in the Organisation
- ➤ 6 comparators provide input to work plans of respective units
- ➤ 6 comparators submit annual reports of their activities to the Board



### Take-aways

### > Responses to the survey show that:

✓IFAD practices are in line with best practices in comparator organizations.

### **≻Opportunities for improvement:**

- ✓ Role of the Chairperson in summarising agreed points or differing views at the end of each agenda item
- ✓ Bodies could review their effectiveness at least once in the three year period (2 comparators)
- ✓ Reporting to the Board, as already noted by the EC, can be improved: more focused, strategic and full ownership by the members of issues raised at the Board



### **Next steps**

- ➤ The results of the benchmarking study will be included in a discussion paper for the Board retreat in April 2018
- The Evaluation Committee will need to agree on specific next steps to take in implementing some of the ideas discussed: preparation, format, and content of the Chairperson's report to the Board.
- Further to the retreat, a consolidated paper for consideration at the September Board

