Distribution: Restricted AC 2005/90/R.6 30 May 2005

Original: English Agenda Item 6 English



#### IFAL

# INTERNATIONAL FUND FOR AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT Audit Committee – Ninetieth Meeting

Rome, 4 July 2005

### 2004 ANNUAL REPORT OF THE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

- 1. The Oversight Committee (OVC) was established by the President of IFAD in May 2000, pursuant to President's Bulletin 2000/04, to coordinate investigations into alleged irregular practices as a means of ensuring consistent, prompt and appropriate responses to allegations. The OVC membership comprises the Vice-President of IFAD as Chair, the General Counsel and the Chief, Internal Audit. The Special Advisor to the Vice-President and other IFAD officers (on invitation) have also participated in OVC meetings. The mandate of the OVC was reinforced in July 2003 through the adoption of the UN/IFI Uniform Guidelines for Investigation (see President's Bulletin 2003/06, copy attached).
- 2. President's Bulletin (PB) 2003/06 requires the OVC to investigate allegations of irregular practices pertaining to activities within IFAD or in connection with operations and contracts financed by IFAD, to decide on the investigative actions to be taken (if any), to determine the role of IFAD in investigations involving external parties (such as national authorities or cooperating institutions), and to report to the President in a prompt manner the facts that have emerged from the investigation.
- 3. PB 2003/06 further requires the OVC to report annually to staff on the salient elements of cases handled and the resulting actions. The attached 2004 Annual Report of the Oversight Committee was issued to IFAD staff in May 2005. This report is being shared with the Audit Committee to facilitate Members' appreciation of the range of oversight activities undertaken by the Fund. As stated in the report, IFAD's investigative mechanism is expected to be strengthened during 2005 with the adoption of an anti-corruption policy.

### 2004 ANNUAL REPORT OF THE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

### A. Introduction

- 1. The Oversight Committee (OVC) was established by the President of IFAD in May 2000, pursuant to President's Bulletin 2000/04, to coordinate investigations into alleged irregular practices as a means of ensuring consistent, prompt and appropriate responses to allegations. The OVC membership comprises the Vice-President of IFAD as Chair, the General Counsel and the Chief, Internal Audit. The Special Advisor to the Vice-President and other IFAD officers (on invitation) have also participated in OVC meetings. The mandate of the OVC was reinforced in July 2003 through the adoption of the UN/IFI Uniform Guidelines for Investigation (see President's Bulletin 2003/06, copy attached).
- 2. President's Bulletin (PB) 2003/06 requires the OVC to investigate allegations of irregular practices pertaining to activities within IFAD or in connection with operations and contracts financed by IFAD, to decide on the investigative actions to be taken, to determine the role of IFAD in investigations involving external parties (such as national authorities or cooperating institutions), and to report to the President in a prompt manner the facts that have emerged from the investigation. PB 2003/06 further requires the OVC to report annually to staff on the salient elements of cases handled and the resulting actions. The present report covers cases handled by the OVC in the period August 2003 to December 2004. The salient details of these cases and the sanctions applied or follow-up actions taken are set out in Annex A.
- 3. As this is the first OVC report issued to staff, it also includes the most significant cases handled by the OVC during the period 2000-2003. These are included in Annex A under a separate heading.

### B. Cases handled and resulting actions

- 4. Allegations received by the OVC are assessed as to whether they potentially fall within the scope of the OVC mandate. If the answer is affirmative, the OVC proceeds to screen the relevant evidence through a preliminary review; otherwise, the issue is referred to the appropriate organizational unit. During the period August 2003 to December 2004, the OVC received twelve allegations of varying degrees of gravity, the investigation of which could potentially fall within its mandate.
- 5. Tables 1 and 2 present information on the manner in which the allegations were referred to the OVC and the nature of the allegations examined (Cases 1 and 3 included allegations falling under more than one category). The case numbers refer to the numbers assigned to the cases in Annex A.

Table 1. Cases handled, by mode of receipt (August 2003 to December 2004)

Mode of receipt of allegations by the OVC	Cases
Sent to the President by external parties and referred to the OVC	1, 4, 12
Received directly by OA, OL or OV from external parties	10, 11
Brought to the attention of the OVC by IFAD staff	2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9

2

The following organizational abbreviations are used in this report: OA, Office of Internal Audit; OL, Office of the General Counsel; OV, Office of the Vice-President.

Table 2. Cases handled, by type of allegation (August 2003 to December 2004)

Category of allegation	Cases
Staff members: Abuse of position for private gain	1, 2, 3
Staff members: Improper conduct	4, 5, 6, 7
Consultants/suppliers: Fraudulent misrepresentation	3, 8, 9
Consultants/suppliers: Improper conduct	10
Project and cooperating institution staff: Abuse of position for private gain	1, 11, 12

- 6. The OVC's preliminary review of an allegation consists in the examination of evidence readily available in relation to the allegation. If the OVC conclusion, based on the preliminary evidence gathered, is that the allegation is unfounded or impossible to substantiate, then the case is closed and no information is normally released, other than to the President and in the context of this report. The term "unfounded" means that the evidence gathered was sufficient to disprove the allegation while "not substantiated" means that the evidence was inconclusive as to the veracity of the allegation. If the preliminary evidence gathered supports the veracity of the allegation, the OVC launches a full investigation.
- 7. The preliminary review work and the investigation of cases involving IFAD staff or consultants were mostly undertaken by OA with the assistance of external experts. The handling of allegations pertaining to project activities was coordinated by the OVC and involved other IFAD divisions, the external organizations concerned and other external entities. A breakdown of OVC reviews/investigations by process followed is provided in Table 3.

Table 3. Cases handled, by process followed (August 2003 to December 2004)

Process and status of OVC reviews/investigations	Cases
Assessed by the OVC through a preliminary review and closed	1 (re: the staff member), 2, 5, 6
Investigated by the OVC or by other entities with the OVC in a coordinating/monitoring role	1 (re: project staff), 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12
Still being assessed/investigated	10

8. The results of the OVC's work in 2003-2004 are summarized in Table 4. Some of the cases appear in more than one category since they include more than one allegation with different outcomes (see Annex A for details).

Table 4. Cases handled, by outcome (August 2003 to December 2004)

Outcome of OVC reviews/investigations	Cases
Allegations determined to be unfounded	1, 2, 4, 5
Allegations could not be substantiated	2, 6, 11
Allegations/complaints substantiated/confirmed	1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 12
Allegations still being assessed/investigated	10

### C. Lessons learned and recommendations

9. IFAD's response to allegations as represented by cases handled by the OVC in recent years affirms the determination of the Fund to properly investigate and address all allegations of irregular

practices that come to its attention (some of which pertaining to senior officials). The OVC was able to handle successfully several cases, some of which were of a significant complexity.

### 1. Prevention

Prevention is the most fundamental element in the fight against irregular practices, and the internal control framework of an institution is its main shield. The internal control framework comprises: a supportive control environment (with aspects such as high integrity, ethical values and clear institutional policies); the systematic identification, analysis and management of risks to achieve institutional objectives; appropriate control activities (such as approvals, authorizations, verifications, reconciliations, reviews of operating performance, security of assets and segregation of duties); and pertinent communication practices and proper monitoring and supervisory controls. The cases handled by the OVC revealed control weaknesses in, inter alia, the frequency of reassignment of country portfolio responsibilities, the administration of consultancy services (in particular the vetting and selection processes), the ethical guidance available to IFAD staff in relation to their involvement in project or grant activities, the safeguarding of confidential information, the vetting of potential suppliers at IFAD headquarters and the monitoring of the proper adherence to audit provisions by grant recipients. Some of these controls have since been strengthened (e.g. monitoring of grant audits, vetting of headquarters contractors) while OA pursued further the assessment of others and issued recommendations to the divisions concerned (e.g. on defining and safeguarding confidential information).

**Suggested actions**: (1) Conduct an audit, in 2005, of the controls over the administration of consultancy services; and (2) issue proper ethical guidance to staff on the role of IFAD officers vis-àvis the management of projects and grants.

### 2. Detection

11. An analysis of the manner in which alleged wrongdoing was detected or brought to the attention of the OVC is set out in Table 5. It covers all 15 cases listed in Annex A.

Table 5. Cases included in Annex A, by detection method

Detection of allegations examined by the OVC	Cases
Detected/raised by external parties	1, 4, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14
Detected/raised by IFAD staff	2, 5, 6, 7
Detected through IFAD administrative control procedures	8, 9, 15
Detected through an internal audit review	3

- 12. All project/grant-related allegations were either raised by external parties or by OA through its review work. In the course of its work, the OVC noted several cases of project-related allegations raised with IFAD staff by local sources or cooperating institutions but not referred to the OVC, as required by PB 2003/06. The OVC further noted that some of the allegations examined in 2003-2004 had been previously brought to the attention of staff responsible for the relevant projects and grants but reached the OVC only when the authors formally raised their complaints with the President. PB 2003/06 requires staff to report to the OVC all indications of irregularities that come formally to their attention through the performance of their official duties. An allegation brought to the attention of a party in IFAD and not referred to the OVC is not properly handled since it is not dealt with in accordance with defined IFAD assessment and investigation processes. Moreover, omitted or delayed referral of allegations to the OVC undermines the credibility of IFAD's investigative mechanisms and diminishes the chances of success of an eventual investigation.
- 13. Another conclusion that can be drawn from this analysis is the importance of safeguarding and enhancing the communication channels open to external parties. This will require a closer look at the

communication channels between the OVC and cooperating institutions and local counterparts but also at the effectiveness of communication channels within the Fund. With the implementation of IFAD's anti-corruption policy in 2005, steps will be taken towards the establishment of web-based communication facilities and the introduction of specific arrangements between the OVC and IFAD cooperating institutions as currently practiced by other institutions.

**Suggested actions**: (1) Supplement the PB 2003/06 provision requiring staff to report to the OVC all indications of irregularities that come formally to their attention through the performance of their official duties: (i) with a requirement to report such potential irregularities to the OVC within five working days (or on return to headquarters from duty travel); and (ii) by defining the non-adherence to this provision as misconduct in that it amounts to an attempt to evade or obstruct the proper investigation of alleged fraud and corruption. (2) Raise the awareness of staff as to their obligations under this provision and clarify that disciplinary measures will be applied in all cases of non-adherence to this provision in the future.

### 3. Investigation

14. The application of the UN/IFI Uniform Guidelines for Investigation using the existing OVC resources led to a lengthier investigation process in some cases owing to the specific due diligence and structured communication requirements implied by these guidelines. In meeting the requirements of a proper investigative process for an increased caseload, the OVC had to draw extensively on the staff resources of OA and OL during the past two years, and the work programme of these organizational units was adversely affected as a result. In 2005 the OVC will explore ways of closing cases in a speedier manner without compromising in any way the integrity of the investigative process.

**Suggested action**: Ensure that IFAD's investigative mechanism is properly resourced so as to avoid the internal disruption caused by investigations.

### 4. Sanctions

15. IFAD's Human Resources Handbook provides a range of sanctions to be applied at the discretion of the President in case of staff misconduct. However, IFAD – unlike other international financial institutions (IFIs) – does not have a structured process for considering and deciding on sanctions to be applied to parties external to IFAD found to have committed irregular acts, nor any mechanism for applying such sanctions in relation to its projects and for the release of such information to other parties. This aspect will assume increased importance with the implementation of IFAD's anti-corruption policy in 2005.

**Suggested action**: Set up an IFAD debarment/blacklisting policy and process covering the whole spectrum of its operations (both within the Fund and as pertaining to projects and grants), as is the case in other IFIs, to cover as well the Fund's position with regard to cross-debarment.

### D. Protection of staff from retaliation and from malicious accusations

16. The OVC wishes to thank the IFAD staff who were involved in OVC work during this period for their diligent adherence to the integrity and confidentiality requirements of the investigative process.

#### 1. Whistle-blowers

17. A "whistle-blower" is an individual who provides information or raises concerns in good faith to management or to the OVC on suspected misconduct (e.g. fraud and corruption), mismanagement,

waste of resources and/or abuse of authority; as a result, that staff member may be subjected to retaliation by supervisors, senior management official(s) or fellow staff members. The OVC takes this opportunity to highlight to staff the OVC's obligation to fully protect from unauthorized disclosure the identity of any individual who makes a complaint on a matter subject to the authority of the OVC and to undertake, or otherwise engage management to undertake, actions so as to prevent retaliatory acts from taking effect or otherwise causing harm to the person. PB 2003/06 emphasizes that, where there has been an unauthorized disclosure of the identity of such a person by a member of the OVC, the OVC member concerned will be subject to disciplinary measures. This clearly also applies to other staff involved in an investigation. Over and above the requirements set forth in PB 2003/06, the OVC recognizes the increased risks of inadvertent information disclosure in the work environment of IFAD and is consequently paying particular attention to avoiding actions or indications which may reveal the subject of, or the identity of persons involved in, OVC cases.

18. In 2005, the OVC will review its policies and procedures relating to protecting whistle-blowers from retaliation in order to identify aspects for improvement within the context of implementing IFAD's anti-corruption policy.

### 2. Malicious or unfair accusations

- 19. PB 2003/06 also provides protection to staff from unfair or malicious accusations In particular, the OVC may recommend that appropriate action be taken against the complainant where there are investigative findings tending to prove that the complaint was made in bad faith, or with malicious or negligent disregard of the facts.
- 20. The OVC believes that it was successful in safeguarding the rights and reputations of the individuals concerned by applying due process and ensuring utmost confidentiality over the subject matter and undertakes to ensure that this aspect will continue to be afforded the highest priority in the conduct of investigative work.

#### ANNEX A

### SALIENT DETAILS OF CASES HANDLED BY THE OVC

The salient details of the cases handled by the OVC in the period August 2003 to December 2004 and the sanctions applied or follow-up actions taken are set out below. Also included under a separate heading are three significant cases handled by the OVC during the period 2000-2003. The cases are grouped based on the nature of the alleged violation; the date reference in brackets refers to the year in which the OVC review/investigation was conducted.

## A. Allegations of abuse of position by IFAD staff for private gain

# Case 1. Alleged nepotism and corruption in relation to an IFAD project involving an IFAD staff member and local project officials (2003)

OVC findings: The wording of the letter of allegation was generic and no reference to specific actions was made. The preliminary OVC review determined that the allegations against the IFAD staff member, who had at one time had responsibilities over the country portfolio concerned, were unfounded. Further allegations of corruption in the same project that surfaced during the OVC investigation did, however, reinforce the concern about possible corruption involving local project officials. The OVC coordinated and monitored further inquiries by the regional division, the cooperating institution and the national authorities as a result of which evidence of improprieties (manipulation of local procurement actions, conflict of interest in commercial arrangements entered into by the project) came to the fore against two project officers, but no specific evidence of corruption or fraud was found.

<u>Follow-up action/sanction applied</u>: The local counterpart authorities replaced one of the officers concerned and reassigned the other to a non-finance-related position.

# Case 2. Two staff members had allegedly committed IFAD improperly to an arrangement with an external party which potentially involved personal benefit to at least one of the two (2003)

OVC findings: The arrangement, a contract between a commercial company and a local authority, did not directly involve IFAD as one of the contracting parties but was promoted and supported by IFAD. The allegation was that the two staff members concerned had committed IFAD to this arrangement without proper authorization and that one of the two staff members involved had attempted to steer procurement under this contract to a friend. The preliminary OVC review determined that the evidence available could not support these allegations but rather revealed a case of weak internal procedures in the division concerned. The case was not formally elevated to an OVC investigation.

<u>Follow-up action/sanction applied</u>: No sanctions were applied. However, the division concerned has since undertaken restructuring and the weaknesses noted are in the process of being addressed.

# Case 3. A staff member had allegedly received improperly funds from consultants engaged by IFAD at his request (2004)

OVC findings: The staff member concerned was routinely engaging the services of consultants in relation to his country portfolio responsibilities. The extensive OVC investigation determined that the staff member concerned was involved in an arrangement whereby he¹ improperly received monies from consultants engaged directly by IFAD or by IFAD projects under his responsibility. The evidence gathered, which included banking records obtained through legal action, revealed that this arrangement lasted at least eight years. His actions were determined to constitute a conflict of interest, to be incompatible with his duties and to amount to serious misconduct.

<u>Follow-up action/sanction applied</u>: The staff member concerned was dismissed without notice. The Fund is not in a position at this stage to disclose information on other follow-up actions.

<sup>-</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The terms "he" and "his" are used throughout simply to facilitate reading and are not intended to indicate the gender of the individual in question.

### B. Allegations of improper conduct by staff causing damage to the Fund

# Case 4. Alleged bias and improper interventions by an IFAD staff member in relation to the awarding of an IFAD grant (2003)

OVC findings: The letter received by the President alleged that a staff member with country portfolio responsibilities had inappropriately modified the local selection process for the recipient of an IFAD grant. The OVC investigated the allegations and determined that the staff member had indeed intervened in the selection process (so as to award the grant to the top two rated NGOs instead of to only the first one) but acknowledged the explanation of the staff member that this was motivated by the changed circumstances surrounding the implementation of a related project. The OVC concluded that no IFAD procedures had been violated nor had any irregularities been committed by the concerned IFAD staff member; however, the local image of IFAD may have been affected.

<u>Follow-up action/sanction applied</u>: No sanctions were applied against the concerned staff member. The issue was referred to the concerned department for further assessment.

# Case 5. A staff member had allegedly participated without authorization in external activities and such activities were incompatible with his duties (2003)

OVC findings: The preliminary OVC review determined that this staff member was one of the founders of the NGO concerned, that he was actively involved in promoting its activities and that the supervisor of the staff member had not been formally informed of this activity. The OVC concluded that such activities were incompatible with the staff member's duties at IFAD but that he was acting in line with authority previously given to him in writing by the Office of Human Resources (FH) in relation to a similar activity. The case was consequently not formally elevated to an OVC investigation against the staff member.

<u>Follow-up action/sanction applied</u>: No sanctions were applied against the concerned staff member. However, the division concerned had already taken action – prior to the OVC review – to change the duties of the staff member and limit his outside activities, and the potential conflict had already been eliminated.

## Case 6. Alleged negligent lack of action by one or more IFAD staff members, leading to a loss of a supplementary fund contribution (2003)

OVC findings: The supplementary fund contribution, which was used to fund the position of an IFAD officer, was lost due to IFAD not meeting the donor's deadline for calling forward the funds. After a preliminary assessment, the OVC determined that the loss was attributable to a combination of control weaknesses, unclear responsibility definition and the weak supervision over the performance of some underlying tasks in more than one division. The responsibility for these lapses could not be attributed to specific individuals and, consequently, it was decided that further pursuance of this case did not fall under the OVC's scope as defined in PB 2003/06.

<u>Follow-up action/sanction applied</u>: No sanctions were applied against IFAD staff. OA pursued this issue within its mandate and appropriate recommendations were made in relation to the control weaknesses noted.

# Case 7. Unauthorized disclosure of confidential information pertaining to staff decisions taken at a Promotions Board meeting (2004)

OVC findings: This was the latest in a series of incidents involving the leak of confidential information pertaining to staff matters, and this investigation was launched primarily to identify control weaknesses and implement remedial actions. The OVC investigation identified one of the sources of the unauthorized information disclosure. The OVC determined that this was not attributable to bad faith but was caused by the parallel reporting responsibilities of the concerned staff member, in relation to his participation in another committee.

<u>Follow-up action/sanction applied</u>: No sanctions were applied. The ambiguity surrounding the reporting responsibilities of the concerned individual was rectified. The President requested OA to further review IFAD's confidentiality arrangements and OA issued a report on this subject. A Senior Management meeting is being scheduled to consider the recommended actions.

## C. Allegations of fraudulent misrepresentation by IFAD consultants/suppliers

# Case 8. An IFAD consultant had allegedly presented false credentials to IFAD in applying for and obtaining an assignment (2003)

OVC findings: The authenticity of the consultant's credentials was particularly important considering that he had a critical role in a major institutional project and that his selection and remuneration had been based on his stated skills and experience. The concerns over the authenticity of the consultant's credentials were raised by FH. The OVC investigation determined that almost all the information submitted by the consultant in relation to his academic qualifications and work experience was false.

<u>Follow-up action/sanction applied</u>: The contractual relationship between IFAD and the consultant was terminated and a note on the conclusions of the investigation was placed in his file to prevent him from being rehired by IFAD.

# Case 9. Three related firms participating in an IFAD tender and two IFAD consultants with responsibilities surrounding the same tender did not disclose to the Fund the relationship between the firms (2004)

OVC findings: The relationship between the three firms (owned by members of the same family) was discovered by the Administrative Services Division (FA) in its routine checks of potential suppliers, and the tender was cancelled prior to referring the issue to the OVC. A preliminary OVC review confirmed the FA findings and determined that there was no IFAD staff involvement in this incident as the firms had been introduced to IFAD by one of the two FA consultants. The OVC was not able to assess whether the consultants concerned had acted in bad faith.

<u>Follow-up action/sanction applied</u>: The contractual relationship between IFAD and the consultants had already ended before the case was brought to the attention of the OVC and FA did not proceed with a renewal. FA took the decision not to engage the services of the concerned firms and consultants in the future. OA further pursued this case within its own mandate and issued a report highlighting weaknesses in the supplier screening controls of FA and made appropriate recommendations to FA.

## D. Allegations of improper conduct by consultants causing damage to the Fund

# Case 10. Alleged bias and improper interventions by an IFAD consultant in relation to an IFAD project recruitment process (2004)

<u>Current status</u>: The allegation is that an IFAD consultant, engaged to facilitate the recruitment process for an IFAD project director, had manipulated the short-listing process to favour a specific candidate, a relative of a local wealthy businessman. The OVC is conducting a preliminary review in coordination with the concerned division aimed at assessing the credibility of the allegations.

### E. Allegations of corruption in IFAD operations not involving IFAD staff

# Case 11. The allegations of nepotism and procurement fraud in relation to an IFAD grant implicated an officer of the grant recipient concerned (2003)

OVC findings: E-mail messages received by many IFAD staff members alleged that the head of a regional office of a research institution responsible for an IFAD grant had manipulated procurement and recruitment actions to enrich himself and close relatives. The OVC coordinated and monitored an extensive inquiry involving visits to the concerned institution by several IFAD staff members and an independent investigation of the specific allegations by an audit firm, in addition to specific investigation actions undertaken by the OVC. While no evidence was found to substantiate the allegations, the audit firm and the IFAD missions to the institution involved raised concerns over the latter's accountability vis-à-vis IFAD grant financing directed to the concerned regional office.

<u>Follow-up action/sanction applied</u>: The institution concerned was informed that further IFAD grant financing would only be made available to it subject to providing IFAD with a statement from the external auditors confirming implementation of the audit recommendations made in relation to the specific regional office cited in the allegations. The institution concerned agreed to this condition.

# Case 12. An officer employed by a cooperating institution had allegedly approached a consultancy firm requesting favours in return for its "no objection" on an IFAD loan procurement action (2004)

OVC findings: The allegations were brought to the attention of the OVC by the concerned firm in an e-mail sent to the President of IFAD, copying an IFAD Executive Board Director. The contract involved was valued at several million dollars and the procurement process was still in progress when the allegation was received. The OVC informed the regional division concerned and swift action was taken to halt the procurement process. In parallel, IFAD formally requested the cooperating institution concerned to conduct a full investigation into the incident. The institution notified IFAD that the preliminary investigation had confirmed that the officer concerned had engaged in irregular activities.

<u>Follow-up action/sanction applied</u>: The officer concerned was separated from the cooperating institution as per a previously agreed arrangement. The institution assured IFAD that it would not employ the officer concerned in the future. IFAD is further pursuing this case with the cooperating institution.

### F. Significant cases handled in the period 2000-2003

# Case 13. A staff member had allegedly received improperly funds from consultants engaged by IFAD at his request (1998-2002)

OVC findings: This extensive investigation, which was initiated in 1998 by FC-L and an ad hoc investigation committee, was handed over to the OVC upon its establishment in 2000 and the judicial process was concluded in 2003. The allegations were raised by a party external to IFAD. The staff member concerned was routinely engaging the services of consultants in relation to his country portfolio responsibilities. The investigation, which was conducted with the support of external experts, determined that the staff member concerned had improperly received approximately USD 250 000 from consultants engaged directly by IFAD or by IFAD projects under his responsibility.

<u>Follow-up action/sanction applied</u>: The staff member concerned had resigned from IFAD prior to the start of the investigation. The evidence gathered was submitted to the competent national authorities, a decision that was upheld by the Administrative Tribunal of the International Labour Organization. After being arrested outside Italy and remanded in custody for about a year, the former staff member agreed to a plea bargain settlement involving a suspended jail term. IFAD pursued the case through civil proceedings, which culminated in a settlement involving the restitution to IFAD by the staff member concerned of all the property declared by him to the court as his, amounting to approximately USD 150 000 (including his lump-sum pension fund entitlements and terminal emoluments).

# Case 14. An Executive Board member alerted the OVC of an allegation of corruption against IFAD staff members made by a local source in relation to the procurement activities of an IFAD project (2001)

OVC findings: The allegations related to the procurement of livestock using IFAD loan proceeds. An OVC mission was fielded to the country concerned and a local inquiry was undertaken which involved a meeting with the author of the allegations. The OVC determined that the author had no basis for the allegations made against IFAD staff. The OVC coordinated with the cooperating institution and with local investigation authorities in further exploring the allegations pertaining to project staff. These investigations were concluded with no specific evidence coming to light against the project staff in relation to the alleged corrupt actions.

<u>Follow-up action/sanction applied</u>: The Executive Board member was informed of the results and the case was brought to an end.

# Case 15. An IFAD staff member was allegedly making overlapping rental subsidy claims with his partner (an employee of another Rome-based United Nations agency) for the same property (2001)

OVC findings: This case was jointly investigated by the OVC and the investigation body of another Rome-based United Nations agency. The OVC verified that the IFAD staff member's rental payments were in line with claims submitted to FH and consistent with the rental contract signed by him. The partner, however, was not able to substantiate the authenticity of the rental subsidy claims submitted to the other agency nor to prove that payments were actually made in relation to the rent. The knowing participation of the IFAD staff member in the arrangement was deemed to amount to misconduct.

<u>Follow-up action/sanction applied</u>: The IFAD staff member concerned resigned prior to the conclusion of the case. The excess funds received under the scheme were returned to the other agency by the partner of the IFAD staff member and disciplinary sanctions were imposed by the other agency on its staff member and upheld by the United Nations Administrative Tribunal.