



**IFAD**  
**INTERNATIONAL FUND FOR AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT**  
**Executive Board — Sixty-Fifth Session**  
Rome, 2-3 December 1998

**SULTANATE OF OMAN**

**COMMUNITY RESOURCES MANAGEMENT PROJECT**

**ADDENDUM**

The attention of the Executive Board is invited to the following addenda and modifications to the Report and Recommendation of the President on the Community Resources Management Project (document EB 98/65/R.26). For ease of reference, the changes to the text of the Report and Recommendation of the President are in bold.

Page v, Loan Summary

AMOUNT OF IFAD LOAN: SDR **3.85** million (equivalent to approximately USD **5.25** million)

Page 1, Introductory paragraph

The first sentence should read:

“I submit the following Report and Recommendation on a proposed loan to the Sultanate of Oman for SDR **3.85** million (equivalent to approximately USD **5.25** million) on ordinary terms to help finance the Community Resources Management Project.”

Page 8, paragraph 32

Add the following sentence at the end of the paragraph:

“**The closing date of the loan is 31 December 2006.**”



Page 12, paragraph 51

The recommendation should read as follows:

“RESOLVED: that the Fund shall make a loan to the Sultanate of Oman in various currencies in an amount equivalent to **three million eight hundred and fifty thousand** Special Drawing Rights (SDR **3 850 000**) to mature on and prior to **1 March 2017** and to bear an interest rate equal to the reference interest rate per annum as determined by the Fund annually, and to be upon such other terms and conditions as shall be substantially in accordance with the terms and conditions presented to the Executive Board in this Report and Recommendation of the President.”

Page 12, the following should be added as paragraph 52:

“52. The Board approved the loan for Oman on the condition that should any important variations to the terms presented to the Board arise during the negotiations, these would be brought to the attention of the Board at its next session.”

Appendix III, page 3

The table should be replaced by the following:

## QUANTITATIVE INPUTS, TARGETS AND KEY PROJECT FACTORS

OBJECTIVES	INSTRUMENTS AND TARGETS								
	PY 1	PY 2	PY 3	PY 4	PY 5	PY 6	TOTAL		
The objective of the project is to promote sustainable and viable agricultural cropping systems through: (i) achieving a balance between groundwater abstraction and recharge (ii) improving productive and economic returns from irrigation water (iii) reducing dependence of rural communities on public funding of water management	<b>Water Unit</b>	<b>Utilization</b>	<b>Improvement</b>						
	* MIS systems on well irrigation	75	150	550	550	550	550	2 425	
	* MIS systems on aflaj irrigation	30	60	150	150	168	167	725	
	* MIS for combined well/aflaj	50	75	125	200	200	200	850	
	* Green houses (no)	10	20	75	75	75	75	330	
	<b>Water Conservation Improvement</b>								
	* Recharge dams (no)	-	-	1	2	1	1	4	
	* Water harvesting structures (no)	1	2	3	3	3	3	15	
	* Seepage pits (no)	-	3	8	13	13	13	50	
	* Recharge pumps (no)	-	1	3	7	7	7	25	
	* Aflaj gallery repairs (no)	5	12	22	22	22	22	105	
	* Motherwell construction (no)	2	3	5	5	5	5	25	
	* Distribution systems (no)	2	5	11	11	11	11	51	
		1	2	5	5	6	6	25	
	<b>Community Support</b>								
	* WUA (no)	8	17	30	30	30	30	145	
<b>BENEFITS</b>									
No. of beneficiary households production	22 430								
<b>Incremental Production at Full Development</b>									
Dates	3 536	t/year							
Tomatoes	1 983	t/year							
Cucumber	2 640	t/year							
Fodder	6 125	t/year							
<b>FINANCIAL AND ECONOMIC ANALYSIS</b>									
Net Income (OMR) Return/Person									
(OMR)	WOP	WP	WOP						
WP									
MIS in <i>falaj</i>	117	239	4.2	8.4					
Flood to MIS	2 300	3 080	19.8	27.					
Greenhouse/MIS	779	2 028	16.1	21.					
<b>Economic Analysis</b>									
Base cost	14%								
Sensitivity									
Cost +10%	12%								
Benefits -10%	11%								
Benefits lag one year	11%								
<b>PROJECT COSTS</b>		<b>FINANCING</b>							
<b>Component</b>	<b>USD million</b>		<b>%</b>		<b>USD million</b>		<b>%</b>		
Water utilization improvement	19.0		52		IFAD	5.2		14	
Water conservation	11.1		31		AFESD	15.2		40	
Community support	3.6		10		GOV	8.4		22	
Management support	2.5		7		BENEFICIARIES	9.3		24	
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>36.2</b>		<b>100</b>		<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>38.0</b>		<b>100</b>	
<b>Contingencies</b>	<b>1.8</b>		<b>5</b>						
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>38.0</b>		<b>105</b>						



