

Executive Board

143rd Session Rome, 11–12 December 2024

President's report Proposed loan Republic of Zambia

Financial Inclusion for Resilience and Innovation Project (FIRIP)

Addendum

Document: EB 2024/143/R.5/Add.1

Agenda: 3(a)(i)(b)(i)

Date: 11 December 2024

Distribution: Public
Original: English
FOR: APPROVAL

Addendum

The Executive Board is hereby invited to consider the amendments to document EB 2024/143/R.5. The changes to the document are reflected as follows: deleted text with strikethrough, added text underlined.

Page 6, table 1:

Table 1
Project costs by component and subcomponent and financier
(Thousands of United States dollars)

| | | | Strateg | ic | Privat | е | | | Borrowe | r/ | Financir | ng | | |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|----|--------|----|------------|-----|----------|-----|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--------|-----|
| | IFAD | | partner | | secto | r | Beneficiar | ies | recipier | t | gap | | Tota | I |
| Component/subcomponent | Amount | % | Amount | % | Amount | % | Amount | % | Amount | % | Amount | % | Amount | % |
| Improved availability and uptake of sustainable client-centric rural financial services | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.1. Innovative financial products and delivery mechanisms for inclusive rural outreach | 1 027 <u>2 175</u> | 9 <u>18</u> | | | 4 041 | 34 | | | 22 | 0.2 | 6 745 <u>5 597</u> | 57 <u>47</u> | 11 835 | 24 |
| 1.2. Increased access to affordable finance for agriculture and green investment | 3 462 <u>3 928</u> | 13 <u>15</u> | | | 8 325 | 31 | 5 000 | 19 | 719 | 3 | 9 320 <u>8 854</u> | 35 <u>47</u> | 26 826 | 54 |
| 2. Enhanced enabling environment for inclusive rural financial services | 1 941 <u>752</u> | 4 9 <u>19</u> | 300 | 8 | | | | | 235 | 6 | 1 511 <u>2 700</u> | 38 <u>68</u> | 3 987 | 8 |
| Project coordination and implementation, monitoring and evaluation, and knowledge management | 2 470 2 046 | 34 28 | | | | | | | 1 224 | 17 | 3 52 4 3 948 | 49 55 | 7 218 | 15 |
| Total | 8 900 | 18 | 300 | 1 | 12 366 | 25 | 5 000 | 10 | 2 200 | 4 | 21 100 | 42 | 49 866 | 100 |

Page 6, table 2:

Table 2
Project costs by expenditure category and financier (Thousands of United States dollars)

| | IFAD | | Strateg | | Private se | ctor | Beneficiar | ies | Borrowe recipien | | Financir gap | ng | Total | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|---|------------|------|------------|-----|---------------------|----|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----|
| Expenditure category | Amount | % | Amount | % | Amount | % | Amount | % | Amount | % | Amount | % | Amount | % |
| Investment costs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Technical Assistance | 2 313 <u>929</u> | 55 <u>22</u> | | | 450 | 11 | | | 443 | 11 | 1 024 <u>2 408</u> | 2 4 <u>57</u> | 4 229 | 9 |
| 2. Training | 587 <u>307</u> | 77 <u>40</u> | | | | | | | | | 176 <u>456</u> | 23 <u>60</u> | 763 | 2 |
| 3. Goods, services and inputs | 1 551 <u>844</u> | 29 <u>16</u> | 300 | 6 | | | | | 227 | 4 | 3 211 <u>3 918</u> | 61 <u>74</u> | 5 289 | 11 |
| 4. Equipment and vehicles | 4 26 420 | 86 <u>85</u> | | | | | | | 66 | 13 | 5 <u>10</u> | 1 <u>2</u> | 497 <u>496</u> | 1 |
| 5. IOF window grant | 391 <u>1 081</u> | 6 <u>17</u> | | | 2 691 | 43 | | | | | 3 128 <u>2 438</u> | 50 <u>39</u> | 6 210 | 13 |
| Community-based financial institutions window grant | 360 <u>908</u> | 8 <u>20</u> | | | 900 | 20 | | | | | 3 240 <u>2 693</u> | 72 <u>60</u> | 4 500 | 9 |
| 7. Lines of credit | 2 039 <u>3 178</u> | 9 <u>14</u> | | | 8 325 | 36 | 5 000 | 22 | 500 | 2 | 7 136 <u>5 997</u> | 31 <u>26</u> | 23 000 | 46 |
| Total investment costs | 7 667 <u>7 666</u> | 17 | 300 | 1 | 12 366 | 28 | 5 000 | 11 | 1 236 | 3 | 17 919 | 40 | 44 488 | 89 |
| Recurrent costs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Salaries and allowances | 1 018 <u>1 016</u> | 24 | | | | | | | 648 <u>646</u> | 15 | 2 655 <u>2 648</u> | 61 | 4 321 4 310 | 9 |
| 2. Operating costs | 215 <u>218</u> | 20 | | | | | | | 316 <u>317</u> | 30 | 526 <u>533</u> | 50 | 1 057 <u>1 069</u> | 2 |
| Total recurrent costs | 1 233 <u>1 234</u> | 23 | | | | | | | 964 | 18 | 3 181 | 59 | 5 378 <u>5 379</u> | 11 |
| Total | 8 900 | 18 | 300 | 1 | 12 366 | 25 | 5 000 | 10 | 2 200 | 4 | 21 100 | 42 | 49 866 | 100 |

Page 7, table 3:

Table 3
Project costs by component and subcomponent and project year (Thousands of United States dollars)

| | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | Total |
|---|--------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--------|
| Component/subcomponent | Amount | Amount | Amount | Amount | Amount | Amount | Amount | Amount |
| Improved availability and uptake of sustainable client-centric rural financial services | | | | | | | | |
| 1.1. Innovative products and delivery mechanisms for inclusive rural outreach | | 1 371 <u>1 373</u> | 2 513 | 2 500 | 2 500 | 1 810 | 1 140 | 11 835 |
| 1.2. Increased access to affordable finance for agriculture and green investment | | 5 452 | 5 648 | 5 661 | 5 675 | 3 688 | 701 <u>702</u> | 26 826 |
| 2. Enhanced enabling environment for inclusive rural financial services | | 843 | 1 049 | 716 | 589 | 562 | 227 | 3 987 |
| 3. Project coordination and management, monitoring and evaluation, and knowledge management | 674 | 1 284 <u>1 282</u> | 1 011 | 1 071 | 1 049 <u>1 048</u> | 1 041 <u>1 042</u> | 1 089 <u>1 091</u> | 7 218 |
| Total | 674 | 8 951 <u>8 950</u> | 10 222 <u>10 221</u> | 9 949 <u>9 948</u> | 9 812 | 7 101 <u>7 102</u> | 3 158 <u>3 160</u> | 49 866 |

Page 8-9, paragraph 28:

"FIRIP faces several risks, ranging from political commitment to financial management and procurement. As noted in table 4 below, except for financial management and procurement, which are rated as having substantial risk, the project's inherent risk rating is moderate substantial at design."

Table 4

| Overall risk summary | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| Risk areas | Inherent risk rating | Residual risk rating |
| Political commitment | ModerateSubstantial | Moderate Substantial |
| Sector strategies and policies | ModerateSubstantial | ModerateSubstantial |
| Environment and climate context | ModerateSubstantial | ModerateSubstantial |
| Project scope | Moderate | Moderate |
| Institutional capacity for implementation and sustainability | ModerateSubstantial | Moderate Substantial |
| Financial management | Substantial | Substantial |
| Project procurement | Substantial | Substantial |
| Environment, social and climate impact | ModerateSubstantial | Moderate Substantial |
| Stakeholders | Moderate | Moderate |
| Overall | Moderate Substantial | Moderate Substantial |

Page 9, paragraph 31:

"FIRIP's climate risk classification is moderate substantial. Zambia faces significant challenges due to its high vulnerability score and low readiness score, ranking fifty-sixth in vulnerability and 141st in readiness according to the Notre Dame Global Adaptation Index. In early March 2024, the President of Zambia declared the drought a national disaster and emergency in response to the devastating consequences of prolonged dry spells affecting more than 1 million farming households across 84 of the country's 116 districts. The drought has impacted food production, water availability and energy supply."

Page 10, paragraph 34:

"ProgrammeProject oversight. The project steering committee, chaired by the Permanent Secretary, Budget and Economic Affairs Economic Management and Finance of MoFNP, will oversee and guide implementation. The steering committee will have relevant representation at national level and ensure oversight, policy direction and coordination between key government institutions."

Page 14, Appendix III:

| Project organization and staffing | Substantial | Substantial |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Risk(s): The availability of Licensed Practicing Accountant (LPAs) is evidenced by the recently closed project (RUFEP) which well qualified accounting staff. This shows that the country has a sufficient pool of accounting professionals from which project FM staff can be recruited. However, serious capacity issues were noted among the Finance Team of the current project E-SLIP who have been seconded from the government. The current and past projects have had dedicated finance staff. There is a risk that staff recruitment will be delayed which may affect project implementation. Additionally, recruited staff are unlikely to have solid | Substantial | Substantial |
| understanding of IFAD financial management procedures, including the new report-based disbursement model. | | |

Page 15, Appendix III:

| Project budgeting | Substantial | Substantial |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Mitigations: The LPA will ensure that PSC is set up within the first six months of project start-up. Preparation of AWPBs should strictly follow the IFAD guidelines, including submission for review and No Objection 60 days before the start of the fiscal year. Signing of MoUs with key implementing partners as a condition precedent to withdrawal of the first disbursement. | | |
| Project funds flow/disbursement arrangements | Substantial | Substantial |
| Mitigations: Signing of MoU for the credit guarantee scheme with the relevant within the first six months of entry into force. Inclusion of cofinancing in the annual work plans and budgets, clearly mapped to specific activities to enhance accountability. With the support of FMD, develop tools during the start-up phase to capture and account for all counterpart contributions, including taxes, duties, salaries for counterpart staff at national and sub-national levels, in-kind contributions by government and beneficiaries. Submit quarterly financial progress report on counterpart funds to FMD. | | |

Page 15-16, Appendix III:

| Project accounting and financial reporting | Substantial | Moderate Substantial |
|---|-------------|-----------------------------|
| Risk(s): Efforts to have the previous project (RUFEP) serve as a pilot for the government accounting system (IFMIS) did not materialise. The system is not tailored for IFAD financial reporting requirements and therefore could impede effective financial accounting of the project, especially due to the complex nature of the project structure. Hence, previous projects acquired SAGE accounting software but continued to prepare reports in Excel because the tool was not customized to IFAD reporting requirements. Our review of the 2023 latest audited financial statements (E-SLIP project) identified significant discrepancies between the financial statements and the accounting software records. | | |
| Mitigations: Install-Early acquisition and customization of an off-the-shelf accounting software within the first six months of project entry into force. The system should be tailored to generate financial reports, including interim financial reports in the required format (by category and components of expenditure, and by source of funds. | | |
| Project external audit | Moderate | Moderate |
| Risk(s): The country's SAI is an independent body reporting to the Zambia parliament and has the relevant structures and capacity to undertake the project external audit. The SAI has experience conducting external audits of the previous IFAD financed projects in the country. However, the most recent PEFA assessment (2016) questioned the independence of the Supreme Audit Institution because its appointment process (appointed by President) and financial autonomy (SAI's budget is approved by Parliament within the ceiling given by the MoF). Further the quality of the latest audit conducted by SAI (E-SLIP project) was rated 3-moderately unsatisfactory because not all audit TORs were complied with and a number of errors and incoherences were noted in audited financial statements. These audits are conducted in accordance with ISSAIs, globally accepted standards, with quality ranging between moderately | | |

| satisfactory to satisfactory. FMD shall provide adequate capacity building to SAI around IFAD audit requirements. There is a risk that financial and partners institutions (PFSPs) are not equipped with adequate financial controls and lask proper understanding | |
|--|--|
| with adequate financial controls and lack proper understanding of IFAD finance procedures. | |

Page 16, Appendix III:

| Environment, social and climate impact | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Biodiversity conservation | Low Moderate | Low Moderate |