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## I. Executive summary

1. The report summarizes IFAD's exposure to liquidity, interest rate and currency risks.
2. Liquidity risk:
(i) Liquidity ratios are in compliance with the policy limits:
(a) Minimum liquidity ratio $=167$ per cent (minimum 100 per cent);
(b) Liquidity ratio $=17$ per cent (minimum 5 per cent);
(c) Debt-service coverage ratio $=18$ per cent (maximum 50 per cent);
(d) IFAD-calculated 12-month Standard \& Poor's liquidity ratio $=1.3$ (>1).
(ii) The average life of ordinary term loans largely matches the average life of IFAD's debt.
(iii) Bullet maturities ${ }^{1}$ of private placements generate some exposure to refinancing risk in the long term.
3. Interest and currency risks:
(i) Interest rate and currency risks are driven mainly by IFAD's concessional loan portfolio, i.e. long-term fixed-rate loans denominated in special drawing rights, funded by equity.
(ii) The debt-funded portion of the balance sheet remains relatively well matched in terms of currency and interest rate risk.

## II. Overview of IFAD's balance sheet

4. Assets:
(i) Loans make up 76 per cent of total assets.
(ii) 78 per cent of loans are on highly concessional terms (equivalent to 60 per cent of total assets).
(iii) 18 per cent of assets are represented by the liquidity portfolio.
(iv) The remaining 5 per cent relate to other assets (contribution receivables, fixed or right-of-use assets and other receivables).
5. Debt and equity:
(i) Equity and concessional partner loans fund 77 per cent of the balance sheet.
(ii) Outstanding sovereign borrowing and private placements fund 20 per cent of the balance sheet.
(iii) The remaining 3 per cent relates to other liabilities (mainly payables and deferred revenues).
6. The schematic balance sheet is contained in appendix I.

## III. Liquidity risk

7. IFAD manages liquidity risk by holding sufficient liquid assets to meet cash outflow requirements and ensure compliance with the minimum liquidity requirement (MLR) and other financial ratios, without having to resort to requests for additional funding from Member States.

[^0]8. As of 30 June 2023, the MLR ratio was 167 per cent (minimum of 100 per cent). All other liquidity ratios were within their respective limits. Liquidity ratios of credit rating agencies are also monitored as a complementary tool for liquidity management. Standard and Poor's (S\&P) liquidity ratio stood at 1.36 for 12 months and 2.06 for six months, ${ }^{2}$ which is considered strong by S\&P.
9. Issuance of private placements has reached 15 per cent of total debt; 65 per cent of debt has a floating interest rate (reaching 80 per cent after interest rate swaps). This result is broadly aligned to the terms of ordinary loans. More specifically, the breakdown by currency shows that the tenor for euro and United States dollar debt instruments was on average 2.2 and 2.6 years longer than that for ordinary term loans. This gap widened slightly after December 2022, as additional long-dated private placements were issued in the first half of 2023.
10. Private placements will mature in 2029, 2035 and 2037. The concentration of debt repayment in each of those years is 9 per cent of the total future debt principal and interest repayments.
11. While the weighted-average spread differential between ordinary term loans and debt is positive for both the United States dollar (44 basis points [bps]) and the euro ( 43 bps ), the amount of outstanding ordinary loans is lower than outstanding borrowing. The residual liquidity is managed by Treasury in accordance with the Investment Policy Statement and as a result incurs negative carry, i.e. returns earned on the investment portfolio are lower than the cost of borrowing.
12. Supporting analysis, charts and tables are presented in appendix II.

## IV. Interest rate risk

13. IFAD's interest rate risk management objective is to reduce the risk of loss resulting from a mismatch of duration ${ }^{3}$ between assets (investment portfolio ${ }^{4}$ and loan portfolio) and liabilities (borrowing).
14. The current approach to interest rate risk management is based on the following principles:
(i) Regular grants and grants for countries in debt distress are financed by contributions.
(ii) Fixed-rate loan assets (highly/super highly concessional and blend term loans) are financed by equity and by fixed-rate liabilities at concessional terms such as concessional partner loans (CPLs).
(iii) Ordinary term loans are funded by debt, excluding CPLs.
15. IFAD's debt-funded balance sheet has a negligible duration gap between assets and liabilities. This is due to the short-term nature of IFAD's investment portfolio, floating rate ordinary term loans and floating rate debt. The duration gap for the balance sheet funded by debt is mainly due to two fixed-rate sovereign loans from Canada totalling US $\$ 340$ million that are not swapped to floating rate.
16. IFAD's equity-funded balance sheet has a duration gap between assets and liabilities of eight years. This is due to long-dated, fixed-rate loans (mainly on highly concessional and blend terms accounting for 97 per cent of the total asset duration). The duration gap for the equity-funded balance sheet is in essence the effective duration of IFAD's equity.

[^1]17. The potential impact of stressed scenarios for interest rate changes on IFAD equity was simulated through a sensitivity analysis of economic value of equity (EVE), which includes an increase (steepening) or decrease (flattening) of the interest rate curve.
18. An increase in interest rates of 1 per cent would reduce IFAD's EVE by US $\$ 449$ million, while a decrease by 1 per cent would increase the EVE by US $\$ 507$ million.
19. With regard to repricing gap and net interest income analysis, the IFAD balance sheet shows a positive gap for the first year of US $\$ 1.14$ billion. This means that when rates rise, IFAD's revenues are likely to rise, which makes the IFAD balance sheet asset-sensitive to changes in interest rates. The main contributors to the positive gap are the liquidity and investment portfolio and ordinary loans, partially offset by the repricing of borrowing.
20. The sensitivity analysis of net interest income shows that, overall, an increase (decrease) in the interest rate by 1 per cent would generate an additional US $\$ 10$ million in income (US $\$ 10$ million in expenses).
21. For the debt-funded part of the balance sheet, the net interest income impact of such a change would be US $\$ 4$ million of income (US $\$ 4$ million loss), therefore showing a lower sensitivity to the change in interest rates due to the lower repricing gap between debt and debt-funded assets.
22. Supporting analysis, charts and tables are presented in appendix III.

## V. Currency risk

23. Currency risk arises from potential changes in foreign exchange rates. IFAD's equity is exposed to foreign exchange risk to the extent that assets and liabilities are denominated in different currencies.
24. Most outstanding loan assets are denominated in special drawing rights (SDR), while most liabilities (excluding equity) are denominated in United States dollars and euros. For other currencies, assets and liabilities show positive gaps, i.e. euro - US $\$ 119$ million, Pound Sterling - US $\$ 35$ million, Yen - US $\$ 32$ million, Yuan renminbi (US $\$ 27$ million), with the largest positive gap for the United States dollar - US\$1.34 billion.
25. While the debt-funded balance sheet is not exposed to currency risk, the main currency exposure for IFAD is driven by SDR-denominated concessional loans funded by equity.
26. While the undisbursed loan balances are denominated mainly in euros and United States dollars, most outstanding disbursed loans are denominated in SDR, mainly on highly concessional terms.
27. The current currency alignment approach requires cash flow analysis over the next 24 months to ensure that IFAD has enough of each currency to fund the projected outflows in that currency. In the case of a deficit for any currency above 10 per cent of total projected outflows, Treasury executes a currency forward to hedge the exposure above the limit. No currency gap outside of the 10 per cent threshold was observed.
28. Supporting analysis, charts and tables are presented in appendix IV.

## VI. Conclusions

29. Based on the composition of the balance sheet as of June 2023, exposure to asset and liability management risks was still relatively low and manageable.
30. It is important to note that the structure of IFAD's balance sheet will continue to reflect its mission to focus on long-dated, fixed-interest rate, highly concessional lending on the asset side, which is the main source of interest rate and currency risks.
31. Management will monitor the evolution of IFAD's balance sheet and report on any exposure semi-annually.

IFAD's schematic balance sheet and key assumptions

Figure 1: Schematic balance sheet


Footnotes:
${ }^{*}$ ) Computed as residual from total debt- ordinary term loans
$\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ computed as residual of the total liquidity portfolio - liquidity portfolio funded by borrowing
$(* * *)$ other loans consists of super highly concessional, hardened term, intermediate term, debt settlement and blend loans
$(* * * *)$ Other assets consists of contribution and other receivables, fixed and intangible assets, and right-of-use-assets $(* * * * *)$ other liabilities consists of undisbursed grants, deferred revenues, payables, and other liabilities
(******) computed as total assets - total liabilities
Source: IFAD Treasury ${ }^{5}$

[^2]Figure 2: Evolution of IFAD's balance sheet


Figure 3: Key assumptions:

1. Cut-off date: 30 June 2023.
2. All analysis based on IFAD-only balance sheet (excluding supplementary funds).
3. Static ALM approach: only existing items and their projected cash flows were considered, i.e. no planned business, unless stated otherwise.
4. Undisbursed loans and pledges without instruments of contribution or promissory notes were considered as off-balance sheet items.
5. Discount curves were derived from overnight index swaps. Net present value was converted to United States dollars, as the reporting currency, using the spot rate as of the cut-off date.
6. In the absence of a benchmark yield curve for SDR, the IMF approach was used - the currency value of the SDR is determined by summing the values in United States dollars based on market exchange rates of a weighted basket of major currencies (United States dollar, euro, Japanese yen, pound sterling and Chinese yuan renminbi).
7. Interest rate indices: Secured Overnight Financing Rate (SOFR) for the United States dollar, Euro Interbank Offered Rate (EURIBOR) for the euro, Shanghai Interbank Offered Rate (SHIBOR) for the Chinese yuan renminbi, Tokyo Overnight Average Rate (TONAR) for the Japanese yen and Sterling Overnight Index Average (SONIA) for pound sterling (unless stated otherwise). ${ }^{6}$
8. No amortization of equity was assumed.
9. To generate interest on ordinary loans with floating interest rates, the zerofloor policy was considered (the pricing element linked to the variable spread on International Bank for Reconstruction and Development funding cost was projected as flat).
10. The parallel shocks for the stress scenarios are equal for all forward rates curves, e.g. the 100 bps shock was the same for SOFR and six-month EURIBOR.
11. The cash flows for IFAD loans take into account projected cancellations and disbursement envelopes.
[^3]
## Liquidity risk analysis

Figure 1: Key liquidity metrics

| Metric | As of |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As of |  |  |  |  | Limit |
| IFAD Liquidity (USD million) | 1445 | $\mathbf{1 9 0 2}$ | - |  |  |
| IFAD stressed liquidity (USD <br> million) | 1179 | $\mathbf{1 6 8 7}$ | - |  |  |
| Liquidity haircut (percent) | 18.4 | $\mathbf{1 1 . 3}$ | - |  |  |
| Liquidity haircut (USD million) | 266 | $\mathbf{2 1 5}$ |  |  |  |
| MLR (USD million) | 997 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 6}$ | - |  |  |
| MLR ratio (percent) | 118 | $\mathbf{1 6 7}$ | $>100$ |  |  |
| Liquidity ratio (percent) | 14.5 | $\mathbf{1 7 . 9}$ | $>5$ |  |  |
| Debt-service coverage ratio <br> (percent) | 10.5 | $\mathbf{1 0 . 1}$ | $<50$ |  |  |
| IFAD-calculated S\&P liquidity <br> ratio (12 months) | 1.34 | $\mathbf{1 . 3 6}$ | $>1$ |  |  |

Figure 2: Terms of IFAD's outstanding debt


Figure 3: Maturity profile of debt stock and repayment concentration


[^4]Figure 4: Ordinary Loans and Debt: terms and spreads ${ }^{8}$

| Currency | Remaining Tenor (years) |  |  | IR Spread (bps) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Loans (c) | Debt (d) | Gap (c-d) | Loans (e) | Debt (f) | Gap (e-f) |
| EUR | 6.8 | 9.0 | -2.2 | 91 | 48 | 43 |
| USD | 8.2 | 10.7 | -2.6 | 115 | 70 | 44 |
| XDR | 4.5 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 94 | 0 | 94 |
| Source: IF <br> Note 1: W <br> Note 1: Ex <br> Venezuel <br> Nota 2: W <br> weighted <br> EURIBOR | ding amo nded, CPL <br> pressed on SOFR, O/N <br> ) | s and <br> con of the be NA, O/N | sional fixed <br> mark rate <br> IA + applic | loans from <br> URIBOR 6 <br> pread adju | ada. Inclu <br> D: SOFR; <br> nt for O | R: SDR <br> rates, |

Figure 5: Ordinary term loans and debt: volumes and outstanding balances

| Currency | Number \# |  | Balance Outstanding (Mill. USD) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Loan | Debt | Loans (a) | Debt (b) | Gap (a-b) |
| EUR | 34 | 7 | 345 | 1072 | -726 |
| USD | 44 | 4 | 271 | 765 | -493 |
| XDR | 54 | 0 | 369 | 0 | 369 |
| Total | - | - | 986 | 1836 | -851 |
| Source: IFAD TRE <br> Note 1: Weighted by outstanding amount. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Note 2: Excludes loans suspended, CPL debts and concessional fixed-rate loans from Canada. Includes Venezuela Ioan |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 6: Outstanding debt \& undisbursed ordinary term loans


[^5]Figure 7: Gap by maturity bucket ${ }^{9}$

| Maturity gap - ON-BS items only <br> As of 30 June 2023 - In million USD |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ghted | erage Life |
| Bucket | Assets | Liabilities | Gap | Assets | Liabilities |
| 0 to 15 D | 788 | 1 | 787 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 to 30 D | 77 | 1 | 76 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 to 60 D | 48 | 1 | 47 | 0 | 0 |
| 61 to 90 D | 82 | 17 | 64 | 0 | 0 |
| 91 to 180 D | 227 | 42 | 184 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 181 to 360 D | 736 | 75 | 661 | 0.05 | 0.02 |
| 1 to 2 y | 1,131 | 130 | 1,000 | 0.14 | 0.06 |
| 2 to $3 y$ | 263 | 104 | 159 | 0.06 | 0.08 |
| 3 to 5 y | 1,206 | 329 | 877 | 0.41 | 0.42 |
| 5 to 10 y | 2,528 | 930 | 1,597 | 1.61 | 2.23 |
| More than 10 y | 4,787 | 1,535 | 3,252 | 6.13 | 7.37 |
| Total | 11,872 | 3,167 | 8,705 |  |  |
| Note: Doesn't include Other Assets and Liabilities Source: IFAD TRE |  |  |  |  |  |


| Maturity gap - All BS items |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| As of 30 June 2023 - In million USD |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^6]
## Interest rate risk analysis

Figure 1: Duration gap for debt-funded balance sheet

| Item Type | Item Sub Type | IR Type | Economic Value ${ }^{1}$ | Weighted Duration (years) $^{2}$ | Yield Based DV01 ${ }^{3}$ | Duration Attribution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investments (cash+bonds+swaps) | Investments (cash+bonds+swaps) | Both | 1128 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 51\% |
| Loan reflows | Ordinary | Floating | 1043 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 49\% |
| Sub total | - |  | 2171 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 100\% |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt | Private placement | Fixed | 350 | 9.7 | 0.3 | 128\% |
| Debt | Sovereign | Fixed | 166 | 13.8 | 0.2 | 86\% |
| Debt | Sovereign | Floating | 1558 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 17\% |
| Debt | Swaps | Fixed | -358 | 9.7 | -0.3 | -131\% |
| Debt | Swaps | Floating | 354 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0\% |
| Sub total | - |  | 2070 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 100\% |
| Total | - |  | 101 | -0.9 | -0.2 | - |
| 1 Present value of future cash flows exce <br> 2 Macaulay durations for each individua <br> 3 Yield-based DV01 = Modified Duration expressed as a positive value (thus, a ne par rates built fron the OIS Swap Curve p <br> Note 1: The Economic Value of Investme <br> Note 2: Duration Gap = Asset Duration - <br> Source: IFAD TRE | otherwise noted, discounted with swap em, then grouped and weighted by ma Market Value / 10,000. This metrics show tive value in the yield-based DV01 woul evailing at the cutoff-date <br> consists of Cash priced at outstanding Liabilities Duration x Market Value Liabil | urve preva et value s the poten represent a <br> mount, and / Market | e cut-off date <br> as a result of odified Dura <br> d swaps pri sets) | increase of n = Duration <br> d at market v | 1 bp in IR and / (1+yield), value | 's normally ere yield are |

Figure 2: Duration gap for equity-funded balance sheet


Figure 3: Duration gap for IFAD's balance sheet


Figure 4: Repricing gap and Net interest income sensitivity of assets funded by equity

| NII Sensitivity Analysis - BS items funded by Equity As of 30 June 2023 - In million USD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maturity Bucket | Assets | Liabilities | Gap | Sensitivity Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Paralell +1bps | $\begin{gathered} \text { Paralell } \\ -100 \mathrm{bps} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Paralell } \\ & \text {-200bps } \end{aligned}$ | Paralell -300bps | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Paralell } \\ +100 \mathrm{bps} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Paralell } \\ +200 \mathrm{bps} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Paralell } \\ & +300 \mathrm{bps} \end{aligned}$ | Steepening | ning |
| At sight | 317 | - | 317 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 10 | (3) | (6) | (9) | (3) | 3 |
| 1M | 55 | 0.1 | 55 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | (1) | (1) | (2) | (0) | 0 |
| 2M | 23 | - | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | (0) | (0) | (1) | (0) | 0 |
| 3M | 108 | - | 108 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | (1) | (2) | (3) | (0) | 0 |
| 4M | 59 | 0.1 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | (0) | (1) | (1) | (0) | 0 |
| 5M | 99 | - | 99 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | (1) | (1) | (2) | (0) | 0 |
| 6M | 67 | - | 67 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | (0) | (1) | (1) | - | - |
| 7M | 25 | 0.1 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (0) | (0) | (0) | 0 | (0) |
| 8M | 54 | - | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | (0) | (0) | (1) | 0 | (0) |
| 9M | 29 | - | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (0) | (0) | (0) | 0 | (0) |
| 10M | 42 | 0.1 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (0) | (0) | (0) | 0 | (0) |
| 11M | 51 | - | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (0) | (0) | (0) | 0 | (0) |
| 12M | 5 | - | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (0) | (0) | (0) | 0 | (0) |
| Repricing Gap | 935 | 0.4 | 935 | 0 | 7 | 14 | 20 | (7) | (13) | (20) | (4) | 4 |
| Source: IFAD TRE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 5: Repricing gap and Net interest income sensitivity of assets funded by debt

| NII Sensitivity Analysis - BS items funded by Borrowing As of 30 June 2023 - In million USD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maturity <br> Bucket | Assets | Liabilities | Gap | Sensitivity Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Paralell +1bps | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Paralell } \\ & \text {-100bps } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Paralell } \\ & \text {-200bps } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Paralell } \\ & -300 \mathrm{bps} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Paralell } \\ +100 \mathrm{bps} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Paralell } \\ +200 \mathrm{bps} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Paralell } \\ +300 \mathrm{bps} \end{array}$ | ning | ning |
| At sight | 463 | - | 463 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 14 | (5) | (9) | (14) | (5) | 5 |
| 1M | 113 | 328 | (215) | (0) | (2) | (4) | (6) | 2 | 4 | 6 | 2 | (2) |
| 2M | 119 | - | 119 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | (1) | (2) | (3) | (1) | 1 |
| 3M | 385 | 357 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | (0) | (0) | (1) | (0) | 0 |
| 4M | 186 | - | 186 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | (1) | (3) | (4) | (0) | 0 |
| 5M | 306 | 454 | (148) | (0) | (1) | (2) | (3) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | (0) |
| 6M | 319 | 491 | (172) | (0) | (1) | (2) | (3) | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | - |
| 7M | 22 | - | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (0) | (0) | (0) | 0 | (0) |
| 8M | 53 | - | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | (0) | (0) | (1) | 0 | (0) |
| 9M | (0) | - | (0) | (0) | (0) | (0) | (0) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (0) | 0 |
| 10M | 26 | - | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (0) | (0) | (0) | 0 | (0) |
| 11M | 29 | 66 | (37) | (0) | (0) | (0) | (0) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (0) | 0 |
| 12M | 8 | 126 | (118) | (0) | (0) | (0) | (0) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (0) | 0 |
| Repricing Gap | 2029 | 1823 | 206 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 11 | (4) | (7) | (10) | (4) | 4 |
| Source: IFAD TRE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 6: Repricing gap of balance sheet items


Figure 7: Sensitivity analysis of Economic Value of Equity

| Item Type | Nominal Value ${ }^{\text {(1) }}$ | Economic Value ${ }^{(2)}$ | Sensitivity Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DV01 } \\ \text { w. concess. } \\ \text { Items } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DV01 } \\ \text { w.o. concess. } \\ \text { \|tems } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Paralell } \\ & -100 \mathrm{bps} \end{aligned}$ | Paralell -200bps | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Paralell } \\ & -300 \mathrm{bps} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Paralell } \\ +100 \mathrm{bps} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Paralell } \\ +200 \mathrm{bps} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Paralell } \\ +300 \mathrm{bps} \end{gathered}$ | Steepening ${ }^{(3)}$ | Flattening ${ }^{\text {(3) }}$ |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contributions | 374 | 474 | (0) | (0) | 4 | 9 | 13 | (4) | (9) | (13) | (12) | 12 |
| Drawdown of debt | - | 13 | (0) | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | (0) | (0) | (0) | (1) | 1 |
| Investments (cash+bonds+swap | 1894 | 1901 | (0) | (0) | 3 | 7 | 11 | (3) | (7) | (10) | (14) | 15 |
| Loan reflows | 8135 | 6785 | (5) | (0) | 558 | 1171 | 1848 | (491) | (918) | (1292) | (116) | 121 |
| Other assets | 175 | 175 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sub total | 10578 | 9348 | (5) | (0) | 566 | 1186 | 1872 | (499) | (934) | (1315) | (142) | 149 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt | 2313 | 2192 | (1) | (0) | 57 | 126 | 221 | (49) | (90) | (126) | (12) | 14 |
| Debt (future drawdowns) | - | 9 | (0) | - | 1 | 3 | 5 | (1) | (2) | (3) | (0) | 0 |
| Other liabilities | 252 | 252 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Undisbursed Grants | 63 | - | (0) | (0) | 1 | 2 | 2 | (1) | (1) | (2) | (2) | 2 |
| Sub total | 2627 | 2454 | (1) | (0) | 59 | 129 | 226 | (50) | (92) | (129) | (13) | 14 |
| Total | 7951 | 6894 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdot$ |
| Change in EVE (in mill. USD) |  |  | (5) | 0 | 507 | 1058 | 1646 | (449) | (841) | (1 186) | (130) | 135 |
| Change in EVE (as \% of Nominal) |  |  | (0) | 0 | 6 | 13 | 21 | (6) | (11) | (15) | (2) | 2 |
| (1) Includes balances outstanding for Investment, Loans, Debt and Other Assets and Liabilities, and contributions receivables (IOC/PN) <br> (2) Present value of future cash flows discounted at the forward curve prevailing at the cut-off date <br> (3) An increase (steepening) or decrease (flatteing) of the baseline forward curve slope by 2.5 times <br> Note 1: Including disbursed items only. <br> Note 2: DV01 and Sensitivy Analysis are computed as the difference between the market value using the shocked rates and the market value using the baseline rates. Therefore, <br> a positive (negative) value indicates an increase (decrease) of the market value in the shocked scenario. <br> Note 3: Duration of floating rate ordinary undisbursed loans reflows is zero since at the cut-off date the interest rate wasn't set yet. <br> Note 4: Asset loans are inflows from repayments, interest payments and service charge. Liabilities loans are future disbursements (i.e. outflows). <br> Source: IFAD TRE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 8: Evolution of interest rate risk metrics


Figure 1: Balance sheet net currency position

| Net Currency Position - ON-BS items only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As of 30 June 2023 - Outstanding balances, in million USD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Item Type | Item Sub Type | USD | EUR | CNY | JPY | GBP | SDR | Total |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contributions | IOC - PN | 107 | 163 | 27 | 32 | 45 | - | 374 |
| Investments (cas | Cash | 622 | 154 | 0 | 0 | 3 | - | 780 |
| Investments (cas | a Investments | 691 | 383 | - | - | - | - | 1074 |
| Loan reflows | Blend | 295 | 31 | - | - | - | 265 | 591 |
| Loan reflows | Hardened terms | - | - | - | - | - | 32 | 32 |
| Loan reflows | Highly concessional | 477 | 174 | - | - | - | 5730 | 6381 |
| Loan reflows | Intermediate terms | - | - | - | - | - | 145 | 145 |
| Loan reflows | Ordinary | 271 | 345 | - | - | - | 368 | 984 |
| Loan reflows | Super highly concessional | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Other assets | Other receivables | 199 | (33) | (0) | - | (13) | 22 | 175 |
| Sub total | - | 2664 | 1218 | 27 | 32 | 35 | 6561 | 10537 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt | CPL | 27 | 175 | - | - | - | - | 201 |
| Debt | Private placement | 344 | 0 | - | - | - | - | 344 |
| Debt | Sovereign | 879 | 883 | - | - | - | - | 1762 |
| Other liabilities | Other liabilities | 217 | 33 | - | 0 | 0 | 2 | 252 |
| Loan | Grant | 48 | 9 | - | - | - | 5 | 63 |
| Sub total | - | 1515 | 1099 | - | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2622 |
| Total | - | 1149 | 119 | 27 | 32 | 35 | 6553 | 7915 |
| Note 1: Following the current procedure, NOK, SEK, CHF were grouped in the EUR column (representing €62m of the Euro Net Position), and other currencies not shown in the table were grouped into the USD column (representing $\$ 19 \mathrm{~m}$ of the USD Net Position) Source: IFAD TRE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 2: Net currency position of assets funded by debt


Figure 3: Net currency position of assets funded by equity

| Net Currency Position - ON-BS items only, funded by Equity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As of 30 June 2023-Outstanding balances, in million USD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Item Type | Item Sub Type | USD | EUR | CNY | JPY | GBP | SDR | Total |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contributions | IOC - PN | 107 | 163 | 27 | 32 | 45 | - | 374 |
| Investments (cas | Cash | 253 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 317 |
| Investments (cas | Investments | 281 | 156 | - | - | - | - | 437 |
| Loan reflows | Blend | 295 | 31 | - | - | - | 265 | 591 |
| Loan reflows | Hardened terms | - | - | - | - | - | 32 | 32 |
| Loan reflows | Highly concessional | 477 | 174 | - | - | - | 5730 | 6381 |
| Loan reflows | Intermediate terms | - | - | - | - | - | 145 | 145 |
| Loan reflows | Super highly concessional | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Other assets | Other receivables | 199 | (33) | (0) | - | (13) | 22 | 175 |
| Sub total | - | 1614 | 554 | 27 | 32 | 33 | 6193 | 8453 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt | CPL | 27 | 175 | - | - | - | - | 201 |
| Debt | Private placement | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Debt | Sovereign | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other liabilities | Other liabilities | 217 | 33 | - | 0 | 0 | 2 | 252 |
| Loan | Grant | 48 | 9 | - | - | - | 5 | 63 |
| Sub total | - | 292 | 216 | - | 0 | 0 | 8 | 516 |
| Total | - | 1322 | 338 | 27 | 32 | 33 | 6186 | 7937 |
| Source: IFAD TRE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 4: 24-month cashflow currency alignment

| IFAD Currency Composition as at 30/06/2023 Including Hedging (Thousands of United States dollars equivalent - based on 24-Month Projected Cashflow) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | CNY Group | EUR Group | GBP Group | JPY Group | USD Group | Grand Total |
| Inflows |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash | 144 | 76765 | 4068 | 33 | 633982 | 714993 |
| Investments | 15 | 467496 | 4 | 0 | 719668 | 1187183 |
| Contributions | 48467 | 266328 | 33736 | 37680 | 283729 | 669940 |
| Projected Reflows | 0 | 142128 | 7588 | 0 | 651628 | 801344 |
| Debt Drawdowns | 0 | 88256 | 0 | 0 | 622333 | 710589 |
| Assets Total | 48627 | 1040973 | 45396 | 37713 | 2911340 | 4084050 |
| Outflows |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Projected Disbursements | ( 2832 ) | (584 249) | (1919) | (1742) | (1285 439) | (1876 180) |
| Projected Operating Expenses |  | (47 794) |  |  | (310 391) | (358 185) |
| Debt Repayments \& Interests | 0 | (139 434) | 0 | 0 | (62 368) | $(201803)$ |
| Commitments Total | $(2832)$ | (771 478) | (1919) | $(1742)$ | (1658 198) | (2436 168) |
| Current Hedging |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currency Forwards | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hedging Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Deficit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Deficit as \% of Commitments | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |

Figure 5: Evolution of currency risk metrics



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ A single repayment of principal on the borrowing's maturity date.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Ratios calculated by Treasury are based on S\&P methodology. Official ratios are published by S\&P in periodic rating reports.
    ${ }^{3}$ Duration represents the weighted average time to repricing of an asset or liability, where the weights are discounted cash flows. The duration gap is the difference between the duration of assets, weighted by the United States dollar value of assets, and the duration of liabilities, weighted by the United States dollar value of liabilities.
    ${ }^{4}$ The portfolio's conditional value at risk was 0.84 per cent, within the risk tolerance level of 3 per cent defined in the Investment Policy Statement. The portfolio duration was 0.35 years (EB 2023/139/R.26).

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ Differences with IFAD financial statements are due to fixed assets, grant element of CPLs and lease liabilities, not included in the above schematic balance sheet.

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ For pricing IFAD loans linked to a market-based variable reference rate, SOFR was used rather than six-month LIBOR as part of the transition to SOFR since April 2022 (EB 2021/134/INF.5).

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ The MLR is calculated as the sum of next 12-month disbursements (USD 920 million) and debt service (USD 77 million).

[^5]:    ${ }^{8}$ Floating rate and Fixed rate swapped into floaters instruments only. Weighted by outstanding amount. It excludes loans suspended, CPL debts and concessional fixed-rate loans from Canada. Includes Venezuela loan. Weighted average spreads are over the relevant benchmark rate (EUR: EURIBOR 6M; USD: SOFR; SDR: SDR weighted rate based on O/N SOFR, O/N TONA, O/N SONIA + applicable spread adjustment for O/N rates, EURIBOR $6 m$ and SHIBOR 6M)

[^6]:    ${ }^{9}$ It includes all assets and liabilities projected cash flows, e.g., future accrued interests and operating expenses, slotted in maturity buckets at their present value.

