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## Abbreviations and acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAFORD</td>
<td>Affordable Agricultural Financing for Resilient Rural Development Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWPB</td>
<td>annual workplan and budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFF</td>
<td>blended finance facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESMF</td>
<td>environmental and social management framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESMP</td>
<td>environmental and social management plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERR</td>
<td>economic rate of return</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESRF</td>
<td>Emergency Support to Rural Livelihoods and Food Systems Exposed to COVID-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GASIP</td>
<td>Ghana Agricultural Sector Investment Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCF</td>
<td>Green Climate Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIFMIS</td>
<td>Government Integrated Financial and Management Information System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GoG</td>
<td>Government of Ghana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICO</td>
<td>IFAD Country Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFAD11</td>
<td>Eleventh Replenishment of IFAD’s Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGREENFIN</td>
<td>Inclusive Green Financing Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M&amp;E</td>
<td>monitoring and evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoFA</td>
<td>Ministry of Food and Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSME</td>
<td>micro, small and medium-sized enterprise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCU</td>
<td>project coordination unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFI</td>
<td>participating financial institution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPA</td>
<td>Public Procurement Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROSPER</td>
<td>Promoting Rural Opportunities, Sustainable Profits and Environmental Resilience Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Rural Enterprises Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RI</td>
<td>rural institution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG</td>
<td>Sustainable Development Goal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC</td>
<td>value chain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Map of the project area

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of IFAD concerning the delimitation of the frontiers or boundaries, or the authorities thereof.
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## Financing summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initiating institution:</td>
<td>IFAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borrower:</td>
<td>Republic of Ghana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executing agency:</td>
<td>IFAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total project cost:</td>
<td>US$147.3 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of IFAD loan:</td>
<td>US$52.5 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terms of IFAD loan:</td>
<td>33 per cent highly concessional terms and 67 per cent on blend terms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cofinanciers:</td>
<td>Green Climate Fund (GCF), participating financial institutions, private sector, beneficiaries, Government of Ghana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of cofinancing:</td>
<td>Participating financial institutions: US$2.4 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Private sector: US$2.5 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GCF: US$25.4 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terms of cofinancing:</td>
<td>Loans; grants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribution of borrower:</td>
<td>US$22.5 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribution of beneficiaries:</td>
<td>US$19.3 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financing gap:</td>
<td>US$22.7 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of IFAD climate finance:</td>
<td>US$14.9 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperating institution:</td>
<td>IFAD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recommendation for approval
The Executive Board is invited to approve the recommendation contained in paragraph 56.

I. Context

A. National context and rationale for IFAD involvement

National context

1. Ghana is a lower-middle-income country with a relatively strong economy, despite its dependence on the export of a limited number of commodities and vulnerability to commodity price shocks and climate change. The impacts of COVID-19 have included economic slowdown and socio-economic challenges. Poverty, food and nutrition insecurity remain high: 23 per cent of Ghana’s population is poor and 8.2 per cent live in extreme poverty. Ghana has a 7 per cent prevalence of undernourishment, and the prevalence of moderate and severe food insecurity stands at 49.6 per cent.

2. The highest prevalence of poverty in the country is in the Northern Belt and some regions in the Middle Belt – up to 62 per cent in rural areas. Most rural communities engage in low-input, low-output rain-fed farming with small ruminants. Within a heavily depleted natural resource base, these farmers are effectively cut off from the national economy. Constraints in the sector are compounded by the negative effects of climate change.

Alignment with IFAD’s corporate mainstreaming priorities

3. In line with mainstreaming commitments related to the Eleventh Replenishment of IFAD's Resources (IFAD11), the Promoting Rural Opportunities, Sustainable Profits and Environmental Resilience Project (PROSPER) has been validated as including the following components:

- **Including climate finance.** PROSPER will integrate adaptation and mitigation measures into value chain packages, supported by special financial mechanisms promoted by the project.

- **Nutrition-sensitive.** PROSPER will: increase production of highly nutritious crops and animal-sourced foods; promote food conservation, post-harvest processing and value addition; and contribute to more nutritious diets by providing nutrition education and social behaviour change communication.

- **Youth-sensitive.** PROSPER will support the establishment of youth-led enterprises along the selected value chains, and will provide targeted assistance through financial inclusion, skills development and capacity-building.

4. **Climate and environment.** Rural communities continue to rely on fuelwood, resulting in forest depletion at an estimated 2 per cent loss per year. Agriculture is the second largest contributor to greenhouse gas emissions after the energy sector. Ghana is committed to implementing its National Climate Change Policy, as highlighted in its national climate change communication to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change and nationally determined contribution to the Paris Agreement.

5. **Gender.** Although women constitute 58 per cent of Ghana’s rural labour force, Ghana is ranked 117 out of 156 countries in the World Economic Forum Global Gender Gap Report. In 2015, the Government adopted the National Gender Policy and the Gender and Agricultural Development Strategy.

6. **Nutrition.** Stunting affects 17.5 per cent of children under 5 years of age while wasting affects 6.8 per cent. The National Nutrition Policy focuses on feeding practices for infants and young children, nutrition among women of reproductive
age and the promotion of nutritionally adequate safe diets. Ghana joined the Scaling-up Nutrition Movement in 2011.

7. **Youth.** Rural areas include 56 per cent youth, 12 per cent unemployed and 50 per cent under-employed according to a 2020 estimate. This results in growing migration from rural to urban areas. Government outreach and development initiatives to provide jobs, capacity and opportunities to youth from semi-subsistence smallholder families remain limited.

8. **People with disabilities** make up 3 per cent of the country’s population. There is a strong correlation between disabilities, poverty and socio-economic status of affected households. Ghana adopted the Persons with Disability Act (Act 715) in 2006.

**Rationale for IFAD involvement**

9. The persistence of intractable poverty in rural communities dependent on rain-fed agriculture is an underlying issue. The remedy is to uplift rural economies by transforming the bedrock of small-scale farming from predominantly subsistence to financially and environmentally sustainable systems tied into functioning markets. Climate change is projected to impact vital water resources, energy supplies, crop production and food security. The project areas are among the most affected by climate change, with the Northern Belt the most vulnerable area of these.

**B. Lessons learned**

10. Building the capacity of rural institutions is a priority for the project. The aim is to enable rural institutions and micro, small and medium-sized enterprises (MSMEs) to: generate internal capital; progress towards purposeful “farming as a business”; and enter enduring agribusiness partnerships to facilitate market access.

11. To succeed, production must be both financially and environmentally sustainable. A transition is needed from past production practices to climate-smart agriculture and natural resource management – both on and off farm. Climate change adaptation and mitigation measures have demonstrated high levels of adoption when included in production packages linked to markets and financial instruments.

12. The lack of regular access to affordable finance has proved an insurmountable constraint for rural institutions and MSMEs in the past. There is an urgent need for capacity-building and product development, including measures to mitigate climate risks for rainfed crop production.

13. The design of this project has benefited from IFAD’s prior experience with financing instruments targeted to the most disadvantaged beneficiaries, emphasizing each candidate group’s cohesion and potential.

**II. Project description**

**A. Objectives, geographical area of intervention and target groups**

14. **Development objective.** PROSPER seeks to strengthen the integration, climate resilience and environmental sustainability of smallholders and businesses in priority value chains in the Northern and Middle Belts, taking advantage of the increased demand generated by the Government to strengthen agroprocessing.

15. In addition, the project will support the development of tree crop value chains, poultry production and opportunities for people with disabilities, which has not been addressed by ongoing IFAD projects except on an emergency basis during COVID-19. This will be the first intervention in Ghana to incorporate the Green Climate Fund (GCF) within the agricultural sector.

16. The project’s **geographical targeting strategy** includes Ghana’s rural population needs, high prevalence of poverty and food and nutrition insecurity, strong concentration of young women and men in rural areas, presence of economic opportunities, degradation of the productive natural resource base, and climate
vulnerability. As a consequence, the project’s geographic area extends to all five regions in the Northern Belt and three neighbouring regions in the Middle Belt (Bono East, Bono and Oti).

17. PROSPER’s primary target groups are the poor and poorest households with limited access to assets and economic opportunities due to marginalization and the negative impacts of climate change. Rural institutions and MSMEs will be targeted by the project.

18. The poorest households hold no more than 1 ha of cultivable land or are landless, while poor households hold less than 2 ha of cultivable land. Vulnerable people within the poor rural population include: women (40 per cent); unemployed young women and men between 18 and 35 years (30 per cent); and economically active people with disabilities (5 per cent), many of whom belong to the poorest households.

19. Market-oriented farmers with 2–10 ha of cultivable land will also benefit from project support. The total number of beneficiaries is estimated at 100,000. In line with IFAD’s targeting guidelines, PROSPER will employ a combination of geographical, community, self and direct-targeting mechanisms.

20. The primary safeguards against elite capture will be self-targeting, the participatory identification of poor and other priority households, and rigorous screening. The project team will ensure compliance with pre-approved, objective selection criteria and transparent information sharing and decision-making.

B. Components, outcomes and activities

21. Component 1: Development of rural institutions and socio-economic infrastructure. The expected outcome is improved and sustainable livelihoods in target areas supported by strengthened rural institutions and improved socio-economic infrastructures. These actions are concentrated on investing in public goods to benefit the targeted 100,000 beneficiaries.

- Subcomponent 1.1: Capacity-building of rural institutions, enterprises and households, (US$11.3 million – 7.7 per cent of the total project cost), comprises a range of institution-building and skill-transfer activities aimed at enabling target groups to: (i) take ownership of and accountability for their own development initiatives; (ii) promote sustainable community-based natural resource management; (iii) strengthen their ability to implement modern, sustainable and climate-resilient farming; and (iv) adopt healthier diets and gender equity.

- Subcomponent 1.2: Development of socio-economic infrastructure, (US$24.3 million – 16.5 per cent of the total budget), seeks to accomplish investments in public goods identified by local communities through the cluster planning process. Three complementary types of climate-resilient infrastructure will be eligible: (i) off-farm or watershed works, and hazard mitigation measures; (ii) feeder roads and farm tracks; and (iii) communal social infrastructure.

22. Component 2: Strengthening economic benefits for smallholders and small operators around selected agricultural value chains. The expected outcome is smallholders and small businesses drawing greater benefits from increasing market outlets for agricultural produce through comprehensive financial, capacity and marketing support. The first component will invest in targeted communities’ human, physical and natural resources, while the second in “private goods” to boost the earning capacity of agricultural producer groups (youth in particular) and related enterprises in a sustainable manner.

- Subcomponent 2.1: Sustainable market linkages and business development, (US$ 13.3 million – 9 per cent of the total cost), comprises three activities. Value chain analysis will examine each selected national
value chain and map the actors close to target communities. **Promotion of linkages between producers and value chain actors** will aim at promoting sustainable and equitable contract agreements between producers engaged in climate-resilient farming and large-scale agribusinesses. This activity will focus on rural institutions and MSMEs that demonstrate interest and potential in capacity-building interventions. The project will generate sound, achievable and fully costed **business plans** that are suitable to the resources and prospects of each entity, green in design, and climate-resilient.

23. **Subcomponent 2.2: Access to rural financial services,** (US$85.8 million – 58.2 per cent of the total cost) aims to promote a savings culture among beneficiaries, facilitate the implementation of business plans and ensure enduring access to affordable financial resources. Through rural institutions and related MSMEs, farmers will be integrated into expanding value chains and have lasting access to affordable financial services for developing agribusinesses – including green investments – to stimulate rapid sectoral development. Financial services supported by the project will be structured around three instruments tailored to the capacities of beneficiary groups. The first, **savings and loan groups,** will aim to spark greater savings within target communities. The second, a **matching grants fund,** will facilitate emerging rural institutions’ engagement in commercial agriculture and smaller MSMEs’ participation in selected value chains, as well as support the promotion of food and nutrition security among the most vulnerable groups. The third, a **blended finance facility (BFF),** will operate to ensure sustainable access to financial services for growing rural institutions and MSMEs while crowding in private capital. The BFF will include lines of credit from the GCF/Inclusive Green Financing Initiative (IGREENFIN). To ensure that PROSPER provides green loans and grants, the eligibility criteria listed in the IGREENFIN operational manual for green investments will be applied to all loans and grants.

24. **Component 3: Project management and policy engagement.** The expected outcome is efficient and timely delivery of development results and support to evidence-based sectoral policy formulation.

- **Subcomponent 3.1: Project management,** (US$ 12.4 million – 8.4 per cent of the total cost). PROSPER will be facilitated by an implementation support structure embedded in the host Government agency, acting as a liaison with the project team and providing: technical and financial support for project management; reporting and accounting; proactive knowledge management and learning; and monitoring and evaluation.

- **Subcomponent 3.2: Policy engagement,** (US$300,000 – 0.2 per cent), will promote an enabling policy environment to achieve the project’s goals and objectives. This will include climate/green finance, creating incentives for financial institutions and the private sector to invest in green and climate-resilient agricultural value chains; addressing barriers to private investment in value chains; and increasing access to productive land for women and youth, and the availability of financial services for smallholders and MSMEs.

25. A cautious phased approach will be followed, starting with few regions where project-wide inception activities will be carried out without over-extending government and management resources and aligning with the pattern of project financing. The project will be scaled up to the remaining regions, according to defined trigger conditions such as: filling the project’s financing gap; the Government meeting its cofinancing commitments during the initial phase; and agreements with implementing partners being established and progressing well. If one or more of the above conditions are not met, this could lead to restructuring of the project and other possible remedies to be addressed through an early midterm review.
C. **Theory of change**

26. The project’s investments in the technical and business capacities of small-scale producers (along with a shift to climate-friendly farming systems) are intended to enable entire communities to progress from subsistence-oriented production to financially and environmentally sustainable production linked with expanded national value chains. The project will work to: close the current gaps between rural producers and markets; expedite contract arrangements; and introduce innovative green financing modalities that make credit affordable. The rise in profitable primary production and related services is expected to result in a broad economic boost for rural communities, greater inclusion of marginalized and vulnerable groups, and a reduction in poverty, food insecurity and nutritional insecurity.

D. **Alignment, ownership and partnerships**

27. PROSPER is closely aligned with the SDGs, the United Nations Sustainable Development Cooperation Framework, the Government’s development policy framework and IFAD’s Strategic Framework 2016–2025. It will contribute to the strategic objectives identified in IFAD’s country strategic opportunities programme for Ghana and will be implemented in coordination with development partners; such as the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, the World Food Programme, the World Bank, the African Development Bank, the European Union and Global Affairs Canada.

E. **Costs, benefits and financing**

28. The financing gap of US$22.7 million may be sourced through subsequent performance-based allocation system cycles (under financing terms to be determined and subject to internal procedures and Executive Board approval), or by cofinancing identified during implementation.

29. Project components 1 and 2 are partially counted as climate finance. The preliminary amount of IFAD climate finance for this project is calculated as US$14.96 million, as per the multilateral development banks’ methodologies for tracking climate change adaptation and mitigation finance.

**Project costs**

30. The total project costs for eight years are estimated at US$147.3 million or GHS 1.25 billion, including contingencies. The costs of activities have been assessed at: US$35.6 million (24.1 per cent of the total cost) for component 1; US$99.1 million (67.2 per cent) for component 2; and US$12.7 million (8.6 per cent) for component 3 (implementation support).
## Table 1
**Project costs by component/subcomponent and financier**
(Millions of United States dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component/subcomponent</th>
<th>IFAD</th>
<th>Financing Gap</th>
<th>GCF</th>
<th>Other cofinancers</th>
<th>Beneficiaries</th>
<th>Borrower</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Development of rural institutions and socio-economic infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1 Capacity-building of rural institutions, enterprises and households</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Development of socio-economic infrastructure</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Strengthening the economic benefits for smallholders and small operators around selected agricultural value chains</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1 Sustainable marketing linkages and business development</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Access to rural financial services</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Project management and policy engagement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1 Project management</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2 Policy engagement</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Table 2
**Project costs by expenditure category and financier**
(Millions of United States dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expenditure category</th>
<th>IFAD</th>
<th>Financing gap</th>
<th>GCF adaptation</th>
<th>GCF mitigation</th>
<th>GCF grant</th>
<th>Financial institutions</th>
<th>Beneficiaries</th>
<th>Private sector</th>
<th>Government</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil works</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment, goods and vehicles</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National consultants</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training and workshops</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants and subsidies</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit, guarantee funds</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total investment costs</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries and allowances</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating costs</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total recurrent costs</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Table 3
**Project costs by component and subcomponent and project year**
(Thousands of United States dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2026</th>
<th>2027</th>
<th>2028</th>
<th>2029</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1. Development of rural institutions and socio-economic infrastructure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1. Capacity-building of rural institutions, enterprises and households</td>
<td>786.3</td>
<td>1 211.1</td>
<td>1 615.5</td>
<td>2 769.0</td>
<td>2 162.4</td>
<td>1 172.9</td>
<td>958.4</td>
<td>622.0</td>
<td>11 297.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2. Development of socio-economic infrastructure</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>2 321.3</td>
<td>4 561.1</td>
<td>4 942.0</td>
<td>5 040.8</td>
<td>3 634.7</td>
<td>3 707.4</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>24 264.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>812.8</td>
<td>3 532.4</td>
<td>6 176.6</td>
<td>7 710.9</td>
<td>7 203.2</td>
<td>4 807.6</td>
<td>4 665.9</td>
<td>652.7</td>
<td>35 562.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. Strengthening the economic benefits for smallholders and small</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>operators around selected agricultural value chains</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1. Sustainable marketing linkages and business development</td>
<td>924.6</td>
<td>1 548.9</td>
<td>2 082.7</td>
<td>2 613.7</td>
<td>2 544.8</td>
<td>1 889.2</td>
<td>1 043.4</td>
<td>663.9</td>
<td>13 311.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2. Access to rural financial services</td>
<td>465.9</td>
<td>1 148.3</td>
<td>13 402.8</td>
<td>15 149.0</td>
<td>16 792.2</td>
<td>15 285.4</td>
<td>12 229.6</td>
<td>954.1</td>
<td>85 762.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>1 390.5</td>
<td>13 032.8</td>
<td>15 485.4</td>
<td>17 762.6</td>
<td>19 337.0</td>
<td>17 174.6</td>
<td>13 273.0</td>
<td>1 617.9</td>
<td>99 073.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3. Project management and policy engagement</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1. Project management</td>
<td>1 814.3</td>
<td>1 074.6</td>
<td>1 779.4</td>
<td>1 625.5</td>
<td>1 759.4</td>
<td>1 523.4</td>
<td>1 392.0</td>
<td>1 402.8</td>
<td>12 371.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2. Policy engagement</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>338.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>1 837.9</td>
<td>1 147.3</td>
<td>1 815.2</td>
<td>1 662.1</td>
<td>1 836.9</td>
<td>1 561.5</td>
<td>1 418.9</td>
<td>1 430.2</td>
<td>12 710.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>4 041.2</td>
<td>17 712.5</td>
<td>23 477.2</td>
<td>27 135.7</td>
<td>28 377.1</td>
<td>23 543.7</td>
<td>19 357.7</td>
<td>3 700.8</td>
<td>147 346.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Totals including contingencies

- 2022: 4 041.2
- 2023: 17 712.5
- 2024: 23 477.2
- 2025: 27 135.7
- 2026: 28 377.1
- 2027: 23 543.7
- 2028: 19 357.7
- 2029: 3 700.8
- Total: 147 346.0
Financing and cofinancing strategy and plan

31. The project will be financed by an IFAD loan of US$52.5 million from IFAD11, corresponding to 35.6 per cent of the total project cost; a financing gap of US$22.7 million that will be potentially financed from IFAD12 (15.4 per cent). Additional contributions will come from: GCF, with US$25.4 million in parallel financing (17.3 per cent); the Government, with US$22.5 million, mainly in the form of government staff cost, tax and duty exemptions (15.3 per cent); participating financial institutions, with US$2.4 million (1.6 per cent); private sector institutions, with US$2.5 million (1.7 per cent); and beneficiaries, with US$19.3 million (13.1 per cent) in form of cash and in-kind contributions to access credit facilities. For each US$1 of IFAD financing, the domestic contribution is US$0.62 and the international contribution is US$0.34. The domestic contribution accounts for 31.7 per cent of the total project cost, including the beneficiary contribution.

Disbursement

32. The IFAD funds will be disbursed into a designated account denominated in United States dollars at the Central Bank of Ghana, and will be operated by the project coordination unit (PCU) using the national system. An operational bank account in the local currency will also be used. The proceeds from the designated account will be used exclusively to finance eligible project expenditures, as stipulated in schedule 2 of the financing agreement. Funds will be transferred periodically from the designated to the operational account for expenditures eligible for IFAD financing. Additional designated and operational accounts will be opened for GCF financing. Fund-flow arrangements will be organized in line with public financial management procedures adopted by the Government. Report-based disbursement methods will apply to the project, with disbursements based on interim project financial reports, which will be specified in the letter to the borrower. Other methods of disbursement will include advances, direct payments and reimbursements.

Summary of benefits and economic analysis

33. Farmers are expected to benefit directly from the project, including women and youth. In addition, MSMEs and rural institutions will take advantage of the project’s positive impacts. Indirect beneficiaries will include local institutions and the families of workers employed directly by the project. The targeted areas will benefit from a boost in the local economy driven by the project activities.

34. The main benefit stream analysed is the income and profits derived from business activities around the targeted value chains. Other significant non-financial benefits include: improved access through feeder roads and farm tracks; enhanced productive potential created by on- and off-farm watershed works and natural resource remediation; communal assets; increased tax revenues; and enhanced nutrition security.

35. Economic analysis, based on models elaborated for the principal production modes supported by PROSPER, yield an ERR of 21.4 per cent. Sensitivity analysis shows this ERR to be robust under different adverse scenarios. A simultaneous increase in costs of between 10 per cent and 60 per cent, combined with a decrease in benefits of 10 per cent, yields an ERR greater than or equal to the opportunity cost of capital (assumed to be 12.25 per cent).

Exit strategy and sustainability

36. The exit strategy comprises a natural and sequential phasing away from supported activities as linkages with the private sector are strengthened. A high level of participation from the Government, beneficiaries and partner institutions is planned from the outset to ensure ownership by local stakeholders. The exit strategy may be modified in case triggers for the expansion phase are not met and the project is restructured.
III. Risks

A. Risks and mitigation measures

37. The main risks and corresponding mitigation measures for the project are presented in the integrated project risk matrix (appendix III). The PCU will be trained to refine the matrix during implementation and establish a risk log, including tools for the identification, analysis, prevention, monitoring and management of risks. The risk log will be updated regularly to inform decision-making.

Table 4
Overall risk summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk areas</th>
<th>Inherent risk rating</th>
<th>Residual risk rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Country context</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Substantial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sector strategies and policies</td>
<td>Substantial</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment and climate context</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project scope</td>
<td>Substantial</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional capacity for implementation and sustainability</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial management</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project procurement</td>
<td>Substantial</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment, social and climate impact</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholders</td>
<td>Substantial</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>Substantial</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Environment and social category

38. The potential environmental and social risks rating is moderate to low. The main risks relate to value chain activities, predominantly rainfed primary production and value addition, and socio-economic infrastructure including natural resource remediation works, and feeder roads and farm tracks. Most of the environmental impacts are expected to be small in scale, site-specific and avoidable or reduced with mitigation plans. As a category B operation, a formal environmental and social impact assessment is required. Further analysis of the conflict dimensions and site-specific environmental and social management plans will be mainstreamed throughout implementation. A climate and safeguards officer and a gender, social inclusion and vulnerability specialist will be engaged to ensure compliance with safeguards and project sustainability.

C. Climate risk classification

39. From a climate change risk assessment standpoint, the project risk is classified as high. The target communities are dependent on climate-sensitive natural resources, especially rainfed agriculture and tree crops. The project area is increasingly exposed to droughts and flash flooding, unexpected dry spells, unpredictable rainfall and extreme temperatures. Greenhouse gas emissions may increase through greater crop and animal production, processing, and the negative effects of climate variability.

D. Debt sustainability

40. Since 2015, the annual World Bank–International Monetary Fund debt sustainability analysis index has rated Ghana’s debt as sustainable.

41. According to the 19 July 2021 consultation by the International Monetary Fund Executive Board, Ghana was hit hard by the COVID-19 pandemic. The government response helped to contain the pandemic and support the economy, but it led to a record fiscal deficit. Although the economic outlook is improving, risks remain; the pandemic has had a severe impact on economic activity, with public debt rising to 79 per cent of GDP.
IV. Implementation

A. Organizational framework

Project management and coordination
42. As the lead project agency, the Ministry of Food and Agriculture of the Republic of Ghana will host PROSPER. A project steering committee will provide overall implementation oversight. A PCU will be responsible for coordination of project activities and for fiduciary, climate change and environmental and social safeguards, including gender and vulnerability. The PCU will be based in the project area and will work with the appropriate government staff. A dedicated team reporting to the PCU will be anchored within ARB Apex Bank to manage the BFF.

Financial management, procurement and governance
43. The PCU will be responsible for all financial management. The financial management system will meet the fiduciary requirements of IFAD, the Government and other stakeholders. The PCU will oversee and implement all procurement transactions, including procurement carried out by GCF funding.

44. IFAD’s anticorruption policies and whistle-blowing procedures will be included in the project implementation manual. IFAD applies a zero-tolerance policy towards fraudulent, corrupt, collusive or coercive actions in all projects financed through its loans and grants.¹

Project target group engagement and feedback
45. The project’s strategy for ensuring transparency and citizen participation will include: transparent access to information and reporting; monitoring and evaluation of implementing partners, beneficiaries and third parties; active engagement of civil society; creation of a beneficiary-feedback mechanism; and transparent selection of procurement service providers.

Grievance redress
46. The environmental and social management framework will include the IFAD complaints procedure as a grievance redress mechanism. It will be aligned with whistle-blower protection procedures aimed at protecting informants.²

B. Planning, monitoring and evaluation, learning, knowledge management and communications

47. Project planning will follow current practices for IFAD-funded projects in Ghana. The main planning tool will be the annual workplan and budget.

48. Monitoring and evaluation will inform stakeholders and the PCU about the performance and effectiveness of implementation as a basis for management decision-making. A results-based approach will be adopted, measuring progress against the targets of the annual workplan and budget.

49. Learning, knowledge management and communications will comprise a knowledge management and communication strategy to capture and disseminate knowledge gained on critical issues during implementation. PROSPER will participate in, contribute to and benefit from the South-South and Triangular Cooperation activities under IGREENFIN regional activities.

Innovation and scaling up
50. An innovative feature of the project is the application of climate finance at scale to reduce poverty, and food and nutrition insecurity – a first in Ghana. Substantial climate financing will ramp up the transition from a failing growth-oriented approach to agricultural development that is financially and environmentally

¹ See Revised IFAD Policy on Preventing Fraud and Corruption in its Activities and Operations.
² See Framework for Operational Feedback from Stakeholders: Enhancing Transparency, Governance and Accountability.
sustainable. Successful technologies to be scaled up through PROSPER include the web-based monitoring and evaluation system designed by the Rural Enterprises Programme and the dissemination of weather forecasts.

C. Implementation plans
   Implementation readiness and start-up plans
51. The IFAD Country Office will coordinate with the lead project agency to ensure readiness for project implementation. Key preparatory and start-up activities include: (i) preliminary mapping and identification of target communities; (ii) preparation for partnerships with key project partners; and (iii) consolidation of the existing partnership with the Bank of Ghana and ARB Apex Bank.

Supervision, midterm review and completion plans
52. Project supervision will be carried out directly by IFAD. A midterm review will be conducted at the end of year 4. A timely project completion review will be based on an independent project completion evaluation.

V. Legal instruments and authority
53. A project financing agreement between the Republic of Ghana and IFAD will constitute the legal instrument for extending the proposed financing to the borrower. A copy of the negotiated financing agreement is attached as appendix I.
54. The Republic of Ghana is empowered under its laws to receive financing from IFAD.
55. I am satisfied that the proposed financing will comply with the Agreement Establishing IFAD and the Policies and Criteria for IFAD Financing.

VI. Recommendation
56. I recommend that the Executive Board approve the proposed financing in terms of the following resolution:

   RESOLVED: that the Fund shall provide a loan on blend terms to the Republic of Ghana in the amount of thirty-five million, one hundred and seventy-five thousand dollars (US$35,175,000) and upon such terms and conditions as shall be substantially in accordance with the terms and conditions presented herein.

   RESOLVED FURTHER: that the Fund shall provide a loan on highly concessional terms to the Republic of Ghana in the amount of seventeen million, three hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars (US$17,325,000) and upon such terms and conditions as shall be substantially in accordance with the terms and conditions presented herein.

   Gilbert F. Houngbo
   President
Negotiated financing agreement

"Promoting Rural Opportunities, Sustainable Profits and Environmental Resilience Project (PROSPER)"

(Negotiations concluded on 10 December 2021)

Loan No: __________________

Project name: Promoting Rural Opportunities, Sustainable Profits and Environmental Resilience Project ("PROSPER") ("the Project")

The Republic of Ghana (the "Borrower"), represented by the Ministry of Finance, and

The International Fund for Agricultural Development (the "Fund" or "IFAD")

(each a "Party" and both of them collectively the "Parties")

WHEREAS the Borrower has requested a loan from the Fund for the purpose of financing PROSPER described in Schedule 1 to this Agreement;

WHEREAS, the Fund has agreed to provide financing for the Project;

WHEREAS for this purpose, the Borrower shall make available counterpart financing for the Project upon terms and conditions set forth in this Financing Agreement.

NOW THEREFORE, the Parties hereby agree as follows:

Section A

1. The following documents collectively form this Agreement: this document, the Project Description and Implementation Arrangements (Schedule 1), the Allocation Table (Schedule 2) and the Special Covenants (Schedule 3).

2. The Fund’s General Conditions for Agricultural Development Financing dated 29 April 2009, amended as of December 2020, and as may be amended hereafter from time to time (the "General Conditions") are annexed to this Agreement, and all provisions thereof shall apply to this Agreement. For the purposes of this Agreement the terms defined in the General Conditions shall have the meanings set forth therein, unless the Parties shall otherwise agree in this Agreement.

3. The Fund shall provide financing (the "Loan"), to the Borrower which shall be constituted of a Loan on blend terms and a Loan on highly concessional terms, which the Borrower shall use to implement the Project in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Agreement.
Section B

1. The amount of the Loan eligible to blend terms is thirty-five million, one hundred and seventy-five thousand dollars (US$35,175,000).

2. The amount of the Loan eligible to highly concessional terms is seventeen million, three hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars (US$17,325,000).

3. The Loan granted on highly concessional terms shall be free of interest but shall bear a fixed service charge as determined by the Fund at the date of approval of the Loan by the Fund’s Executive Board. The Loan is payable semi-annually in the Loan Service Payment Currency. The Loan shall have a maturity period of forty (40) years, including a grace period of ten (10) years starting from the date of approval of the Loan by the Fund’s Executive Board. The principal of the Loan will be repaid at two per cent (2%) of the total principal per annum for years eleven (11) to twenty (20), and four per cent (4%) of the total principal per annum for years twenty-one (21) to forty (40).

4. The Loan granted on blend terms shall be subject to interest on the principal amount outstanding and a service charge as determined by the Fund at the date of approval of the Loan by the Fund’s Executive Board. The interest rate and service charge determined will be fixed for the life cycle of the Loan and payable semi-annually in the Loan Service Payment Currency, and shall have a maturity period of twenty five (25) years, including a grace period of five (5) years starting from the date of approval of the Loan by the Fund’s Executive Board.

5. The Loan Service Payment Currency shall be in the United States dollars (USD).

6. The first day of the applicable Fiscal Year shall be 1st January.

7. Payments of principal and interest shall be payable on each 15 April and 15 October.

8. The IFAD funds will be disbursed into a designated account denominated in United States dollars at the Bank of Ghana, and will be operated by the Project Coordination Unit (PCU) using the national system. An operational bank account in the local currency will also be used. The proceeds from the designated account will be used exclusively to finance eligible Project expenditures, as stipulated in Schedule 2 of this Agreement. Funds will be transferred periodically from the designated to the operational account for expenditures eligible for IFAD financing.

9. The Borrower shall provide counterpart financing for the Project in the amount of US$ 22.5 million in the form of taxes and duties, and in-kind contribution.

Section C

1. The Lead Project Agency shall be the Ministry of Food and Agriculture of the Republic of Ghana.

2. The following are designated as additional Project Parties: Ministry of Trade and Industry (MoTI), Ghana Incentive-Based Risk Sharing Agricultural Lending Limited (GIRSLAL); Ghana Commodity Exchange (GCX), ARB APEX Bank, Ghana Agricultural Insurance Pool (GAIP) and Participating Financial Institutions (PFIs). Additional Project Parties are described in Schedule 1.

3. A Mid-Term Review will be conducted as specified in Section 8.03 (b) and (c) of the General Conditions; however, the Parties may agree on a different date for the Mid-Term Review of the implementation of the Project.
4. The Project Completion Date shall be the eighth (8\textsuperscript{th}) anniversary of the date of entry into force of this Agreement.

5. Procurement of goods, works and services financed by the Financing shall be carried out in accordance with the provisions of the Borrower’s procurement laws, to the extent such are consistent with the IFAD Procurement Guidelines.

Section D

1. The Fund will administer the Loan and supervise the Project.

Section E

1. The following are designated as additional grounds for suspension of this Agreement:

   (a) Key Project staff shall have been transferred, suspended, or terminated without the Fund’s prior no-objection; and

   (b) The Project Implementation Manual (PIM) and/or any provision thereof, has been waived, suspended, terminated, amended or modified without the prior agreement of the Fund and the Fund, after consultation with the Borrower, has determined that it has had, or is likely to have, a material adverse effect on the Project.

2. The following are designated as additional grounds for cancellation of this Agreement:

   (a) The PIM or any provision thereof, has been waived, suspended, terminated, amended or substantially modified without the prior agreement of the Fund and the Fund, after consultation with the Borrower, has determined that such waiver, suspension, termination, amendment or modification has had, or is likely to have, a material adverse effect on the Project, and the Borrower has not taken any measures to remedy the situation.

3. The following are designated as additional general conditions precedent to withdrawal:

   (a) The IFAD no objection to the PIM, including the Financial and Administrative Manual and Procurement Guidelines shall have been obtained;

   (b) IFAD no objection to the appointment of the Project Coordinator and the Finance Manager has been obtained;

   (c) Key Project staff has been appointed as per section 8 Schedule 3 of this Agreement;

   (d) An appropriate and functional accounting software shall have been deployed at the Project Coordinating Unit; and

   (e) The Borrower shall provide counterpart contribution for the first Project year as specified in the first 18 months Annual Work Plan and Budget (AWPB).
4. The following are the designated representatives and addresses to be used for any communication related to this Agreement:

For the Republic of Ghana:

   The Chief Director  
   Ministry of Finance  
   Finance Drive, Accra  
   P.O. Box MB 40  
   Accra, Ghana  

For the Fund:

   President  
   International Fund for Agricultural Development  
   Via Paolo di Dono 44  
   00142 Rome, Italy  

[Copy to:]

This Agreement, [dated _____], has been prepared in the English language in two (2) original copies, one (1) for the Fund and one (1) for the Borrower.

REPUBLIC OF GHANA

"[Authorised Representative Name]"  
"[Authorised Representative title]"

Date: ______________

INTERNATIONAL FUND FOR  
AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT

________________________  
Gilbert F. Houngbo  
President

Date: ______________
Schedule 1

Project Description and Implementation Arrangements

I. Project Description

1. **Target Population.** The Project shall benefit the poor and poorest households, that should be 100,000 beneficiaries, with limited access to assets and economic opportunities due to, marginalization and the negative impacts of climate change. Vulnerable people within the poor rural population include: women (40 per cent); unemployed young women and men between 18 and 35 years (30 per cent); and economically active people with disabilities (5 per cent).

2. **Project area.** The Project will extend to all five regions in the Northern Belt, (Upper West, Upper East, North East, Savannah and Northern), and three neighbouring regions in the Middle Belt (Bono East, Bono and Oti) (the “Project Area”).

3. **Goal.** The goal of the Project is to contribute to reduced poverty, improved natural resources management and healthy, sustainable and climate resilient rural livelihoods.

4. **Objectives.** The objective of the Project is to strengthen the integration, climate resilience and environmental sustainability of smallholders and businesses in priority value chains (VCs) in the Northern and Middle Belts, taking advantage of increased demand generated by government efforts to strengthen agroprocessing.

5. **Phased approach.** The Project will start implementation in three adjacent regions in the Northern Belt to ensure filling of the financing gap and firming up implementation arrangements.

6. **Replication and scalability phase:** The Project will be scaled up to the remaining five target regions by the middle of the third year of implementation, according to four trigger conditions: (i) filling the financing gap (currently estimated at USD 22.7 million); (ii) Borrower meeting its PROSPER cofinancing commitments during the start-up phase; (iii) MoUs with implementing partners established and progressing well; and (iv) satisfactory arrangements in place with regard to the operation of the Matching Grants and Blended Finance Facility.

7. **Components.** The Project shall consist of the following Components:

7.1 Component 1: *Development of rural institutions and socio-economic infrastructure.* The component will be implemented through two sub-components:

7.1.1 Sub-Component 1.1: *Capacity-building of rural institutions, MSMEs and households.* The objective is to comprise a range of institution-building and skill-transfer activities aimed at enabling target groups to: (i) take ownership of and accountability for their own development initiatives; (ii) promote sustainable community-based natural resource management; (iii) strengthen their ability to implement modern, sustainable and climate-resilient farming; and (iv) adopt healthier diets and gender equity.

7.1.2 Sub-Component 1.2: *Development of socio-economic infrastructure.* The objective is to realize some of the investments in public goods chosen by local communities through the cluster planning process. Three complementary types of climate-resilient infrastructure will be eligible: (i) off-farm or watershed works, and hazard mitigation measures; (ii) feeder roads and farm tracks; and (iii) communal social infrastructure.

7.2 Component 2: *Strengthening economic benefits for smallholders and small operators around selected agricultural value chains.* The component will be implemented through two sub-components:

7.2.1 Sub-component 2.1: *Sustainable market linkages and business development,* which comprises three activities:
(i) Value chain analysis will examine each selected national value chain and map the actors close to target communities;

(ii) Promotion of linkages between producers and value chain actors will aim at promoting sustainable and equitable contractual agreements between producers engaged in climate-resilient farming and large-scale agribusinesses. These agreements will focus on rural institutions and MSMEs that demonstrate interest and potential during capacity-building interventions.

(iii) The Project will generate sound, achievable and fully costed business plans suitable to the resources and prospects of each entity, green in design, and climate resilient.

7.2.2 Sub-component 2.2: Access to rural financial services aims to promote a savings culture among beneficiaries, facilitate the implementation of business plans and ensure enduring access to affordable financial resources. Through rural institutions and related MSMEs, farmers will be integrated into expanding value chains and have lasting access to affordable financial services for developing agribusinesses – including green investments – to stimulate rapid sectoral development. Financial services supported by the project will be structured around three instruments tailored to the capacities of beneficiary groups.

(i) The first, savings and loans, will aim to spark greater savings within target communities.

(ii) The second, a matching grants fund, will facilitate emerging rural institutions’ engagement in commercial agriculture and smaller MSMEs’ participation in selected value chains through support to business plans referred to in 7.2.1 (iii), developed by the poorest groups and smaller enterprises. It will also support the promotion of food and nutrition security among the most vulnerable groups.

(iii) The third, a blended finance facility (BFF), will operate to ensure sustainable access to financial services for growing rural institutions and MSMEs while crowding in private capital. The BFF will include inter-alia lines of credit from the GCF/Inclusive Green Finance Initiative (IGREENFIN). The BFF will be established and managed by the ARB-APEX Bank and disbursed through Participating Financial Institutions.

II. Implementation Arrangements

8. Lead Project Agency ("LPA"). The Project Implementation Agency will be the Ministry of Food and Agriculture of the Republic of Ghana ("LPA").

9. Project Steering Committee. The LPA will establish a Project Steering Committee (PSC) to provide overall oversight of project implementation. It will be chaired by the Chief Director of MoFA and include representatives of Ministry of Finance (MoF), Ministry of Trade and Industry (MoTI), Ministry of Local Government, Decentralization and Rural Development (MLGDRD) and all relevant ministries and agencies, Bank of Ghana, ARB Apex Bank and regional coordinating councils from the Project Area (refer to the PIM). Members shall include private sector non-state actors (such as NGOs) and youth and women who operate along the relevant agricultural VCs as practitioners or advocates. The PSC will meet at least twice a year, and as necessary. The main responsibilities of the PSC will include: (i) providing strategic and policy guidance to the Project Coordination Unit (PCU); (ii) ensuring overall conformity with government policies and strategies; (iii) reviewing project progress and performance; (iv) approving the AWPB; (v) resolving implementation problems or conflicts; and (vi) assisting the PCU in obtaining government assistance for the Project when needed.
10. **Project Coordination Unit (PCU).** The Project will be implemented through the Project Coordination Unit, which will be established in an implementation area of PROSPER, envisaged to be located in Tamale. The PCU will be responsible for coordination of project activities and for fiduciary, climate change and environmental and social safeguards, including gender and vulnerability. The PCU will operate under the supervision of the Directorate of Agricultural Extension Services (DAES), which will provide direct oversight. The PCU will be responsible for all financial management of the Project. The financial management system will meet the fiduciary requirements of IFAD, the Borrower and other stakeholders. The PCU will oversee and implement all procurement transactions, including procurement carried out by GCF funding.

11. **ARB-APEX Bank.** The ARB-APEX Bank will establish the BFF, blending resources from different sources including GCF/IGREENFIN and IFAD loan under PROSPER. A standalone MoU will be signed between MoFA/PROSPER and ARB-APEX Bank. A dedicated team (Project Investment Unit - PIU) reporting to the PCU will be anchored within ARB Apex Bank to manage the BFF. The PCU and dedicated team at APEX Bank will be responsible for following up on the compliance with the IGREENFIN Operational Manual regarding investments to be supported by the Matching Grant Fund and the BFF.

12. The PCU staff shall be hired by the Lead Project Agency competitively and in accordance with criteria and procedures to be developed in agreement with the Fund. The appointment of such personnel shall have IFAD’s prior no objection. The Terms of Reference (ToRs) for Project staff shall be included in the PIM. The PCU of this Project will be established on the platform of the PCU of the IFAD - financed Ghana Agricultural Services Investment Project (GASIP).

13. **Implementing partners.** Key implementation partners will include selected MoFA departments, Ministry of Local Government, Decentralisation and Rural Development (MLGDRD), District Departments of Agriculture, Regional Departments of Agriculture, ARB-APEX Bank, Ministry of Trade and Industry (MoTI), Ghana Enterprises Authority (GEA) including Business Resource Centres (BRCs) and Business Advisory Centres (BACs), Ministry of Environment, Science, Technology and Innovation (MESTI), Ghana Commodity Exchange (GCX), Ghana Incentive Based Risk Sharing Agricultural Lending (GIRSAL) and Ghana Agricultural Insurance Pool (GAIP). PROSPER will enter into MoUs with the above entities that will outline implementation modalities and the role of each of these partners. From a safeguards and climate change stand point, the PCU will be working closely with Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Environment and Climate Change Unit of MoFA at District, Regional and National levels to ensure consistency and compliance of project implementation with national regulations and the SECAP guidelines.

14. **Monitoring and Evaluation.** The PCU will be responsible for preparing the annual workplan and budget (AWP/B) and procurement plan for the Project, and for securing approval from its management and IFAD. The plan will include an activities plan along with targets and an expenditure plan and should reflect overall project targets and activities.

15. **Knowledge Management.** A comprehensive knowledge management action plan will be developed in the early stages of implementation. Output, outcome and impact data generated by the M&E system will inform case studies, briefs and reports. These will be used for policy dialogue, peer learning and potential scaling up. Knowledge management products will be communicated through multiple media, including blogs, written publications, video and social media. PROSPER will participate in, contribute to and benefit from the South-South-Triangular-Cooperation activities under IGREENFIN regional activities.

16. **Project Implementation Manual.** The PCU shall be responsible for the finalisation of a Project Implementation Manual (PIM) that shall describe: (i) implementation of project activities; (ii) the administration of Loan proceeds and Project Parties’ responsibilities; (iii) financial management and procurement procedures; and (iv) monitoring and evaluation of Project progress and results. The PIM shall be approved by the Project
Steering Committee, before IFAD’s non objection is obtained. To ensure that the Blended Finance Facility will provide Green financing, the eligibility criteria in the IGREENFIN operational manual for green investments will be applied to all Blended Finance Facility financing. The Operational Manual of IGREENFIN will be considered part of PROSPER PIM and its provisions will apply to GCF and IFAD investments. The dedicate team (PIU) in APEX Bank and PROSPER PCU, which include climate and safeguards specialists along with PROSPER M&E team will verify adherence to the provisions of the PIM and the Operational Manual of the IGREENFIN.
## Schedule 2

### Allocation Table

1. **Allocation of Loan Proceeds.** (a) The Table below sets forth the Categories of Eligible Expenditures to be financed by the Loan and the allocation of the amounts to each category of the Financing and the percentages of expenditures for items to be financed in each Category:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Loan Amount Allocated (expressed in USD)</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Civil work</td>
<td>15 200 000</td>
<td>100 % net of: Borrower and beneficiary contribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Equipment and materials</td>
<td>910 000</td>
<td>100 % net of: Borrower and beneficiary contribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Consultancies and training</td>
<td>7 860 000</td>
<td>100 % net of: Borrower and beneficiary contribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Grants and subsidies</td>
<td>10 420 000</td>
<td>100 % net of: Borrower and beneficiary contribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Credit, guarantee funds</td>
<td>11 020 000</td>
<td>100 % net of: Borrower and beneficiary contribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Operating costs</td>
<td>1 840 000</td>
<td>100 % net of: Borrower and beneficiary contribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unallocated</td>
<td>5 250 000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>52 500 000</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) The terms used in the Table above are defined as follows:

(i) Category 1: “Civil works” includes construction, renovation and rehabilitation

(ii) Category 3: “Consultancies and training” includes workshop

(iii) Category 4: “Grants and Subsidies” includes the matching grant fund.

(iv) Category 5: “Credit, guarantee funds” includes blended loans under the Blended Finance Facility.

(v) Category 6: “Operating costs” includes salaries, allowances and operating expenses

2. **Disbursement arrangements**

(a) **Start-up Costs.** To facilitate a prompt start up, withdrawals in respect of expenditures for start-up costs in the services and recurrent costs categories incurred before the satisfaction of the general conditions precedent to withdrawal shall not exceed an aggregate amount of USD 500,000. Activities to be financed by Start-up Costs will require the no objection from IFAD to be considered eligible. The start-up funds will include inter alia: (i) establishment of the PCU and salaries of key staff; (ii) formulation of the Project Implementation Manuals including the financial procedures, accounting and procurement manual; (iii) preparation of the first annual work plan and budget (AWPB) and procurement plan; (iv) organization of a start-up workshop; (v) deployment of a suitable accounting software; (vi) finalization of the
scope of work and the terms of reference (ToRs) of the internal auditor as well as report format; and (vii) finalization of the agreement/MoU templates to be used with the implementing partners.

(b) Co-finance. The financiers of PROSPER are Government, IFAD, Green Climate Fund (GCF) (Grant, Adaptation and Mitigation), participating financial institutions (PFIs), private sector and beneficiaries (RIs, MSMEs and local communities). The contribution of GCF is as follows: GCF adaptation is USD 13.8 million; GCF mitigation is USD 6.9 million; and GCF grant is USD 4.7 million. Government of Ghana’s contribution is estimated at USD 22.5 million mainly in the form of tax exemptions and GoG staff costs. Beneficiaries’ in-kind and cash contribution is estimated at USD 19.1 million, mainly through Business Plans. PFIs and the private sector represent USD 4.9 million.
Schedule 3

Special Covenants

I. General Provisions

In accordance with Section 12.01(a)(xxiii) of the General Conditions, the Fund may suspend, in whole or in part, the right of the Borrower to request withdrawals from the Loan Account if the Borrower has defaulted in the performance of any covenant set forth below, and the Fund has determined that such default has had, or is likely to have, a material adverse effect on the Project:

1. Within six (6) months of entry into force of the Financing Agreement, the Project will procure and install a customize accounting software as it is the practice in IFAD on-going supported projects, to satisfy International Accounting Standards and IFAD's requirements.

2. Within six (6) months of entry into force of the Financing Agreement, the Project will enter into Memorandum of Understandings (MoU) with implementing partners that will structure the collaboration, define roles, responsibilities and duties with regards to implementation, financial management, accounting and reporting.

3. Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation. The Borrower shall ensure that a Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation (PM&E) system shall be established within twelve (12) months from the date of entry into force of this Agreement.

4. Gender. The Borrower shall ensure gender equality. Due to limited employment-opportunity-induced migration of men from the rural areas, women continue to shoulder the responsibility of the family and its livelihood activities. The income from these activities for majority of the rural households is inadequate to act as an incentive for reverse migration.

5. Land tenure security. The Borrower shall ensure that the land acquisition process, if any, will be completed and that compensation processes will be consistent with applicable laws.

6. Audit. The Borrower shall ensure that PROSPER shall appoint, based on terms of reference approved by the Fund, the Audit Service of Ghana (GAS), to audit the financial statements of the entire Programme on an annual basis, in accordance with international auditing standards and IFAD guidelines on Programme Audits. An audited annual financial statement for the entire Programme, together with a management letter on audit observations on internal controls, shall be submitted to the Fund within six (6) months of the fiscal year end.


8. Sexual Harassment, Sexual Exploitation and Abuse. The Borrower and the Project Parties shall ensure that the Project is carried out in accordance with the provisions of the IFAD Policy on Preventing and Responding to Sexual Harassment, Sexual Exploitation and Abuse, as may be amended from time to time.

9. Ifad Client Portal (ICP) Contract Monitoring Tool. The Borrower shall ensure that a request is sent to IFAD to access the project procurement Contract Monitoring Tool in the IFAD Client Portal (ICP). The Borrower shall ensure that all contracts, memoranda of understanding, purchase orders and related payments are registered in the Project Procurement Contract Monitoring Tool in the IFAD Client Portal (ICP) in relation to the
procurement of goods, works, services, consultancy, non-consulting services, community contracts, grants and financing contracts. The Borrower shall ensure that the contract data is updated on a quarterly basis during the implementation of the Project.

10. **The Key Project Personnel are**: National Project Coordinator, Financial Manager, two accountants, Senior Policy, Monitoring and Evaluation and Knowledge Management officer and assistant, Procurement and Contract Officer, Senior Value Chains / Agribusiness Expert and two junior officers; Infrastructure Expert, Rural Financial Services Expert, a geographic Information System Specialist. From a safeguards and climate change standpoint, the PCU will embed a Safeguards and Climate Specialist and Gender, Social Inclusion and Vulnerability Specialist to work with EPA and Environment and climate Change Unit of MOFA to ensure consistency and compliance of project implementation with national regulations and the SECAP guidelines. The PIU in the ARB-APEX Bank will comprise a BFF Coordinator and two field officers supported by a dedicated Gender, Social Inclusion and Vulnerability Officer and Safeguards and Climate Officer. In order to assist in the implementation of the Project, the PCU, unless otherwise agreed with IFAD, shall employ or cause to be employed, as required, key staff whose qualifications, experience and terms of reference are satisfactory to IFAD. Key Project Personnel shall be recruited under a consulting contract following the individual consultant selection method in the IFAD Procurement Handbook, or any equivalent selection method in the national procurement system that is acceptable to IFAD. The recruitment of Key Project Personnel is subject to IFAD’s prior review as is the dismissal of Key Project Personnel. Key Project Personnel are subject to annual evaluation and the continuation of their contract is subject to satisfactory performance. Any contract signed for Key Project Personnel shall be compliant with the national labour laws and the ILO International Labour Standards in order to satisfy the conditions of IFAD’s updated SECAP. Repeated short-term contracts must be avoided, unless appropriately justified under the project’s circumstances.

II. **SECAP Provisions**

1. The Borrower shall carry out the preparation, design, construction, implementation, and operation of the Project in accordance with the nine standards and other measures and requirements set forth in the Updated Social, Environmental Climate Assessment Procedures of IFAD (“SECAP 2021 Edition”), as well as with all applicable laws of the Borrower and/or the sub-national entities relating to social, environmental and climate change issues in a manner and substance satisfactory to IFAD. The Borrower shall not amend, vary or waive any provision of the SECAP 2021 Edition, unless agreed in writing by the Fund in the Financing Agreement and/or in the Management Plan(s), if any.

2. For sub-projects presenting high or substantial social, environmental and climate risks, the Borrower shall carry out the implementation of the sub-project in accordance with the measures and requirements set forth in the Environmental and Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs)/Environmental, Social and Climate Management Frameworks (ESCMFs); the Project social and environment categorization B and climate categorization (high) and/or Resettlement Action Plans/Frameworks (RAPs/Fs) and Environmental, Social and Climate Management Plans (ESCMPs) for high risk projects; and Abbreviated ESIAs and/or Abbreviated RAP/F and ESCMPs for substantial risk projects and Free, Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC) Plans, FPIC Implementation Plans, Pesticide Management Plans, Cultural Resources Management Plans and Chance Finds Plans (the “Management Plan(s)”), as applicable, taken in accordance with SECAP requirements and updated from time to time by the Fund.

The Borrower shall not amend, vary or waive any provision of the ESCMPs and Management Plan(s), unless agreed in writing by the Fund and if the Borrower has complied with the same requirements as applicable to the original adoption of the ESCMPs and Management Plan(s).
3. The Borrower shall not, and shall cause the LPA, all its contractors, its sub-contractors and suppliers not to commence implementation of any works, unless all Project affected persons have been compensated and/or resettled in accordance with the specific RAP/Abbreviated RAP, FPIC and/or the agreed works and compensation schedule.

4. The Borrower shall cause the LPA to comply at all times while carrying out the Project with the standards, measures and requirements set forth in the SECAP 2021 Edition and the Management Plan(s), if any.

5. The Borrower shall disclose the draft and final ESIA reports and all other relevant Management Plan(s) with Project stakeholders and interested parties in an accessible place in the Project-affected area, in a form and language understandable to Project-affected persons and other stakeholders. The disclosure will take into account any specific information needs of the community (e.g. culture, disability, literacy, mobility or gender).

6. The Borrower shall ensure or cause the ELPA to ensure that all bidding documents and contracts for goods, works and services contain provisions that require contractors, sub-contractors and suppliers to comply at all times in carrying out the Project with the standards, measures and requirements set forth in the SECAP 2021 Edition, ESCMPs and the Management Plan(s), if any.

7. The Borrower will ensure that a Project-level grievance mechanism is established that is easily accessible, culturally appropriate, available in local languages, and scaled to the nature of the Project’s activity and its potential impacts to promptly receive and resolve concerns and complaints (e.g. compensation, relocation or livelihood restoration) related to the environmental and social performance of the Project for people who may be unduly and adversely affected or potentially harmed if the Project fails to meet the SECAP standards and related policies. The Project-level grievance mechanism needs to take into account indigenous peoples, customary laws and dispute resolution processes. Traditional or informal dispute mechanisms of affected indigenous peoples should be used to the greatest extent possible.

8. Any event which occurs in relation to serious environmental, social, health & safety (ESHS) incidents (as this term is defined below); labor issues or to adjacent populations during project implementation that, with respect to the relevant IFAD Project:

   (i) has direct or potential material adverse effect;
   (ii) has substantially attracted material adverse attention of outside parties or create material adverse national press/media reports; or
   (iii) gives rise to material potential liabilities.

The Borrower shall ensure or cause the LPA, contractors, sub-contractors and suppliers to ensure that the relevant processes set out in the SECAP 2021 Edition as well as in the ESCMPs and Management Plan(s) (if any) are respected. In the occurrence of such event, the Borrower shall:

- Notify IFAD promptly;
- Provide information on such risks, impacts and accidents;
- Consult with Project affected parties on how to mitigate the risks and impacts;
- Carry out, as appropriate, additional assessments and stakeholders’ engagements in accordance with the SECAP requirements; and
- Adjust, as appropriate, the Project-level grievance mechanism according to the SECAP requirements;
• Propose changes, including corrective measures to the Management Plan(s) (if any), in accordance with the findings of such assessment and consultations, for approval by IFAD.

**Serious ESHS incident** means serious incident, accident, complaint with respect to environmental, social (including labor and community), health and safety (ESHS) issues that occur within the Project or Borrower’s activities within the ESMF. Serious ESHS incidents can comprise incidents of (i) environmental; (ii) occupational; or (iii) public health and safety; or (iv) social nature as well as material complaints and grievances addressed to the Borrower (e.g. any explosion, spill or workplace accident which results in death, serious or multiple injuries or material environmental contamination, accidents of members of the public/local communities, resulting in death or serious or multiple injuries, sexual harassment and -violence involving Project workforce or in relation to severe threats to public health and safety, inadequate resettlement compensation, disturbances of natural ecosystems, discriminatory practices in stakeholder consultation and engagement (including the right of indigenous peoples to free, prior and informed consent), any allegation that require intervention by the police/other law enforcement authorities, such as loss of life, sexual violence or child abuse, which (i) have, or are likely to have a material adverse effect; or (ii) have attracted or are likely to arouse substantial adverse attention of outside parties or (iii) to create substantial adverse media/press reports; or (iv) give, or are likely to give rise to material potential liabilities).

9. Without limitation on its other reporting obligations under this Agreement, the Borrower shall provide the Fund with:

• Reports on the status of compliance with the standards, measures and requirements set forth in the SECAP 2021 Edition, ESCMPs and the management plan (if any) on a semiannual basis - or such other frequency as may be agreed with the Fund;

• Reports of any social, environmental, health and safety incidents and/accidents occurring during the design stage, the implementation of the Project and propose remedial measures. The Borrower will disclose relevant information from such reports to affected persons promptly upon submission of the said reports; and

• Reports of any breach of compliance with the standards, measures and requirements set forth in the SECAP 2021 Edition and the Management Plan(s) (if any) promptly after becoming aware of such a breach.

10. The Borrower shall fully cooperate with the Fund concerning supervision missions, midterm reviews, field visits, audits and follow-up visits to be undertaken in accordance with the requirements of SECAP 2021 Edition and the Management Plan(s) (if any) as the Fund considers appropriate depending on the scale, nature and risks of the Project.

11. In the event of a contradiction/conflict between the Management Plan(s), if any and the Financing Agreement, the Financing Agreement shall prevail.
## Logical framework

### Results Hierarchy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Means of Verification</th>
<th>Assumptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outreach</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of persons receiving services promoted or supported by PROSPER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1 Persons receiving services promoted or supported by the project</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males - Males</td>
<td>Project reports</td>
<td>Semi-annual PROSPER PCU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females - Females</td>
<td>Project reports</td>
<td>Semi-annual PROSPER PCU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young - Young people</td>
<td>Project reports</td>
<td>Semi-annual PROSPER PCU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of persons receiving services - Number of people</td>
<td>Project reports</td>
<td>Semi-annual PROSPER PCU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PWD receiving services promoted or supported by the project</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females - Number</td>
<td>Ghana Statistical</td>
<td>Mid-term Review and completion PROSPER PCU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males - Number</td>
<td>Services/PROSPER surveys</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young - Number</td>
<td>Ghana Statistical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of PwD receiving services - Number of people</td>
<td>Services/PROSPER surveys</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HH living below the poverty line in the districts targeted</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households - Percentage (%)</td>
<td>Ghana Statistical</td>
<td>Baseline, Mid-term Review and completion survey using COI methodology PROSPER PCU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households reporting reduction in production losses due to adverse climate events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households - Percentage (%)</td>
<td>Ghana Statistical</td>
<td>Baseline, Mid-term Review and completion survey using COI methodology PROSPER PCU</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Project Goal

Contribute to reduced poverty, improved natural resources management and healthy, sustainable and climate resilient rural livelihoods.

- Political stability. Macroeconomic conditions remain stable or improve.
- No major political shocks in the region.
### Results Hierarchy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Development Objective</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Means of Verification</th>
<th>Assumptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strengthen the integration, climate resilience and environmental sustainability of smallholders and businesses in priority VCs in the Northern and Middle Ecological Belts taking advantage of the increased demand created by the national agro-processing strategy.</td>
<td><strong>Outcome 1. Financially and environmentally sustainable</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of target households in the project supported communities reporting an increase in income contributed to by the services provided by the Project</td>
<td><strong>Baseline</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targeted households - Percentage (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Baseline survey, Project reports</td>
<td>Baseline, Mid-term Review and completion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2.2.1 Persons with new jobs/employment opportunities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males - Males</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3338</td>
<td>Baseline survey, Project reports</td>
<td>Baseline, Mid-term Review and completion survey using COI methodology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females - Females</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2225</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mid-term Review and completion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young - Young people</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>Baseline survey, Project reports</td>
<td>Baseline, Mid-term Review and completion survey using COI methodology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of persons with new jobs/employment opportunities - Number of people</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5563</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mid-term Review and completion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SF.2.2 Households reporting they can influence decision-making of local authorities and project-supported service providers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household members - Number of people</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>315000</td>
<td>Baseline survey, Project reports</td>
<td>Baseline, Mid-term Review and completion survey using COI methodology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households (%) - Percentage (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mid-term Review and completion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households (number) - Households</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>52500</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mid-term Review and completion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SF.2.1 Households satisfied with project-supported services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household members - Number of people</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>315000</td>
<td>Baseline survey, Project reports</td>
<td>Baseline, Mid-term Review and completion survey using COI methodology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households (%) - Percentage (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mid-term Review and completion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households (number) - Households</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>52500</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mid-term Review and completion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rural Institutions with improved capacity</strong></td>
<td>Baseline survey using COI</td>
<td>Baseline, Mid-term Review and completion survey</td>
<td>PROSPER PCU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results Hierarchy</td>
<td>Indicators</td>
<td>Means of Verification</td>
<td>Assumptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Name</strong></td>
<td><strong>Source</strong></td>
<td><strong>Frequency</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Baseline</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mid-Term</strong></td>
<td><strong>End Target</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livelihoods developed and nutrition security enhanced in project areas</td>
<td></td>
<td>methodology, Project reports</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline survey using COI methodology, Project reports</td>
<td>Baseline, Mid-term Review and completion survey using COI methodology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Institutions - Number</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1687</td>
<td>3375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.2 Households reporting adoption of environmentally sustainable and climate-resilient technologies and practices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of household members - Number of people</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>252000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households - Percentage (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households - Number</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21000</td>
<td>42000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.1 Number of tons of greenhouse gas emissions (CO2) avoided and/or sequestered</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hectares of land - Area (ha)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39 217</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tCO2e/20 years - Number</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1 945 462</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tCO2e/ha - Number</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-49.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tCO2e/ha/year - Number</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2.9 Households with improved nutrition Knowledge Attitudes and Practices (KAP)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women-headed households - Households</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>672</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results Hierarchy</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Means of Verification</th>
<th>Assumptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Mid-Term</td>
<td>End Target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Households (number) - Households</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Households (%) - Percentage (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Household members - Number of people</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Persons in rural areas accessing public socio-economic infrastructures to build environmental resilience</td>
<td>Baseline survey using COI methodology, Project reports</td>
<td>Baseline, Mid-term Review and completion survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Persons - Percentage (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Households reporting improved physical access to markets - Percentage (%)</td>
<td>Baseline survey using COI methodology, Project reports</td>
<td>Baseline, Mid-term Review and completion survey using COI methodology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rural Institutions and MSMEs trained</td>
<td>Rural Institutions - Number</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSMEs - Number</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Climate smart demonstrations installed</td>
<td>Demonstrations - Number</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.1.1 Groups supported to sustainably manage natural resources and climate-related risks</td>
<td>Total size of groups - Number of people</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Groups supported - Groups</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Males - Males</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Females - Females</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results Hierarchy</td>
<td>Indicators</td>
<td>Means of Verification</td>
<td>Assumptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Mid-Term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 1.3 Promotion of nutrition-sensitive farming and healthy-diets</td>
<td>Young - Young people</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 1.3 Promotion of nutrition-sensitive farming and healthy-diets</td>
<td>3.1.2 Persons provided with climate information services</td>
<td>Males - Males</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 1.3 Promotion of nutrition-sensitive farming and healthy-diets</td>
<td>Females - Females</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 1.3 Promotion of nutrition-sensitive farming and healthy-diets</td>
<td>Young - Young people</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 1.3 Promotion of nutrition-sensitive farming and healthy-diets</td>
<td>Persons provided with climate information services - Number of people</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 1.4 Development of socioeconomic infrastructures</td>
<td>1.1.8 Households provided with targeted support to improve their nutrition</td>
<td>Total persons participating - Number of people</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 1.4 Development of socioeconomic infrastructures</td>
<td>Males - Males</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 1.4 Development of socioeconomic infrastructures</td>
<td>Females - Females</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 1.4 Development of socioeconomic infrastructures</td>
<td>Households - Households</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 1.4 Development of socioeconomic infrastructures</td>
<td>Young - Young people</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 1.4 Development of socioeconomic infrastructures</td>
<td>1.1.7 Persons in rural areas trained in financial literacy and/or use of financial products and services</td>
<td>Males - Males</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 1.4 Development of socioeconomic infrastructures</td>
<td>Females - Females</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 1.4 Development of socioeconomic infrastructures</td>
<td>Young - Young people</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 1.4 Development of socioeconomic infrastructures</td>
<td>Persons in rural areas trained in FL and/or use of FP and Services (total) - Number of people</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 1.4 Development of socioeconomic infrastructures</td>
<td>Clusters benefitting from infrastructures for enhancing environmental management and climate resilience (off-farm/watershed and communal social infrastructures)</td>
<td>M&amp;E Data/ Component reports</td>
<td>Annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 1.4 Development of socioeconomic infrastructures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results Hierarchy</td>
<td>Indicators</td>
<td>Means of Verification</td>
<td>Assumptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Mid-Term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clusters - Number</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Length of roads - Km</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of POs - Organizations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total number of household members - Number of people</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>175000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Households - Percentage (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Households - Households</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total number of household members - Number of people</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>175000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Households - Percentage (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Households - Households</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total size of POs - Organizations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>45000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rural POs supported - Organizations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Males - Males</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Outcome
Outcome 2. Smallholders and small businesses are empowered to take advantage of the increasing market demand for agricultural produce

2.1.3 Rural producers' organizations supported

2.2.3 Rural producers' organizations engaged in formal partnerships/agreements or contracts with public or private entities

2.2.5 Households reporting using rural financial services

2.1.4 Households reporting an increase in production

2.1.5 Roads constructed, rehabilitated or upgraded

Output
Output 2.1 Creation of sustainable marketing linkages and business development
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Mid-Term</th>
<th>End Target</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females - Females</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18000</td>
<td>36000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young - Young people</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13500</td>
<td>27000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Results Hierarchy**

**Indicators**

**Means of Verification**

**Assumptions**

### Output

**Output 2.2 Access to rural financial services**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Mid-Term</th>
<th>End Target</th>
<th>Means of Verification</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Women in rural areas accessing financial services - savings - Females</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12000</td>
<td>12000</td>
<td>M&amp;E Data/ Component reports</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>PROSPER PCU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young people in rural areas accessing financial services - savings - Young people</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9000</td>
<td>9000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men in rural areas accessing financial services - savings - Males</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18000</td>
<td>18000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men in rural areas accessing financial services - credit - Males</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7200</td>
<td>14400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women in rural areas accessing financial services - credit - Females</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4800</td>
<td>9600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young people in rural areas accessing financial services - credit - Young people</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>7200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total persons accessing financial services - savings - Number of people</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30000</td>
<td>30000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Assumptions**

- Political stability. Macroeconomic conditions remain stable or improve. No major political shocks in the region.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results Hierarchy</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Means of Verification</th>
<th>Assumptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Mid-Term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total persons accessing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>financial services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- credit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Number of people</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td>Policy 3 Number of existing/new laws, regulations, policies or strategies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>proposed to policy makers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>for approval, ratification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>or amendment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output</td>
<td>Policy 1 Number of policy-relevant knowledge products completed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Knowledge Products</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Number</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Integrated Project Risk Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country Context</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Substantial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Political Commitment</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Substantial</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Risk:**

A change of Government and Ministers in the relevant Ministries during the project cycle may result in implementation delays, lack of interest from a new elected Government, or even civil unrest. While the overall system is stable and in the past democratic elections have seen peaceful transitions, the change in Government or priorities could lead to issues. This is magnified in the significant risks related to counterpart funding, corruption perception index and quality of public administration.

**Mitigations:**

PROSPER will maintain a regular engagement with relevant Government officials to address issues on project management (communication, information sharing, reporting) throughout the entire project cycle. Political environment will be continuously monitored, and appropriate measures will be developed in case the need to deal with political changes arises, including realignment of project with Government agenda.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Governance</th>
<th>Substantial</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Risk:**

The risk that the country exhibits governance failures that may undermine project implementation and achievement of project development objectives. Another risk is project level governance failure. Despite the fact that Ghana has a well-established democracy and institutions and Ghana Audit Services is a capable institution and annual budgets are discussed by the Parliament, these risks are judged to be substantial. For example risks related to corruption perception index and quality of public administration are substantial.

**Mitigations:**

Supervisions missions and other implementation support / follow up measures will be conducted minimum twice a year. Missions and follow up efforts will include FM and procurement specialists. The ICO will ensure that audits are conducted on time. External and internal audit findings will be assessed and followed up proactively with the project, implementing ministry and borrower representative.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Macroeconomic</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Substantial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk:</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Substantial</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Macroeconomic and global difficulties such as inflation, fuel and energy challenges, local and foreign market failures, fiscal and monetary policies affecting access to finance, government inability to provide counterpart funding, inadequate imports of hybrid seeds and fertilizers can represent a risk to PROSPER implementation. Major currency fluctuations would affect prices, demand and availability imported inputs and export markets.

**Mitigations:**

Following actions are expected to lead to addressing the above risks:

(i) Strengthening community institutions and developing their internal capital and physical assets to develop resilience to external shocks.
(ii) Obtaining high level government support from the early stages of project design; thus increasing potential of GoG support in case of adverse macroeconomic conditions and reducing probability of constraining inputs or any other necessary elements on PROSPER’s beneficiaries.
(iii) PROSPER will develop market linkages and strengthen RIs access to financial services to facilitate their access credit from financial institutions. This will contribute to building the beneficiaries resilience to shocks.
(iv) Government contribution will be mostly in the form of in-kind contribution; thus reducing any potential impact of non-ability of the Government to provide cash contributions.
(v) IFAD operational policy regarding re-structuring will be applied in case of evolving macro-economic situation that would require revisiting costs.

**Fragility and Security**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substantial</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Risk:**

The ongoing COVID-19 pandemic might result in mid-to-longer term economic difficulties or even civil unrest. Some of the response measures adopted by governments in the peak of each wave to prevent transmission of the disease, such as movement and gathering restrictions, may impact employment, rural-urban movements and have some economic impact. The duration and strength of COVID in Ghana is still uncertain. Development of vaccines and new medical protocols are contributing to reducing these risks. Despite the conflict and socio-political turmoil in a handful of neighbouring countries, (i.e. Burkina Faso, Niger, Nigeria), Ghana’s global environment remains relatively calm. Nevertheless, the risk of welcoming influxes of unexpected climate and conflict related internally displaced people should not be discarded.
**Mitigations:**

IFAD is actively participating in COVID-19 response dialogues, both at the UN Country Team level and in implementing its emergency operation (ESRF) with the government, to prevent and support mitigating negative impacts of the outbreak on the population (cash transfer, support Government programs). ESRF is working closely with other DPs and the Government to support measures that would help cope with post COVID realities, such as e-platforms for extension and marketing.

PROSPER will continue working with the GoG and monitor these growing threats at the outskirts of its frontiers, throughout implementation. Systematic reporting on the handling of security and conflict issues will enable IFAD and PROSPER to anticipate accordingly. During project implementation, special attention will be given to such issues so the project can adequately monitor this potential exogenous risk that could hinder IFAD and PROSPER efforts, should it occur.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector Strategies and Policies</th>
<th>Substantial</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Policy alignment</td>
<td>Substantial</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Risk:**

While national policies and strategies are generally in line with IFAD policies, some weakness in sector strategies and policies still exist, especially on subsidies and short-term focused political programmes over long-term agriculture development. This is namely related to blanket subsidies or unfavourable exports/imports policies, especially on agro-inputs. This could increase difficulty to deliver project expected outcomes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy Development and Implementation</th>
<th>Substantial</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Mitigations:**

These risks are managed through a continuous policy dialogue engagement and development partners coordination during the entire project cycle.

**Risk:**

The development and implementation of agricultural sector policies could provide a challenging environment in some of PROSPER’s critical areas e.g. matching grants, access by PROSPER’s target group to inclusive finance, enterprise development and climate finance.

**Mitigations:**

From the onset, promoting inclusive policy dialogue, integrating perspectives of different stakeholders since design, proper
coordination between different stakeholders, and building institutional capacities, are elements that will contribute to the desired support for the sector, leading to PROSPER’s success. From the second year of implementation, PROSPER will support the preparation of policy-relevant knowledge products based on project evidence.

PROSPER’s policy dialogue sub-component will address key policy areas that are relevant to the Project’s objectives and implementation such as green agriculture, climate change, inclusive finance, etc. Ghana ICO will continue to participate actively in the ASWG and the private sector platform, contribute to advocate for supportive policy formulation, and will liaise with Development Partners to develop position papers aiming for increasingly effective policies in the agriculture sector during the entire project cycle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environment and Climate Context</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project vulnerability to environmental conditions</td>
<td>Substantial</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Risk:**

Possible environmental and climate shocks or extreme events (e.g. earthquakes, land erosion, siltation) would have significant adverse risks and/or impacts on food and nutrition security, agricultural productivity, access to markets, value-chains and infrastructure and local development perspectives. While the above mentioned natural environmental risks are not posing major threats (with the exception of occasional earthquakes), illegal mining is leading to noticeable water pollution and environmental degradation. Deforestation is another environmental risk. Climate related risks such as floods, droughts, unusual climate conditions are more probable. The potential incidence of pests and diseases would result in increased vulnerability or deterioration of the target populations’ livelihoods and ecosystems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project vulnerability to climate change impacts</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Mitigations:**

Where these exist, special measures will be taken to adequately protect project’s interventions throughout the project cycle. The project has developed an ESMF / ESMP which will contribute to address any unintended project impact, as well as externalities. PROSPER’s aims at improving NR management and addressing climate risks. This will help address some of the above mentioned potential risks. With regard to climate related risks, the project will promote climate insurance. Project interventions will not lead to any tree clearing but on the contrary it will promote afforestation and forests preservation through promotion of alternative livelihoods.
**Risk:**
Unreliable and erratic rainfall, floods, long dry spells and destruction of infrastructure and productive assets due to extreme weather conditions could delay/ affect project implementation. Climate change could impact production negatively, thus affecting PROSPER’s beneficiaries and reducing the potential of achieving PROSPER’s objectives. Project's environmental and social category is B and the climate risk assessment is moderate to high.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Mitigations:**
PROSPER’s design is focused on improving NRM and introducing intensive climate adaptation and mitigation measures to support PROSPER’s beneficiaries. This will be achieved through a range of Project’s interventions such as capacity building on climate resilient agricultural practices (details and expected timeframe are referenced in the PDR); promotion of technically feasible and financially affordable soil and water management practices with farmers; facilitation of provision of weather information for decision making to farmers; provision of crop insurance to farmers. Lastly, the MG scheme and the BFF loan facility will support a wide spectrum of adaptation and mitigation measures.

Additionally, foreseen socioeconomic infrastructures and other agriculture activities financed under PROSPER (small sections of feeder roads 7-9.5 km long) linking two villages, and/or agricultural land, which are mostly community owned, are expected to be small, low risks, mostly site specific and easily manageable.

Consistent with IFAD Safeguards standards/policies the initial project environmental, social and climate screening, and PROSPER being a category B operation, an environment and social management framework (ESMF) has been prepared to help mitigate the Project induced impacts and external shocks in an adequate manner. The preparation was done adopting a consultative and inclusive approach. Moreover, a Gender, Social Inclusion and Vulnerability Specialist as well as Safeguards and Climate Specialist will be part of the PCU to ensure timely project compliance on safeguards, social inclusion and climate change. The GCF unit in the ARB-APEX bank will include safeguards, climate, Social Inclusion, and Vulnerability Specialists as well.

A crucial part of PROSPER’s intervention aims at helping beneficiaries in targeted areas to cope with climate change impacts to achieve improved and more sustainable livelihoods while participating in selected VCs schemes.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Scope</th>
<th>Substantial</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Relevance</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Risk:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROSPER becomes less relevant if it is not aligned with IFAD and government priorities.</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mitigations:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The objectives and interventions of the project are fully aligned with national development policies and IFAD priorities and are deemed responsive to the needs and challenges of the target groups.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project relevance and the needs of the target group will continue to be confirmed further during project start-up, baseline surveys, and supervision and follow up missions. Corrective measures/adjustments such as restructuring will be taken if appropriate (even during early stages of implementation), to ensure project relevance is maintained. The M&amp;E system will play an important role for continuous flow of information within the project and with key stakeholders, for early detection of potential difficulties.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Soundness</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Risk:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complexities; such as - time consuming VC surveys and situation assessments at the beginning of the project may delay project implementation - MoUs for implementation are not signed on time or project implementation does not progress according to plan - cofinancing from the Government does not materialize - FIs remains risk averse and delay implementation of the BFF - limited agribusiness expertise in the implementing agency results in priority to production (supply) aspects and supply driven implementation - the lack of participation of agribusinesses, particularly of those which are new to the concept of partnering with community institutions, might cause delay in market linkage development; and - capacity of the extension services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mitigations:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROSPER will mitigate these risks by building on prior IFAD projects experiences from the onset and through actions like: i) building on the experiences of successful VC and microenterprise development projects such as a GASIP and REP, as well as coordination with AAFORD, especially on financial linkages to formal financial institutions and micro-insurance services; ii</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
prioritizing the presence of agribusiness and commercial agriculture expertise in the team from the start (REP and GASIP, which are about to be completed, have experienced staff in the areas of commercial VC and microenterprise development); iii) drawing upon agribusinesses which already proven to partner successfully with other projects such as GASIP, other non-IFAD projects and scale up their outreach to the PROSPER target group, as well as by developing marketing linkages through government supported initiatives such as 1D1F and PERD; (iv) capacity building of AEAs and provision of TA to backstop BRCs during implementation; (v) phasing approach is developed to allow addressing any potential issues with implementation arrangements; (vi) Government funding is mostly in form of in-kind contribution; (vii) Key project staff ToRs include follow up on meeting the triggers and follow up / timely recording of Government in-kind contribution; (viii) Institutional support will be provided to Government services including AEAs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institutional Capacity for Implementation and Sustainability</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Implementation Arrangements</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Risk:**
- Management unit located far away from project communities
- Overburdened project management unit due to limited staff
- Delays in replacement/recruitment of key staff and limited field presence might result in management constraints
- Complex implementation arrangements that have several parties
- Presence of several partners and service providers might result in complex implementation arrangements
- MoUs delayed with Project Partners; such as APEX-Bank, BRCs, GIRSAL, etc

**Mitigations:**
(i) The PCU will be located centrally in the Project areas, close to the beneficiaries
(ii) Implementation will be phased thus allowing the Project team to build their capacity and experience
(iii) Phased implementation will allow taking corrective measures at an early stage through restructuring and / or any other corrective measures
(iv) Introducing clarity on the roles of different parties of the implementation structure as well as roles of different partners, thus reducing complexity
(v) Ensuring strong support by the implementing ministry through project implementation to allow filling any gaps and addressing any staffing constraints
(vi) Once implementation commences, PROSPER will recruit TA to support implementation.

**Risk:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Substantial</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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Non-effective Market Linkages for Project Beneficiaries:

Risk:
- The risk that PROSPER’s efforts to create marketing linkages for RIs and MSMEs does not succeed due to lack of interest by agribusinesses
- Failure of smallholders producers to meet market requirements with regard to quantity and quality

Mitigations:
Since the beginning of the project activities will focus on building capacity of RIs and MSMEs not only on climate risks and NR degradation but also with regard to FAB and improved production and financial literacy. It will also strengthen the extension teams to ensure state of the art knowledge is accessible to the beneficiaries. The RIs and MSMEs will also have access to financial services such as VSLAs, matching grants and BFF. This will help farmers to continue credit worthy and credible partners to agribusinesses under formal market linkages arrangements.

Consciousness efforts will be made to link farmers’ groups and MSMEs to VC actors. In addition to incentives such as increased opportunities for expanding their businesses, incentives such as access to know how and concessional BFF loans is expected to encourage agribusinesses to have strong links to the target groups.

Risk:
Poor farmers Performance due to Climate Impacts
Risks
High climate high risks would lead to sub-optimal production and failure of the smallholders to meet their commitments to the partnering agribusinesses and financial institutions.

Mitigations:
Since start-up, the project will raise smallholders’ awareness on climate risks and how to climate proof their farming operations. Under component 2, farmers will be supported to develop green and climate resilient business plans to access MGs and the BFF facility. The project will make arrangements to link the farmers to GIRSAL (agricultural risk mechanism) and GAIP (agricultural climate insurance) to mitigate any loss due to climate risks or any other shocks.

Risk:
PFI s are Risk Averse and not Willing to lend to PROSPER’s Target Group
Risks:
PFI s consider PROPSER’s target group high risk and does not agree to engage in business relationship with most of them.
**Mitigations:**

Since its start-up, the project will build the organisational capacity of the beneficiaries groups and take them through a gradual graduation approach, starting with financial literacy, saving mobilisation, matching grant support for the poorest groups, and access to low interest rate BFF. These actions, coupled with intensive efforts to create market linkages, introduce Ag risk mechanism and climate insurance as well as building the capacity to the PFIs through training and developing products suitable for PROSPER’s target group, are expected to address possible risks for the PFIs.

**Risk:**

- The risk that the investments under the MG fund and BFF does not comply with green financing requirements
- The risk that project implementation does not focus sufficiently on nutrition activities

**Mitigations:**

- IGREENFIN Operational Manual for green investments will be included in PROSPER PIM.
- Project staff ToRs will include specific focus on ensuring green investments and compliance with the IGREENFIN Operational Manual
- Project design include significant focus on nutrition including farmers training, specific MG category for nutrition
- Specific TA for nutrition is included in the design
- Partnerships with line Government departments to support implementation of nutrition activities

**Monitoring and Evaluation Arrangements**

**Risk:**

Weak M&E processes and systems could lead to inadequate Project’s capacity to deal with complexity, monitor, validate, analyse and communicate results, capture lessons, and adjust implementation to seize opportunities and take corrective actions in a timely manner.

Risk of inadequate flow of information from the districts, APEX Bank to the PCU.

**Mitigations:**

M&E risks are mitigated by a clear structure from the onset, by leveraging existing M&E expertise within host agency, and by maintaining a participative-collaborative approach for the definition of specific tools with main stakeholders.

The project will have a dedicated M&E team, who will derive the M&E plan collaboratively with the line ministry, district staff and
with relevant stakeholders, farmers organisations and agribusinesses. M&E focal points at regional, district levels and in APEX Bank will be established. The key responsibilities of the M&E system will rely on the M&E Unit at the PCU, composed of an M&E manager and M&E officers. All other implementation agencies at regional level will play important roles in collecting and analysing data to assess outcomes and impact of project activities. The M&E unit will set up a monitoring-evaluation manual and a computerized monitoring-evaluation data system to provide periodic, GIS based system, complete and reliable data. Several M&E tools and guidelines will be incorporated in the M&E system along with the Core Outcome Indicators (COI) Survey, which would allow for effective monitoring of the project situation at baseline, mid-term and completion.

PROSPER’s M&E system aims for continuous information flow among relevant stakeholders and will allow the development of corrective measures as soon as issues are identified, from the beginning of implementation. PROSPER also feeds from other IFAD operations’ experiences, lessons, knowledge and products (REP, GASIP, AAFORD), including what regards to project evaluation and monitoring.

The institutional arrangement for implementation will ensure that PROSPER’s M&E is fully integrated into the MoFA planning, M&E, and policy formulation processes, which turns the PROSPER’s M&E system into an important element of project’s sustainability and exit strategy.

### Project Financial Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Substantial</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Project Organization and Staffing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Substantial</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Risk:

The implementing entity has excess number of qualified and experienced financial management staff thereby increasing cost significantly.

### Mitigations:

The entity has three chartered Accountants and one finalist. All have experience in managing IFAD project. Two junior Accounts Assistants will be engaged to replace two senior accountants on completion of GASIP. PROSPER will make complete and appropriate staffing a condition precedent to disbursement and throughout project cycle.

### Project Budgeting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Substantial</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Risk:

1) IFAD disbursement cap may result in funds not being available when needed
2) Disbursement cap Information may not be readily available at
the time of AWPB preparation
3) The use of GIFMIS implies that the AWPB is integrated in the national budget, which could cause delays in budget approval

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mitigations:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Project must obtain disbursement cap before completion of AWPB.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Project must liaise with FMD and PMD through the Country Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) The PMU will work toward an early submission of the AWPB. Any setback caused by the use of national systems will be discussed and mitigated by negotiating with government authorities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Funds Flow/Disbursement Arrangements</th>
<th>Substantial</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delays in justifying prior advances, particularly, MoFA Directorates of District Agriculture due to the use of the revolving fund mechanism</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mitigations:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Programme Accountants and Technical Specialists shall constantly remind implementing partners to justify advances at least once a month. Restriction rule of minimum 80% of advances justified before the release of any new advance shall be applied. The amount of the advances should be kept low.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Internal Controls</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Internal control systems will not allow for an effective control system to be put in place.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Low capacity and performance of government and private implementing partners</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mitigations:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) The arrangement proposed for staffing will guarantee proper segregation of duties. The Internal Auditors of the lead implementing agency shall conduct ex-post review of PROSPER at least twice a year, and each expenditure will be validated by the internal audit system of GIFMIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Contractual arrangements and reporting templates and guidelines for project finances shall be spelt out in MOUs/MOAs with implementing partners and service providers. Quarterly reports shall be required of implementing partners to feed into the Project IFRs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Accounting and Financial Reporting</th>
<th>Substantial</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
### Risk:

1) Lack of experience in using the national accounting platform – GIFMIS resulting in late submission and poor quality of the financial reports  
2) Difficulties to meet the reporting requirement of all co-financiers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substantial</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Mitigations:

1) Sensitization and migration is planned for the staff to use in the current programme before PROSPER start-up to enhance experience before implementation of the new project  
2) Careful definition of reporting requirement per financier at project start-up phase

### Project External Audit

Risk:

No major risk is identified for external audit given the good track record of the GAS in auditing IFAD financed projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substantial</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Mitigations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substantial</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Project Procurement

Risk:

The procurement regulations are out of tune with the Amended PPA Act 914 of 2016 which can make the interpretation of the law difficult.  
Procurement monitoring received a “D” rating from PEFA, due to the incomplete nature of the procurement information published by the Public Procurement Authority (PPA). Specifically, the information from the PPA database is provisional for FY2016 and FY2017, has not updated regularly and had not been independently verified by PPA or the Auditor General.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substantial</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Mitigations:

IFAD prior review thresholds will take into account the CPI score for Ghana. Additionally, all procurement entities, as well as bidders, suppliers, contractors, consultants and service providers, shall observe the highest standard of ethics during the procurement and execution of contracts financed under IFAD funded Projects, in accordance with paragraph 69 of the Procurement Guidelines. The Revised IFAD Policy on Preventing Fraud and Corruption in its Activities and Operations shall apply to all projects, vendors and third parties, in addition to the relevant national anticorruption and fraud laws.
The Procuring Entity should establish a review panel at the level of the implementing agency, which will provide the first level of review for procurement complaints before eventually submitting them to the PPA.

All NCB documents should have a Notice of Intention to Award provision/template and should be used.

Use of standard bidding documents, use of procurement plan, and clear procurement arrangements from the onset.

### Accountability and Transparency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Substantial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Transparency International assigned a corruption perception index (CPI) score of 43/100 to Ghana, thus falling within the “medium” bracket.

There is only a single level system to handle procurement complaints. In fact, although an appeals authority (ACB) exists at national level, it is not independent and there is no appeals review panel at the level of the implementing agency.

The absence of Notice of Intention to Award and standstill period in Bidding documents/Request for Proposals do not enable bidders know when a contract is to be awarded so they should decide whether to complain or not.

No debarment system. PPA's list of debarred suppliers refers to the World Bank debarred list.

Ghana's Commission of Human Rights and Administrative Justice (CHRAJ) is an independent anti-corruption agency.

Ghana has a one level system to handle complaints. PPA is responsible for the administrative review process and inaugurates a 7-member Appeals and Complaints Panel that reports back to PPA.

No debarment system. PPA's list of debarred suppliers refers to the World Bank debarred list.

Ghana's Commission of Human Rights and Administrative Justice (CHRAJ) is an independent anti-corruption agency.

### Mitigations:

IFAD prior review thresholds will take into account the CPI score for Ghana. Additionally, all procurement entities, as well as bidders, suppliers, contractors, consultants and service providers, shall observe the highest standard of ethics during the procurement and execution of contracts financed under IFAD funded Projects, in accordance with paragraph 69 of the Procurement Guidelines. The Revised IFAD Policy on Preventing Fraud and Corruption in its Activities and Operations shall apply to all projects, vendors and third parties, in addition to the relevant national anticorruption and fraud laws.

The Procuring Entity should establish a review panel at the level of the implementing agency, which will provide the first level of
review for procurement complaints before eventually submitting them to the PPA.
All NCB documents should have a Notice of Intention to Award provision/template and should be used.
Use of standard bidding documents, use of procurement plan, and clear procurement arrangements from the onset.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capability in Public Procurement</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Risk:**

The current implementation arrangement provides that the PCU of PROSPER will be embedded within the existing structures of the GASIP. GASIP has a Procurement Unit with 1 staff member, which could result in procurement delays and hamper project implementation.
There is room for improving the quality of the bidding document. Procurement staff have full access to the PPA website. Procurement and financial management functions are separated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mitigations:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strengthen the procurement unit through the recruitment of an additional procurement staff (Procurement Officer) and training in IFAD procurement guidelines during the first year of implementation. S/He will be monitored to ensure adherence to IFAD procurement procedures and processes while at the same time serves as a back up to the PROSPER Procurement Manager. GASIP’s staff member has about 13 years general procurement experience, and 8 years specific donor-funded procurement experience.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public Procurement Processes</th>
<th>Substantial</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Risk:**

- The PPA stipulates 2 - 6 weeks of Bidding for NCB contracts. There is the likelihood that Bidders may not have sufficient time to submit competent bids which could result in either adverse selection of retendering with attendant delays to project implementation.
- The NCB document for works procurement and the Bidding document does not include a template for Environmental, Social, Health and Safety (ESHS) Performance Security. There is the risk that ESHS procedures may not be followed during implementation, which could cause delays if the rights of individuals are violated.
- Procurement plans are not updated regularly. This could make procurement process monitoring difficult.
- Contract registers at MoFA and GASIP are not updated regularly.
The country procurement methods for goods, works and services...
Mitigations:

- PROSPER will ensure that the Procurement Plan includes the minimum bidding period, suitable for the different procurement methods, from the onset.
- The Works Procurement methods should be strengthened to include a template in the Bidding documents for Environmental, Social, Health and Safety Performance Security so as to ensure compliance of Contractors' C-ESMP plans during construction.
- PROSPER will ensure that all procurement plans and contracts register are updated regularly throughout project implementation in the required format and submitted regularly to IFAD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environment, Social and Climate Impact</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversity Conservation</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Risk:**

The risk that the project may cause significant threats to or the loss of biodiversity, availability of diversified nutritious food, ecosystems and ecosystem services, territories of the indigenous peoples, or the unsustainable use/production of living natural resources.

These risks are limited, as the project will promote use of best farming practices as well as promote agricultural intensification.

**Mitigations:**

SECAP procedures will be applied, which will lead to working closely with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) of Ghana to address any adverse impacts, throughout the entire project cycle. An ESMF is developed as part of the project design. All the above risks are assessed as not significant. All necessary measures are incorporated in the design to address unintended limited impacts as well as externalities. No deforestation or agriculture in forested areas. Use of chemicals will be monitored and necessary measures will be applied to address any potential impacts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource Efficiency and Pollution Prevention</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Risk:**

The risk that the project may cause significant pollution to air, water, and land, and inefficient use of finite resources that may threaten people, ecosystem services and the environment at the local, regional, and global levels.

**Mitigations:**

SECAP procedures will be applied, thus reducing any such likelihood. Arrangements and monitoring to ensure construction...
works, agriculture or any other project interventions will not lead to harm to the environment or the communities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultural Heritage</th>
<th>No risk envisaged - not applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>That the project will be implemented in areas of cultural heritage or lead to harming any such assets.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous People</td>
<td>No risk envisaged - not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There are no Indigenous Peoples in Ghana as per the UN definition; therefore, no such risk is envisioned particularly in project interventions areas.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Labour and Working Conditions</th>
<th>Substantial Moderate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Risk:**

Though PROSPER interventions are foreseen to be small in scale and mostly localized, the size of agriculture plots are very limited, therefore, during ploughing and/or harvesting, and/or construction of community infrastructure there is a likelihood to use labour forces during a short period of time.

**Mitigations:**

Provision is made in the ESMF to adequately cope with the likelihood of engaging labour forces or the presence of labour influx in the project intervention areas. During supervision and follow up missions, close follow up will be made to ensure appropriate use of labour.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk:</th>
<th>Substantial Moderate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Risk:**

Child labour is forcefully prohibited in Ghana, however there is likelihood that this could happen. However, in case of presence of children in tree crops production practices, resulting in Child labour, practical measure will be taken to immediately mitigate such a behaviour.

**Mitigations:**

Strict adherence to government policies to prevent child labour. Facility for reporting any violation followed by prompt investigation of any reported case. The ESMF is prepared to address child labour issues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community Health and Safety</th>
<th>Moderate Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk:</th>
<th>Moderate Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
During project implementation, although most activities will be done using manual tractions, it is likely the tools and other material used could harm or threaten the safety and security of farmers, and/or workers or even visitors. Furthermore, the risk that the project may cause moderate to low adverse impacts on the physical, mental, nutritional or social health/safety status of an individual, group, or population, including as a result of gender based violence is also very unlikely and thus, significantly unexpected.

**Mitigations:**

The project will be nutrition sensitive, will ensure gender and youth mainstreaming, as described in PDR. The project interventions are designed to improve income, livelihoods, and nutrition status of the target groups. It is not envisioned that there will be significant environmental, social, or physical impacts and SECAP provisions, especially ESMF recommendations, will be applied from the onset to ensure that any such impacts are addressed as part of project interventions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical and Economic Resettlement</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Risk:**

The risk that the project may cause significant adverse physical, social, cultural, or economic impacts (especially for marginalized groups), from land acquisition, and involuntary loss of land, assets, and restriction to access to assets, income sources, or means of livelihoods is limited simply because (i) all agriculture lands will occur on community owned lands; (ii) feeder/truck roads connecting two villages and/or agricultural fields are very short (7-9.50 Km of length); (iii) construction of socioeconomic community infrastructure will occur on communally owned pieces of land.

**Mitigations:**

The project is not envisioned to pose such risk. SECAP policies, and specifically ESMF recommendations will be applied to ensure that any such impacts are addressed as part of the project interventions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Greenhouse Gas Emissions</th>
<th>Substantial</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Risk:**

Ecological damage might occur through mono-cropping, deforestation, and/or the processing/ transformation of some VC crops, etc. in the process of promoting tree crop production.

**Mitigations:**

[Table continues with additional risk and mitigation sections]
Strict adherence to government environmental and natural resources management policies, throughout project cycle. The programme will focus on rehabilitation of existing planting areas, plantations in denuded land and intercropping with staple crops and planting in farmland instead of fresh plantations, unless properly evaluated.

| Vulnerability of target populations and ecosystems to climate variability and hazards | Moderate | Low |

**Risk:**

As indicated above, the rural smallholder population in the target areas (Northern and Middle belts), typically engaged in rainfed agriculture, is subject to various impacts of climate and ecosystem variability (dry spells, delayed/short rainfall, droughts, floods, pests and locusts invasion, etc.) which affects their productivity, livelihoods, and infrastructure.

**Mitigations:**

Project interventions are designed with the goal of building resilience of target groups to climate change impacts and effects, as well as reducing greenhouse gases emissions in project’s value chains. Intensive trainings and capacity buildings with regard to climate risks, adaptation and mitigation. The BPs will integrate climate adaptation and mitigation measures.

A key element to deal with climate variability and help mitigating this type of risk is the climate-related financing, including providing access to agricultural insurance schemes. GAIP, the GoG’s entity in charge of crop insurance, is among AAFORD partners which will be linked to PROSPER’s interventions from the onset, as previously indicated.

| Stakeholders | Substantial | Moderate |
| Stakeholder Engagement/Coordination | Substantial | Moderate |

**Risk:**

- Failure to create linkages with other IFAD projects offering complementary services
- Inadequate stakeholder engagement/coordination
- Gaps in roles definition
- Inadequate/insufficient information disclosure
- Misunderstandings or duplication/inconsistencies between partners working in the same target area might dilute project effectiveness
- Smallholders’ lack of access to financial resources beyond project’s one time start-up support might cause lack of continuity of marketing linkages between smallholders and agribusinesses

**Mitigations:**
PROSPER will be implemented in a consultative, participatory and inclusive manner. PROSPER will therefore mitigate these risks through the following key actions:

i) Strong linkages with AAFORD, GASIP and REP from the earliest stages of implementation. PROSPER will be building on the on-going IFAD projects to complement its activities where possible: working with AAFORD to provide affordable credit supply to target households; building on REP achievements such as use of BRCs for creating market linkages, business planning, capacity building of groups and micro enterprises, leveraging on the demand by 1D1F; and with GASIP for linkages to agribusiness partners.

ii) PROSPER is building on ongoing and future interventions by other donors such as the World Bank, AfDB, Canada. Continuous coordination and interactions with all DPs supported programs (since start-up) will be ensured. The project implementation arrangements contain strong coordination mechanisms such as Project Steering Committees and use of existing experienced PCU. Preparation of AWPB will be done in a participatory manner, etc. Strong coordination will take place both and central level and in the field.

iii) The project design addresses key gaps such as value chains weaknesses, NR degradation, lack of access to financial resources, etc.

iv) The project design include sound M&E, KM and communication arrangements which will contribute to information gathering and dissemination; thus leading to better information sharing and coordination.

v) As project cycle advances, development of bankable BPs that receive continuous financial support through affordable bank loans will allow target households to gradually increase acreage and profitability over successive agricultural seasons and sustain their agribusiness linkages.

### Stakeholder Grievances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Risk:**

As stated in the PDR and above, all participating land sites will be communally owned, small in size and free of any claim. Nevertheless, land tenure issues can de-incentivise participation of farmers -including youth and women- in PROSPER. Moreover, agriculture, construction of community activities may result in harming some people who might rightfully seek ways of claiming their rights.

**Mitigations:**

The ESMF includes a participatory Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM) that enables project beneficiaries and/or affected persons to freely and easily lodge their claims and seek
for a peaceful reparation, throughout project implementation. On the land acquisition issues, throughout implementation PROSPER will further engage with GOG’s departments responsible for land tenure policy to promote solutions to securing land access on longer-term basis or ownership transfer to those who want to farm.