

Document:	<u>EB 2013/110/R.47/Add.1</u>
Agenda:	<u>10(d)(ii)</u>
Date:	<u>10 December 2013</u>
Distribution:	<u>Public</u>
Original:	<u>English</u>

E



Enabling poor rural people
to overcome poverty

Federative Republic of Brazil

Policy Coordination and Dialogue for Reducing Poverty and Inequalities in Semi-arid North-east Brazil (Dom Helder Câmara Project – Phase II)

Articulação e diálogo sobre Políticas para Reduzir a Pobreza e Desigualdade no Nordeste Semiárido – Projeto Dom Helder Câmara

Addendum

Executive Board — 110th Session
Rome, 10-12 December 2013

For: Approval

Policy Coordination and Dialogue for Reducing Poverty and Inequalities in Semi-arid North-east Brazil __(Dom Helder Câmara Project _____)

Addendum

The attention of the Executive Board is drawn to the following addenda and modifications to the President's report on the Policy Coordination and Dialogue for Reducing Poverty and Inequalities in Semi-arid North-east Brazil - (Dom Helder Câmara Project) (EB 2013/110/R.47). For ease of reference, the changes to the text of the report are shown in boldface, while underscore indicates deleted text.

Reference to the project name should be in English and Portuguese and should read:

Policy Coordination and Dialogue for Reducing Poverty and Inequalities in Semi-arid North-east Brazil (Dom Helder Câmara Project)

Articulação e diálogo sobre Políticas para Reduzir a Pobreza e Desigualdade no Nordeste Semiárido – Projeto Dom Helder Câmara

Page ii, Abbreviations and acronyms

PDHC-II Dom Helder Câmara Project _____

Page 1, the Recommendation for approval should read:

Recommendation for approval

The Executive Board is invited to approve the recommendation for the proposed financing to the Federative Republic of Brazil for the project Policy Coordination and Dialogue for Reducing Poverty and Inequalities in Semi-arid North-east Brazil _____(Dom Helder Câmara Project _____) - **Articulação e diálogo sobre Políticas para Reduzir a Pobreza e Desigualdade no Nordeste Semiárido – Projeto Dom Helder Câmara**, as contained in paragraph 46.

Page 1, paragraph 3

The first sentence should read:

"The Policy Coordination and Dialogue for Reducing Poverty and Inequalities in Semi-arid North-east Brazil project (Dom Helder Câmara Project - **PDHC** _____) (Articulação e diálogo sobre políticas para reduzir a pobreza e desigualdade no Nordeste Semiárido – Projeto Dom Helder Câmara _____) is aligned with Brazilian policies for rural development and the fight against poverty."

Page 2, paragraph 5

The paragraph should read:

"The PDHC_____ is consistent with the strategic objectives of the IFAD Strategic Framework 2011-2015, mainly contributing to: enabling institutional and policy environments to support agricultural production and related non-farm activities; strengthening the ability of poor rural people and their organizations to influence policies and institutions that affect their livelihoods; and increasing their access to services to reduce poverty, improve nutrition, raise incomes and build resilience. It is also consistent with the priority assigned by IFAD to scaling up project experiences and reinforcing its policy engagement. In addition, the PDHC _____ is in line with the results-based country strategic opportunities programme (RB-

COSOP) for Brazil, which recommends focusing the project's partnership with the federal government on knowledge management and policy dialogue."

Page 2, paragraph 7

The third sentence should read:

"The first level includes the target population within the core project area, where the PDHC__ will carry out activities in policy coordination, access of the population to public policies, improvement in production, market access and organizational strengthening."

Page 3, paragraph 11

The first sentence should read:

"The overall objective of the PDHC__ is to contribute to the reduction of rural poverty and inequalities (gender, racial, ethnic and generational) in the semi-arid north-east by improving: the coordination of public rural development policies with a territorial approach; access of the rural population to public policies and programmes; scaling up of successful innovations and experiences; and enhancement of these policies through incorporation of lessons learned from concrete experiences."

Page 8, replace the table with the one below:

Table
Total project cost by category of expenditure and financier
(United States dollars)

Categories of expenditure	Government: MDA/SDT		Government: SAF and INCRA		Government total		IFAD		Beneficiaries		Total	
	US\$	%	US\$	%	US\$	%	US\$	%	US\$	%	US\$	%
1. Goods, services, equipment and materials	1 346 012	70.0			1 346 012	70.0	576 862	30.0			1 922 874	1.5
2. Workshops, meetings and training	13 203 096	70.0			13 203 096	70.0	5 658 470	30.0			18 861 566	15.1
3. Grants and subsidies for productive investment	2 583 000	9.0			2 583 000	9.0	1 107 000	3.8	25 168 325	87.2	28 858 325	23.0
4. Studies and technical assistance	11 999 015	21.0	40 100 000	70.0	52 099 015	91.0	5 142 435	9.0			57 241 450	45.7
5. Operating costs	12 868 877	70.0			12 868 877	70.0	5 515 233	30.0			18 384 111	14.7
Total	42 000 000	33.5	40 100 000	32.0	82 100 000	65.5	18 000 000	14.4	25 168 325	20.1	125 268 325	100.0

3